PROCEEDINGS B

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rspb

Research



Cite this article: Hämäläinen A, Kiljunen M, Koskela E, Koteja P, Mappes T, Rajala M, Tiainen K. 2022 Artificial selection for predatory behaviour results in dietary niche differentiation in an omnivorous mammal. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **289**: 20212510. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.2510

Received: 17 November 2021 Accepted: 7 February 2022

Subject Category:

Ecology

Subject Areas:

behaviour, ecology, evolution

Keywords:

bank vole, diet choice, predatory behaviour, specialization, stable isotopes, trophic niche

Author for correspondence:

Anni Hämäläinen e-mail: anni.m.hamalainen@gmail.com

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare. c.5870780.



Artificial selection for predatory behaviour results in dietary niche differentiation in an omnivorous mammal

Anni Hämäläinen^{1,2}, Mikko Kiljunen², Esa Koskela², Pawel Koteja¹, Tapio Mappes², Milla Rajala² and Katariina Tiainen²

¹Institute of Environmental Sciences, Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland
²Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland

6 AH, 0000-0001-9260-8299; MK, 0000-0002-7411-1331; EK, 0000-0002-9418-5733; PK, 0000-0003-0077-4957; TM, 0000-0002-5936-7355

The diet of an individual is a result of the availability of dietary items and the individual's foraging skills and preferences. Behavioural differences may thus influence diet variation, but the evolvability of diet choice through behavioural evolution has not been studied. We used experimental evolution combined with a field enclosure experiment to test whether behavioural selection leads to dietary divergence. We analysed the individual dietary niche via stable isotope ratios of nitrogen (δ^{15} N) and carbon (δ^{13} C) in the hair of an omnivorous mammal, the bank vole, from four lines selected for predatory behaviour and four unselected control lines. Predatory voles had higher hair δ^{15} N values than control voles, supporting our hypothesis that predatory voles would consume a higher trophic level diet (more animal versus plant foods). This difference was significant in the early but not the late summer season. The δ^{13} C values also indicated a seasonal change in the consumed plant matter and a difference in food sources among selection lines in the early summer. These results imply that environmental factors interact with evolved behavioural tendencies to determine dietary niche heterogeneity. Behavioural selection thus has potential to contribute to the evolution of diet choice and ultimately the species' ecological niche breadth.

1. Introduction

The diet an individual consumes is a result of the availability of different food items, the species-specific dietary range, and individual specialization [1,2]. The realized dietary niche is thus a combination of the species' fundamental niche (e.g. the hypothetical ideal diet), and the constraints on access to the ideal diet [3,4]. Differences among individuals in dietary niche are generated by environmental and genetic variation as well as phenotypic plasticity [1,2], but the relative effects of these factors have rarely been tested [2,5-7]. Inherited effects might manifest through various morphological, physiological, or behavioural traits that shape preferences for certain foods and specialized behaviours connected to seeking or processing food items [5]. For example, stable individual differences have been observed in hunting behaviours (antlions Myrmeleon hyalinus [8], guillemots Uria lomvia [9]). Genetically determined behavioural differences could thus contribute to dietary specialization at the individual level, with significant consequences for resource competition and even community functioning [2,10,11]. Yet, the role of evolved behavioural traits in shaping diet choice remains poorly understood [5] and research has focused primarily on predatory behaviour of carnivores, which may specialize more than other trophic groups [2]. Deciphering the relative effects of genetic and environmental influences on realized diets of individuals is challenging but essential for understanding the evolvability and plasticity of the dietary niche [7]. In this study, we address this problem by combining artificial selection for a predatory behaviour in an omnivorous mammal with a field experiment.

Omnivores, animals that consume diets from more than one trophic level [12], are an understudied but exceptionally interesting group for diet choice studies because of the broad range of different types of dietary items they can potentially consume. This potential could facilitate trophic niche heterogeneity among individuals under intraspecific competition [13]. The wide potential dietary breadth of omnivores involves morphological and physiological adaptations such as changes in dentition, gut length and structure, digestive enzymes and stomach acidity compared to related herbivorous or carnivorous species [12,14-16]. Individual-level variation in trophic niche has not been previously linked with behaviours, although such divergence could be widespread and under selection in omnivores [17]. Behavioural adaptations such as capturing and processing prey would be required to transition from strict herbivory to omnivory. Yet, the significance and evolvability of behavioural traits associated with the diet breadth of omnivores remains unknown.

In this study, we assessed the significance of a behavioural adaptation on diet choice in an omnivorous rodent, the bank vole (Myodes [Clethrionomys] glareolus). We assessed the relative importance of a genetically determined behavioural type and environmental variation on the realized diet of individuals. We compared the dietary niche of bank voles from lines artificially selected for an increased predatory tendency [18] with unselected lines by measuring stable isotope ratios of carbon and nitrogen in the hair of field-reared individuals of both types. Stable isotope methods are suited to studying individual dietary niches as they permit an evaluation of the consumed diet based on the isotopic signatures in the animal's tissues, integrating dietary information over longer time periods [4,19]. A higher isotope ratio of nitrogen $({}^{15}N/{}^{14}N)$, relative to other organisms in the same system, indicates consumption of food items from a higher trophic level because ¹⁵N is enriched along the food chain [20]. Isotope ratios of carbon $({}^{13}C/{}^{12}C)$ in turn are more conserved through the food webs but variable among primary producers [20] and allow differentiation among consumers' diet sources. Using these isotope ratios as indicators of long-term diet choice, we evaluated the potential for behavioural selection to shape the dietary niche. We specifically hypothesized that the artificially selected tendency for predatory behaviour would lead to the consumption of a diet from a higher trophic level (higher proportion of animal sources, such as invertebrate prey) in field conditions, indicated by a higher δ^{15} N ratio in the hair of predatory relative to control line voles.

2. Material and methods

(a) Study system

The bank vole is a common, widespread rodent, whose dietary profile is uniquely placed among European rodent species, occupying an intermediate niche between herbivorous arvicoline species and granivorous-insectivorous murine species [21,22]. The majority of their diet consists of different plant sources (seeds, leaves, flowers, roots, bark) [21,23–25], but the proportion of animal matter (primarily invertebrates) in stomach contents can range from 0 to 23% [25–28] and the proportion of fungi from 0 to 10% [22,25–29] among populations and seasons. The majority of the animal food consists of insect larvae especially in the early season, but adult insects, worms or molluscs and vertebrate remains are infrequently consumed [22,25,29]. Possible heterogeneity in diet among individual bank voles remains

poorly known because the relative proportions of different dietary items consumed by individuals over time has been difficult to assess with gut content analyses (but see [24]). The degree of dietary niche divergence among individuals is therefore unknown.

To test the importance of artificial selection (overall genotypic differences) in the realized diet, we used bank voles from a unique long-term selection experiment (for details see [18,30,31]). Briefly, several selection lines were established from a source population of 320 voles captured in Poland in the years 2000–2001. To generate voles with a 'predatory' phenotype, voles are allowed to interact with a live cricket and the state of the cricket is checked at standardized time intervals. The voles that captured a cricket in the shortest time period in each generation were selected to breed. The selection has influenced both the time lag and overall propensity to predate a cricket relative to control lines. Four parallel predatory (P) and four unselected control (C) lines are maintained. The continued selection has resulted in significant divergence in predatory efficiency, with predatory voles catching the cricket more than five times more often than control voles by the 24th generation [31]. In the present study, we used descendants (offspring and grand-offspring) of the 25th selected generation. The voles used in this experiment were never exposed to live prey prior to the experiment. The parental generation (founders) were born and reared in laboratory conditions at the University of Jyväskylä, Finland with ad libitum water and standard rodent chow (Avelsfoder för råtta och mus R36; Lactamin, Stockholm, Sweden; 301 kcal per 100 g; macronutrient content: 18.5% protein; 4.0% fat; 55.7% carbohydrate) until release to field enclosures.

(b) Field experiment

To test whether the voles selected for a predatory tendency consumed a diet from a higher trophic level than control voles, we performed a field experiment. Founder voles were released into eleven 0.2 ha field enclosures near Konnevesi research station in Central Finland over two replicate experimental rounds in early (June-July) and late (August-September) summer (hereafter: early versus late season) in 2018 (total 22 enclosure replicates). The field enclosures had early succession vegetation consisting primarily of grasses, forbs and shrubs. This study was performed in connection with a larger field experiment designed to test density- and frequency-dependent selection on behavioural tactics (Z. Boratyński, A.M. Hämäläinen, M. Kiljunen, E. Koskela, P. Koteja, T. Mappes, P.C. Watts 2022, unpublished data in preparation), for which the initial density (8 or 16 adults per enclosure) and ratio of the P- and C-line adults (1:3 or 3:1) varied among the enclosures. The initial adult sex ratio was 1:1 in all enclosures.

The founders were mated (maintaining selection line separation) in spring-summer 2018 in the animal facilities in Jyväskylä. Females were monitored daily to determine the exact date of delivery. Within a day of the birth of a litter, the pups were individually marked by distal phalanx removal, sexed, weighed and their head widths measured. After parturition, the females with their newborn litters were transported into the enclosures in their home cage [32]. The cages were placed open and on their side on the ground with partial shelter and a small quantity of food (approx. 2 days minimum energy requirement) so that the females could transport the pups out at leisure. Litter size ranged 2–7 (C mean = 4.13, P mean = 4.47), with the total initial number of pups released per enclosure ranging 15–38.

The dams were left to rear the young to independence on a natural diet. After *ca* 25 days, when the juveniles move around independently, all animals were captured from the enclosures using live traps baited with sunflower seeds and potato (details on enclosures and live trapping e.g. [33]). In total, 133 weaned young (65 P-line, 68 C-line) were captured from the enclosures in the two rounds (first: n = 50, second: n = 83). The number of

weaned offspring per enclosure per round ranged from 0 to 28 individuals. One enclosure had no surviving offspring in either round and another two enclosures had none in the first round. Captured young voles were identified, sexed, weighed, head width measured and a small patch of hair was clipped from the back with scissors (aiming to collect entire hair shafts) for isotope analyses. All hair samples for isotope analyses were thus derived from individuals that had spent their entire lifetime, from age 1-3 days (i.e. before growing any fur) until sampling, in the field enclosures. The dams relied on natural food items after the first few days of lactation. The pups begin to feed on solid food by the age of ca two weeks (A.M. Hämäläinen, E. Koskela, P. Koteja, T. Mappes 2022, personal observations from laboratory conditions) and are weaned by age 20 days [18,34]. Thus, the isotope composition in the hair of the weaned juveniles consists of the combined (and indistinguishable) effects of the diet consumed by the individual and by its mother. The hair samples were stored in Eppendorf tubes in room temperature until analyses in summer 2019.

(c) Collection of possible dietary items

To relate the isotope ratios in the voles' hair to the available food items, we collected samples of plants, invertebrates and fungi from the field enclosures and analysed their isotope signatures. The detailed methods are provided in the electronic supplementary material.

(d) lsotopes of captive voles

To account for the possibility that any differences between the selection lines are owing to intrinsic differences in physiology (e.g. differential fractionation into hair owing to differences in metabolism), we collected hair samples from individuals that had lived their entire lives in the laboratory on the standard rodent diet supplied to the adults in this experiment before their release into the field enclosures. We shaved hair from the backs of two females from each of the four parallel predatory selection lines and the four control lines, producing eight samples per selection direction (total n = 16). The samples were analysed in the same manner as the samples derived from the field conditions.

(e) Isotope analyses

Lipids were removed from the hair samples with a chloroformmethanol extraction [35], samples were dried and then 0.5-0.7 mg of each sample was weighed into tin capsules (see also the electronic supplementary material). All samples representing vole diet (invertebrates, plant material, fungi) were freeze-dried to a constant weight, ground to a fine powder using a ball mill or mortar and pestle, and then also weighed into tin capsules. Stable isotope analyses for carbon and nitrogen were conducted using a Thermo Finnigan $\text{DELTA}_{\text{plus}}$ Advantage continuous-flow stable isotope-ratio mass spectrometer (CF-SIRMS) coupled with a FlashEA 1112 elemental analyser. Results are expressed using the standard δ notation as parts per thousand (%) differences from the international standard. The reference materials used were internal standards of known relation to the international standards of Vienna PeeDee Belemnite (for carbon) and atmospheric N₂ (for nitrogen). Precision was always better than 0.13% for carbon and 0.38% for nitrogen, based on the standard deviation of replicates of the internal standards.

(f) Trophic enrichment factors

To relate the stable isotopes in vole hair to the isotope ratios of possible food items, we determined the average trophic enrichment factor (TEF, Δ), i.e. difference in isotope ratios between

the consumed food items and the measured isotope ratios in hair. We used the 16 samples collected from captive voles maintained on a standard diet of rodent pellets to determine the TEFs (i.e. the degree of fractionation). We computed the average isotope values for the rodent pellets fed to the captive voles as $\delta^{15}\mathrm{N}=1.778\pm0.268~(\mathrm{mean}\pm\mathrm{s.d.}),~\mathrm{and}~\delta^{13}\mathrm{C}=-26.613\pm2.491.$ We related these to the isotope values measured from the hair of the captive voles $(\delta^{15}\mathrm{N}=7.11\pm0.55,~\delta^{13}\mathrm{C}=-24.47\pm0.24)$ and determined the TEFs as $\Delta^{15}\mathrm{N}=5.335\pm0.553,~\mathrm{and}~\Delta^{13}\mathrm{C}=2.145\pm0.239.$ These values were used to correct the isotope ratios of the food source samples from the field experiment to associate the food items with the vole isotopes.

(g) Statistics

Inspection of the isotope data indicated an outlier in $\delta^{15}N$ $(\delta^{15}N = 8.73, 4 \text{ s.d.}$ divergence from mean $\delta^{15}N$; Grubbs's outlier test: G = 5.12996, U = 0.79912, p < 0.001) that skewed the δ^{15} N data disproportionately. As the reason for the exceptionally high reading was unknown but might indicate e.g. a sample handling error, we chose to conduct all further analyses without this observation, with a final sample size of n = 132 for all analyses. Including the outlier in the models did not qualitatively change the analysis outcomes but reduced the significance of some results (electronic supplementary material, table S2). The isotope data with both seasons combined were not normally distributed (Shapiro–Wilk test of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N both *p* < 0.001), so we used Wilcoxon tests for bivariate analyses of the raw data. Differences in dietary variation (i.e. individual niche differentiation) between seasons and selection lines were explored with Levene's test for homogeneity of variance (median-centred approach; car-package [36]).

We constructed linear mixed effects models (LME) to examine the effects of selection and environment on isotope values while accounting for maternal and enclosure effects. We performed Box-Cox power transformation of the isotope values (δ^{15} N: $\lambda = -1$, δ^{13} C: $\lambda = 2$) and used a Gaussian error distribution with an identity link function for both models (see the electronic supplementary material for details). We present the model-derived estimates for the Box-Cox- transformed data and back-transformed estimates for the variables of interest.

For each response variable (δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C values in hair), we created a full LME-model including as predictor variables the selection regime (C = control, P = predatory), season (1 = early, 2 = late summer), density treatment (high, low), sex (male, female) and body condition (residual body mass relative to head width). We also included an interaction term of selection regime and season to test for the possibility that the seasonal food availability would influence the realized diets of the selection regimes differently. As intraspecific competition is thought to increase selection for niche divergence [2,37-39] we also evaluated the possibility that niche divergence between the lines is higher in high-density conditions by including an interaction term of density treatment and selection regime. When an interaction term was statistically non-significant (p > 0.05), it was dropped from the model to facilitate easier interpretation of the main effects. For δ^{15} N, we included the random effects of the enclosure (1–10; possible differences in microhabitat and in the social environment) and mother's identity (n = 52). For δ^{13} C, including enclosure caused non-convergence owing to a singularity, thus only mother's identity was included as a random effect. The relative strength of the effects (standardized estimates) of all variables included in the final models are shown in figure 1.

All analyses were completed using R program v.4.0.3 [40]. We fitted LMEs with restricted maximum likelihood estimation using the R-package lme4 [41]. *p*-values were computed using Satterthwaite's method with the package lmerTest [42]. Pseudo- R^2 -values were computed using the MuMIn package



Figure 1. Effects of predictor variables on isotope ratios of δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C. Forest plots for (*a*) δ^{15} N and (*c*) δ^{13} C show standardized (divided by 2 s.d.) estimates for fixed effects derived from linear mixed-effects models (electronic supplementary material, table S1) with Box-Cox-transformed isotope ratios as the response variables. The dots and associated numbers denote the relative effect, horizontal lines indicate 95% confidence intervals (Cls) and asterisk notation refers to the effect significance (*p*-value). The predicted values and 95% Cl for the marginal interactive effects of season x selection regime from these models are shown in panel (*b*) for δ^{15} N and (*d*) for δ^{13} C. For raw data and details on the random effects, see the electronic supplementary material. (Online version in colour.)

[43]. The results were visualized using packages ggplot2 [44], ggsignif [45], sjPlot [46].

3. Results

(a) Isotope ratios of captive voles

In the laboratory, no significant difference was found between the predatory and control voles in δ^{13} C (W = 43, p = 0.279) or δ^{15} N (W = 50, p = 0.065; electronic supplementary material, figure S1). Thus, any differences between the lines observed in the field conditions are probably not owing to intrinsic differences in physiology (e.g. differential assimilation of macronutrients).

(b) Models of isotope ratios in the field

The δ^{15} N values were strongly affected by an interaction between the effects of selection regime and the experiment round (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, figure S2 and table S1). In line with our hypothesis, the δ^{15} N values of the predatory selection direction were higher than those of the control-line voles, indicating that voles selected for predatory behaviour consumed a diet from a higher average trophic level than non-selected voles. The back-transformed estimates indicate a *ca* 12% difference in δ^{15} N between C and P regimes in the early season (predicted δ^{15} N for C: 5.88 [95% CI: 5.88, 6.25]; for P: 6.67 [6.25, 6.67]). However, in the late season, no difference between the selection regimes was found (predicted δ^{15} N for C: 5.88 [5.56, 6.25]; for P: 5.88 [5.56, 5.88]). δ^{15} N values were on average slightly higher in the low-density treatment, suggesting that higher intraspecific competition may lead to an overall lower-level dietary niche. This effect of density was not dependent on selection regime (interaction of density and selection p > 0.1).

Similarly, the δ^{13} C-values were higher in the predatory lines in the early but not in the late summer (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, figure S2 and table S1). The back-transformed estimates indicate a *ca* 3% difference in δ^{13} C between C and P regimes in the early season (C: -25.77 [95% CI: -26.24, -25.39]; for P: -24.99 [-25.23, -24.77]) and a 0.2% difference in the late season (C: -24.32 [-24.48, -24.16]; for P: -24.39 [-24.56, -24.22]). The δ^{13} C -values were also significantly higher in the second replicate overall, suggesting that the voles' diet probably consisted of different plant sources in early and late season (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, figure S2; table S1). Density treatment did not influence δ^{13} C (interaction with selection regime and main effect of density both p > 0.1).

Mother's identity (random effect, n=52) had a significant influence on both δ^{13} C (χ^2_{51} = 111.02, p < 0.001; electronic supplementary material, figure S4A) and δ^{15} N (χ^2_{51} = 108.89, p < 0.001, electronic supplementary material, figure S4B) and δ^{15} N varied among enclosures (χ^2_9 = 33.894, p < 0.001; electronic supplementary material, figure S4C). The differences in marginal and conditional pseudo-R² (electronic supplementary material, table S1) suggest that mother's identity explained *ca* 25% of the variation in δ^{13} C. In δ^{15} N, the random effects of enclosure and mother's identity together explained *ca* 48% of the variation (in a model



Figure 2. Isotope values of food sources and voles. Shown are mean values and standard deviations for vegetative parts (leaves, stems, roots) and reproductive parts (inflorescences, seeds) of forbs and grasses, mosses, fungi, herbivorous and predatory invertebrates, and mammal tissue (vole brain and muscle, shrew muscle). The isotope values of the food sources have been corrected for TEF (Δ^{15} N: 5.335, Δ^{13} C: 2.145). Vole hair values represent raw data (early and late season, selection regimes C: control, P: predatory; note that the symbols for C and P overlap in late season). See the electronic supplementary material, figure S3 for the raw data for the vole hair samples only and figure S5 for the raw data for food sources. (Online version in colour.)

excluding enclosure, mother's identity explained *ca* 45% of variation). The average number of juveniles per mother did not significantly differ between selection regimes (on average 2.6 juveniles with the same mother in C, 2.5 in P).

(c) Variances of isotope ratios

The variances of isotope ratios were significantly higher in the early than in the late season for both $\delta^{15}N$ ($F_{1,130}$ = 22.479, p < 0.001; electronic supplementary material, figure S2A) and δ^{13} C ($F_{1,130}$ = 15.548, p < 0.001; electronic supplementary material, figure S2B), suggesting an overall higher degree of niche differentiation among individuals in the early relative to late summer (see also the electronic supplementary material, figure S3). When split by selection regime, the seasonal differences remained significant for both selection regimes for δ^{15} N (control: $F_{1,66} = 12.196$, $p < 10^{-1}$ 0.001; predatory: $F_{1,62} = 4.461$, p = 0.039) but not for δ^{13} C (control: F = 0.879, p = 0.352; predatory: F = 3.245, p = 0.076). There were no significant differences in variance between selection regimes in δ^{13} C (p > 0.1 overall and when split by season). For δ^{15} N, variance was significantly higher overall for predatory relative to control line voles ($F_{1,130} = 6.137$, p = 0.015), but this difference did not hold within seasons. Variances of δ^{13} C or δ^{15} N did not significantly differ among density treatments in either P or C voles.

(d) Vole isotopes relative to isotopes of food sources

Vole isotope values were mainly within the isotope bi-plot area bounded by the TEF-corrected dietary source values (figure 2; for raw data, see the electronic supplementary material, figure S5). As the isotope ratios of the voles are derived from the combination of the different food items they consumed, these results imply that the voles consumed a primarily herbivorous diet (δ^{15} N values low relative to animal sources), with the higher δ^{13} C values especially in the late season suggesting a high consumption of grass inflorescences and seeds and possibly fungi. Although the vole hair samples fall within the range of isotope values of the sampled food items, the slight bias towards the lower right corner suggests a possibility that some food sources were missed from the analyses (e.g. lichens [22,25,27] with high δ^{13} C and low δ^{15} N [47] were not encountered during sampling). This hampered the use of stable isotope mixing models (e.g. MixSIAR) to formally estimate dietary proportions (analyses not shown).

4. Discussion

(a) Interaction of evolved behavioural type and environment create dietary niche variation

Individual dietary preferences are frequently observed, but the significance of a genetic component in foraging behaviours and thus the heritability of the dietary niche remains unresolved [1,2]. Individual heterogeneity in dietary niche of omnivores and especially diet partitioning among different trophic levels is largely unknown (but see [17]) despite the potentially significant implications for community functioning. This study provides, to our knowledge, the first evidence of an inherited foraging behaviour affecting the niche divergence of an omnivorous rodent in a field setting. As predicted, an artificially selected predatory tendency was associated with a higher trophic level diet (higher δ^{15} N isotope ratio suggesting consumption of more animal food relative to plants) relative to control line animals inhabiting the same field enclosures. This difference was limited to the early summer season, however, and disappeared later in the season, suggesting plasticity in dietary niche despite an underlying genetic tendency for dietary divergence. The diets of the predatory and control line animals also diverged in terms of carbon isotopes in the early summer, confirming that the realized diets of the selection lines differed. Thus, the predatory and control line voles occupied slightly different positions in the food web in the early season, probably owing to a low availability of preferred high-energy seeds

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rspb Proc. R. Soc. B 289: 20212510

6

in the early season. We conclude that differences in an inherited behaviour were associated with a small but significant effect in dietary niche differentiation in interaction with the seasonal environment.

(b) The evolution of foraging behaviour contributes to dietary niche differentiation

The genetic basis of individual niche variation remains poorly understood [2] but variation in realized diet may depend on e.g. preference, capacity to capture or process certain items, competitive ability and behavioural types, which all have a genetic component (reviewed in [1,2,5]). Many foraging behaviours such as prey recognition or preference [6,48,49] as well as morphological traits relevant to prey selection are partly heritable [50], including the predatory tendency selected for in the vole selection lines used in this study [18,31]. This study shows that artificial selection for a behaviour contributes to dietary niche divergence under natural food conditions (the diet consumed in the field being subject to a range of foraging behaviours). Interestingly, a complement of this association was found in sea otters [39], in which dietary specialization (owing to food limitation) had consequences for behavioural phenotype divergence. Together, these studies indicate a possibly bidirectional association between diet and behaviour. This first evidence of behavioural selection generating variation in diet suggests an intriguing prospect for a broader role of behavioural evolution contributing to dietary niche differentiation, as suggested previously for adaptive radiation of species into different trophic niches [51]. Future studies should evaluate the possibility that selective pressures acting on behavioural syndromes [52] or traits such as risk taking, exploration and aggression could simultaneously impact on the niche breadth or specialization of individuals, contributing to associations between ecological roles and behavioural types.

Diet could be further shaped by other traits coevolving with the selected behavioural traits. Consistent behavioural traits frequently correlate with physiological or life-history traits that facilitate adaptation to specific environments [53-55]. For example, individuals with active personalities are expected to have a high metabolism and a high energy requirement, which in turn should be met by higher energy consumption, and possibly a broader dietary niche [5]. Thus, associations between dietary preferences and behavioural traits may be reinforced, e.g. by the differing energetic needs and digestive efficiency associated with behavioural types [56-58]. Several other traits have been indirectly selected alongside the directional selection for an increased predatory tendency and prey catching speed in our study system [18,31]. Predatory lines tend to have a proactive behavioural style [30], possible stress sensitivity [31], and tendencies for aggression and an elevated sensitivity to hunger (according to transcriptome analysis [59]). The predatory phenotype is thus characterized by various mechanisms that can drive predatory foraging behaviours.

In addition to directly selected behaviours, niche divergence could also be facilitated by behavioural plasticity in diet choice and foraging [13,37]. Dietary flexibility itself can improve fitness [60] and if selection operates on genes that increase plasticity per se [61], behavioural plasticity in foraging and diet choice could be under selection. Predatory voles in this study might have higher dietary plasticity, manifesting as either (i) predatory individuals' diets consisting of a broader range of food items, or (ii) different individuals specializing in different subsets of available items. In support of the latter possibility, predatory voles tended to have overall higher trophic niche heterogeneity over the summer (higher overall variance in δ^{15} N among predatory line individuals relative to control animals). Trophic niche position was determined only once per individual, preventing assessment of within-individual diversity or consistency of diet choice. Thus, we can only speculate whether the observed plasticity results from specialization or stochasticity involved in the selection of rare food items, such as animal foods. Specialization to certain dietary items can have fitness benefits through the improved ability to effectively exploit those specific resources [62-64] but entails possible trade-offs because of the limited flexibility in dietary range or foraging behaviours [5,65] (see also [60]). Specializing could also allow individuals to escape direct competition (e.g. switching to hunting instead of competing for plant protein), but we found no evidence of higher competition (density) influencing the dietary niche of predatory voles more than control voles.

The genetic component of the dietary niche development may be reinforced by cross-generational transmission of preferences in species with parental care (see also cross-generational host fidelity in insects [66]). This possibility is suggested by the observed maternal effects in the isotope profiles of the juveniles (random effect of mother's identity), which might result from maternal genetic and epigenetic effects, possible differences owing to e.g. litter size or the timing of weaning, a direct influence of the maternal diet choice through milk, or preferences or skills the young voles learned from their mothers. Juvenile nutrition during nursing is derived from maternal diet choices (guided by their genetic background) in the form of milk. The resulting isotope profile may be fine tuned by the fractionation in isotopes between mother's diet, isotope ratios in milk, and consolidation in offspring tissues. The foraging behaviours of the juvenile voles themselves may develop in part through observation and exposure to specific foods in early life (described for sea otters [67]). It is not possible here to differentiate the contribution of the mother's versus the juvenile's diet on the resulting isotope ratios in the hair of juveniles because no information is currently available on the fractionation from mother to offspring in voles or the time lag in their effects (e.g. for how long are the elements/isotope ratios derived from milk retained in offspring hair). Importantly, however, we have no reason to assume that this constraint influences the effect of selection on trophic niche. Our sample also captures the dietary niche variation of surviving young voles only and we do not have information on the diets of those voles that died early in the experiment, but body condition of the surviving voles was unassociated with their isotope profiles. Dietary niche variation can have fitness effects (e.g. in pigeon guillemots [62], isopods [63], toads [60] and insect herbivores [68], see also [7]), thus the observed niche variation could result from the selective survival of those individuals that were able to best adapt their diet to the environment and intraspecific competition.

(c) Niche divergence is tempered by the environment Features of the physical and social environment define what food

resources are available to individuals. We observed overall seasonal differences in the isotope ratios in the hair of voles, probably owing to the phenology of plants, animals and fungi altering the availability of specific dietary items over the summer. Energydense seeds are a preferred food [69] and seed abundance is lowest in the early summer, which might limit total energy availability and enforce diet shifting to alternative sources. For example, in German farmland, animals and green plants made up the majority of bank vole diet in early summer, whereas cereals, seeds and fruits were consumed in later summer [24]. Stomach content analyses suggest that animal matter consumption typically peaks in the summer months [25–28].

Individuals are thought to benefit from specializing to avoid competition when food is limited [1,64], especially in generalist species [70]. The early-season difference among the selection regimes could be explained by stronger competition leading to higher specialization in the absence of seeds, with the control and predatory voles preferring different alternative food items, the predatory lines foraging more e.g. insect larvae. In the late season, both C and P voles more likely fed on abundant seeds, eliminating this difference. Intraspecific competition for resources can lead to dietary niche expansion [13] or specialization [71], depending on the environmental conditions [2] and genetic variation of the population [37]. The specific outcome of the environment and intrinsic mechanisms of niche divergence can have significant effects on the stability of ecological networks [1,5]. In this study, high-density treatment did not seem to increase specialization (variances did not differ between density treatments), but high density was associated with a lower overall trophic niche, possibly implying higher competition for food items from a higher trophic level in both P and C lines.

5. Conclusion

Given the possible genetic basis and potential fitness benefits of dietary niche flexibility or specialization under resource competition [37,60], traits associated with diet choice may be important targets for selection. We demonstrated that artificial selection for a predatory behaviour shapes the diet of an omnivorous rodent in field conditions by increasing the predatory individuals' trophic level. The dietary niche of individuals measured in the long term via stable isotopes in hair indicated a small but consistent difference in dietary niche in interaction with the environment. Behavioural selection could, therefore, play a role in defining the trophic niche of individuals. Individual differences in diet choice and diet breadth can, in turn, have significant ecological consequences [1,5]. Our results point to the necessity of considering the significance of consistent behavioural variation in foraging when assessing the overall role of omnivores in the ecological community.

Ethics. The research was conducted in accordance with the relevant laws and all procedures performed on the animals had an ethical committee approval (ESAVI/3981/2018).

Data accessibility. The data used in this paper are available from the Dryad Digital Repository: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.3tx95x6hq [72].

Authors' contributions. A.H.: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, supervision, visualization, writing—original draft; M.K.: data curation, formal analysis, methodology, supervision, visualization, writing—review and editing; E.K.: conceptualization, data curation, investigation, supervision, writing—review and editing; P.K.: conceptualization, data curation, funding acquisition, resources, writing—review and editing; T.M.: conceptualization, data curation, funding acquisition, funding acquisition, funding acquisition, funding acquisition, methodology, resources, supervision, writing—review and editing; M.R.: data curation, investigation, writing—review and editing; K.T.: data curation, investigation, writing—review and editing;

All authors gave final approval for publication and agreed to be held accountable for the work performed therein. The author order is alphabetical.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. Funding was obtained from the Academy of Finland (projects 324605, 326533 to T.M.) and National Science Centre (NCN, Poland) (2018/29/B/NZ8/01924 to A.H.). The base colony of the voles (the selection experiment) was funded by NCN (2016/23/B/NZ8/00888 to P.K.) and Jagiellonian University (project DS/WBINOZ/INOS/757). Acknowledgements. We thank Tanja Hirvonen, Tommi Vuori and Konnevesi Research Station staff for help with field and laboratory work.

References

- Bolnick DI, Svanbäck R, Fordyce JA, Yang LH, Davis JM, Hulsey CD, Forister ML. 2003 The ecology of individuals: incidence and implications of individual specialization. *Am. Nat.* **161**, 1–28. (doi:10.1086/ 343878)
- Araújo MS, Bolnick DI, Layman CA. 2011 The ecological causes of individual specialisation. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 948–958. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011. 01662.x)
- Hutchinson GE. 1957 Concluding remarks. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. 22, 415–427.
- Matich P, Bizzarro JJ, Shipley ON. 2021 Are stable isotope ratios suitable for describing niche partitioning and individual specialization? *Ecol. Appl.* **31**, 1–8. (doi:10.1002/eap.2392)
- Toscano BJ, Gownaris NJ, Heerhartz SM, Monaco CJ. 2016 Personality, foraging behavior and specialization: integrating behavioral and food web

ecology at the individual level. *Oecologia* **182**, 55–69. (doi:10.1007/s00442-016-3648-8)

- Sabelis MW, Lesna I. 2010 Does artificial selection for fixed prey preference affect learning in a predatory mite? Experiments to unravel mechanisms underlying polyphagy in *Hypoaspis aculeifer*. *Acarologia* 50, 257–268. (doi:10.1051/acarologia/ 20101971)
- Moosmann M, Cuenca-Cambronero M, De Lisle S, Greenway R, Hudson CM, Lürig M, Matthews B. 2021 On the evolution of trophic position. *Ecol. Lett.* 24, 2549–2562. (doi:10.1111/ele.13888)
- Alcalay Y, Scharf I, Ovadia O. 2015 Foraging syndromes and trait variation in antlions along a climatic gradient. *Oecologia* **178**, 1093–1103. (doi:10.1007/s00442-015-3284-8)
- Woo KJ, Elliott KH, Davidson M, Gaston AJ, Davoren GK. 2008 Individual specialization in diet by a generalist marine predator reflects specialization in

foraging behaviour. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **77**, 1082–1091. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01429.x)

- Des Roches S, Post DM, Turley NE, Bailey JK, Hendry AP, Kinnison MT, Schweitzer JA, Palkovacs EP. 2018 The ecological importance of intraspecific variation. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 2, 57–64. (doi:10.1038/s41559-017-0402-5)
- Barabás G, D'Andrea R. 2016 The effect of intraspecific variation and heritability on community pattern and robustness. *Ecol. Lett.* **19**, 977–986. (doi:10.1111/ele.12636)
- Coll M, Guershon M. 2002 Omnivory in terrestrial arthropods: mixing plant and prey diets. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 47, 267–297. (doi:10.1146/annurev.ento. 47.091201.145209)
- Svanbäck R, Bolnick DI. 2007 Intraspecific competition drives increased resource use diversity within a natural population. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 274, 839–844. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2006.0198)

- Milton K. 1999 A hypothesis to explain the role of meat-eating in human evolution. *Evol. Anthropol.* 8, 11–21. (doi:10.1002/(SICI)1520-6505(1999)8:1<11:: AID-EVAN6>3.0.C0;2-M)
- Clauss M, Frey R, Kiefer B, Lechner-Doll M, Loehlein W, Polster C, Rössner GE, Streich WJ. 2003 The maximum attainable body size of herbivorous mammals: morphophysiological constraints on foregut, and adaptations of hindgut fermenters. *Oecologia* 136, 14–27. (doi:10.1007/s00442-003-1254-z)
- Beasley DE, Koltz AM, Lambert JE, Fierer N, Dunn RR. 2015 The evolution of stomach acidity and its relevance to the human microbiome. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0134116. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0134116)
- Dumont F, Lucas E, Réale D. 2017 Coexistence of zoophytophagous and phytozoophagous strategies linked to genotypic diet specialization in plant bug. *PLoS ONE* **12**, 1–13. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0176369)
- Sadowska ET, Baliga-Klimczyk K, Chrząścik KM, Koteja P. 2008 Laboratory model of adaptive radiation: a selection experiment in the bank vole. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 81, 627–640. (doi:10.1086/ 590164)
- Bearhop S, Adams CE, Waldron S, Fuller RA, Macleod H. 2004 Determining trophic niche width: a novel approach using stable isotope analysis. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **73**, 1007–1012. (doi:10.1111/j.0021-8790.2004.00861.x)
- Ben-David M, Flaherty EA. 2012 Stable isotopes in mammalian research: a beginner's guide.
 J. Mammal. 93, 312–328. (doi:10.1644/11-MAMM-S-166.1)
- Butet A, Delettre YR. 2011 Diet differentiation between European arvicoline and murine rodents. *Acta Theriol. (Warsz)* 56, 297–304. (doi:10.1007/ s13364-011-0049-6)
- Hansson L. 1979 Condition and diet in relation to habitat in bank voles *Clethrionomys glareolus*: population or community approach? *Oikos* 33, 55–63.
- Selva N, Hobson KA, Cortés-Avizanda A, Zalewski A, Donázar JA. 2012 Mast pulses shape trophic interactions between fluctuating rodent populations in a primeval forest. *PLoS ONE* 7, e51267. (doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0051267)
- Abt KF, Bock WF. 1998 Seasonal variations of diet composition in farmland field mice *Apodemus* spp. and bank voles *Clethrionomys glareolus*. *Acta Theriol. (Warsz)* 43, 379–389. (doi:10.4098/AT.arch. 98-49)
- Hansson L. 1985 The food of bank voles, wood mice and yellow-necked mice. *Symp. Zool. Soc. Lond.* 55, 141–168.
- Canova L. 1993 Resource partitioning between the bank vole *Clethrionomys glareolus* and the wood mouse *Apodemus sylvaticus* in woodland habitats. *Bolletino di Zool.* **60**, 193–198. (doi:10.1080/ 11250009309355809)
- 27. Viro P, Sulkava S. 1985 Food of the bank vole in northern Finnish spruce forests. *Acta Theriol.*

(Warsz) **30**, 259–266. (doi:10.4098/at. arch.85-17)

- Gębczyńska Z. 1976 Food habits of the bank vole and phenological phases of plants in an oak hornbeam forest. *Acta Theriol. (Warsz)* 21, 223–236. (doi:10.4098/at.arch.76-21)
- Holišová V. 1971 The food of *Clethrionomys* glareolus at different population densities. Acta Sc. Nat. Brno 5, 1–43.
- Maiti U, Sadowska ET, ChrzĄścik KM, Koteja P. 2018 Experimental evolution of personality traits: openfield exploration in bank voles from a multidirectional selection experiment. *Curr. Zool.* 65, 375–384. (doi:10.1093/ cz/zoy068)
- Lipowska MM, Sadowska ET, Bauchinger U, Goymann W, Bober-Sowa B, Koteja P. 2020 Does selection for behavioral and physiological performance traits alter glucocorticoid responsiveness in bank voles? J. Exp. Biol. 223, jeb219865. (doi:10.1242/jeb.219865)
- Mappes T, Koskela E, Ylonen H. 1995 Reproductive costs and litter size in the bank vole. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 261, 19–24. (doi:10.1098/rspb.1995.0111)
- Koskela E, Mappes T, Ylönen H. 1997 Territorial behaviour and reproductive success of bank vole *Clethrionomys glareolus* females. J. Anim. Ecol. 66, 341–349.
- Ylönen H, Horne TJ, Luukkonen M. 2004 Effect of birth and weaning mass on growth, survival and reproduction in the bank vole. *Evol. Ecol. Res.* 6, 433–442.
- Arostegui MC, Schindler DE, Holtgrieve GW. 2019 Does lipid-correction introduce biases into isotopic mixing models? Implications for diet reconstruction studies. *Oecologia* **191**, 745–755. (doi:10.1007/ s00442-019-04525-7)
- Fox J, Weisberg S. 2019 An R companion to applied regression, 3rd edn. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. See https://socialsciences.mcmaster.ca/jfox/Books/ Companion/.
- Agashe D, Bolnick DI. 2010 Intraspecific genetic variation and competition interact to influence niche expansion. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 277, 2915–2924. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.0232)
- Costa-Pereira R, Araújo MS, Souza FL, Ingram T. 2019 Competition and resource breadth shape niche variation and overlap in multiple trophic dimensions. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 286, 20190369. (doi:10. 1098/rspb.2019.0369)
- Tinker MT, Bentall G, Estes JA. 2008 Food limitation leads to behavioral diversification and dietary specialization in sea otters. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 105, 560–565. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0709263105)
- R Core Team. 2020 R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. See https:// www.R-project.org/.
- Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S. 2015 Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. J. Stat. Softw. 67, 1–48. (doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01)
- 42. Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff PB, Bojesen Christensen RH. 2017 ImerTest package: tests in linear mixed

effects models. *J. Stat. Soft.* **82**, 1–26. (doi:10. 18637/jss.v082.i13)

- Barton K. 2015 MuMln: Multi-Model Inference. R package version 1.15.1. See https://CRAN.Rproject. org/package=MuMln.
- 44. Wickham H. 2016 *Ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis.* New York, NY: Springer.
- Ahlmann-Eltze C, Patil I. 2021 ggsignif: R Package for displaying significance brackets for 'ggplot2'. *PsyArXiv* (doi:10.31234/osf.io/7awm6)
- Lüdecke D. 2021 sjPlot: data visualization for statistics in social science. R package version 2.8.9. See https://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=sjPlot.
- Lee II Y, Lim HS, Yoon II H. 2009 Carbon and nitrogen isotope composition of vegetation on King George Island, maritime Antarctic. *Polar Biol.* 32, 1607–1615. (doi:10.1007/s00300-009-0659-5)
- Gibbons ME, Ferguson AM, Lee DR. 2005 Both learning and heritability affect foraging behaviour of red-backed salamanders, *Plethodon cinereus. Anim. Behav.* 69, 721–732. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2004. 06.021)
- Latshaw JS, Smith BH. 2005 Heritable variation in learning performance affects foraging preferences in the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 58, 200–207. (doi:10.1007/s00265-004-0904-4)
- Grant PR, Price TD. 1981 Population variation in continuously varying traits as an ecological genetics problem. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **21**, 795–811. (doi:10. 1093/icb/21.4.795)
- Richards EJ, McGirr JA, Wang JR, St. John ME, Poelstra JW, Solano MJ, O'Connell DC, Turner BJ, Martin CH. 2021 A vertebrate adaptive radiation is assembled from an ancient and disjunct spatiotemporal landscape. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 118, e2011811118 (doi:10.1073/pnas.2011811118)
- Sih A, Bell A, Johnson JC. 2004 Behavioral syndromes: an ecological and evolutionary overview. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **19**, 372–378. (doi:10.1016/j.tree. 2004.04.009)
- Réale D, Garant D, Humphries MM, Bergeron P, Careau V, Montiglio PO. 2010 Personality and the emergence of the pace-of-life syndrome concept at the population level. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 365, 4051–4063. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0208)
- Royauté R, Berdal MA, Garrison CR, Dochtermann NA. 2018 Paceless life? A meta-analysis of the paceof-life syndrome hypothesis. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 72, 64. (doi:10.1007/s00265-018-2472-z)
- Hämäläinen AM, Guenther A, Patrick SC, Schuett W. 2021 Environmental effects on the covariation among pace-of-life traits. *Ethology* **127**, 32–44. (doi:10.1111/eth.13098)
- Britt EJ, Hicks JW, Bennett AF. 2006 The energetic consequences of dietary specialization in populations of the garter snake, *Thamnophis elegans. J. Exp. Biol.* 209, 3164–3169. (doi:10.1242/ jeb.02366)
- Careau V, Thomas D, Humphries MM, Réale D. 2008 Energy metabolism and animal personality. *Oikos* **117**, 641–653. (doi:10.1111/j.2008.0030-1299. 16513.x)

oryalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rspb Proc. R. Soc. B 289: 20212510

- McGhee KE, Pintor LM, Bell AM. 2013 Reciprocal behavioral plasticity and behavioral types during predator-prey interactions. *Am. Nat.* 182, 704–717. (doi:10.1086/673526)
- Konczal M, Koteja P, Orlowska-Feuer P, Radwan J, Sadowska ET, Babik W. 2016 Genomic response to selection for predatory behavior in a mammalian model of adaptive radiation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33, 2429–2440. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msw121)
- Costa-Pereira R, Toscano B, Souza FL, Ingram T, Araújo MS. 2019 Individual niche trajectories drive fitness variation. *Funct. Ecol.* 33, 1734–1745. (doi:10.1111/1365-2435.13389)
- Snell-Rood EC, Ehlman SM. 2021 Ecology and evolution of plasticity. In *Phenotypic plasticity & evolution* (eds I Goldstein, IM Ehrenreich), pp. 139–160. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Golet GH, Kuletz KJ, Roby DD, Irons DB. 2000 Adult prey choice affects chick growth and reproductive success in pigeon guillemots. *Auk* **117**, 82–91. (doi:10.1642/0004-8038(2000)117[0082:APCACG]2. 0.C0;2)

- Basset A, Rossi L. 1987 Relationships between trophic niche breadth and reproductive capabilities in a population of *Proasellus coxalis* Dollfus (Crustacea: Isopoda). *Funct. Ecol.* 1, 13. (doi:10.2307/2389352)
- 64. Roughgarden J. 1974 Evolution of niche width. *Am. Nat.* **108**, 142–143. (doi:10.1086/282892)
- Paull JS, Martin RA, Pfennig DW. 2012 Increased competition as a cost of specialization during the evolution of resource polymorphism. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **107**, 845–853. (doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.2012.01982.x)
- Henry LM, Roitberg BD, Gillespie DR. 2008 Hostrange evolution in *Aphidius* parasitoids fidelity, virulence and fitness trade-offs on an ancestral host. *Evolution* 62, 689–699.
- Estes JA, Riedman ML, Staedler MM, Tinker MT, Lyon BE. 2003 Individual variation in prey selection by sea otters: patterns, causes and implications. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **72**, 144–155. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-2656.2003.00690.x)
- 68. Mody K, Unsicker SB, Linsenmair KE. 2007 Fitness related diet-mixing by intraspecific host-plant-switching of specialist insect

herbivores. *Ecology* **88**, 1012–1020. (doi:10. 1890/06-1338)

- Hansson L. 1971 Small rodent food, feeding and population dynamics: a comparison between granivorous and herbivorous species in Scandinavia. *Oikos* 22, 183–198.
- Robinson ML, Strauss SY. 2020 Generalists are more specialized in low-resource habitats, increasing stability of ecological network structure. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **117**, 2043–2048. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 1820143117)
- Gerardo Herrera ML, Korine C, Fleming TH, Arad Z. 2008 Dietary implications of intrapopulation variation in nitrogen isotope composition of an old world fruit bat. *J. Mammal.* **89**, 1184–1190. (doi:10.1644/07-MAMM-A-263.1)
- Hämäläinen A, Kiljunen M, Koskela E, Koteja P, Mappes T, Rajala M, Tiainen K. 2022 Data from: Artificial selection for predatory behaviour results in dietary niche differentiation in an omnivorous mammal. Dryad Digital Repository. (doi:10.5161/ dryad.3tx95x6hq)