

# KLUENTO 1

In scattering theory, the fundamental solutions

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\xi^2 + k^2 \pm i0}\right)$$

to the operator  $H_0 - k^2 = -\Delta + k^2$  play a special role. Thus we study distributions

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{p(\xi) \pm i\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{p \pm i0}$$

when  $p$  is a polynomial with real coeff. In Theorem 2.4.2 we proved the existence of  $\frac{1}{p \pm i0}$  in the case when  $p$  has only simple zeros.

Preparations:

1.  $p \in C^k(X)$ ,  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

(later  $p$  will be a polynomial with real coefficients)

2. the zero's of  $p$  are simple ( $\Leftrightarrow$ )

$$p(\xi) = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla p(\xi) =: p'(\xi) \neq 0$$

3. We assume

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_1} > 0 \quad \text{at} \quad \xi = \xi_0 \in X$$

Then

$F: \xi \mapsto (p(\xi), \xi')$ ,  $\xi' = (\xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1})$   
is a diffeo ( $C^k$ -diffeo)

$$F: X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \times X \quad \text{where} \\ \cup \\ \xi_0$$

$$\xi_0 \in X_0 \subset X$$

$$p(\xi_0) \in X_1 \subset \mathbb{R}$$

$$\xi'_0 \in X' \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$$

by inverse function theorem.

The inverse of  $F$  is of the form

$$(\lambda, \xi') \mapsto (\eta(\xi', \lambda), \xi')$$

where  $\eta$  is  $C^k$ -map,  $\eta: X_1 \times X' \rightarrow X_0$ .

Thus

$$p(\eta(\xi', \lambda), \xi') = \lambda$$

### 2.4.7 Theorem Assume

- (i)  $p \in C^2(X)$ ,  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $p' \neq 0$  in  $X$
- (ii)  $f \in B$  and  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Then

$$z \mapsto u_z := F^{-1}((p-z)^{-1} \chi \hat{f}) \in B^*$$

$\text{Im } z \neq 0$  can be extended w<sup>x</sup>-cont functions to  $\mathcal{C}^\pm = \{z \mid \pm \text{Im } z \geq 0\}$ .

Moreover

$$(1) \quad \|u_z\|_{B^*} \leq C \|f\|_B$$

and for  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$(2) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int |u_{\lambda \pm i0}(x)|^2 \varphi(x/R) dx$$

$$= (2\pi)^{1-n} \int_{p=\lambda} |\chi \hat{f}|^2 \left( \int_{\pm t=0} \varphi(tp') dt \right) \frac{ds}{|p'|}$$

and

$$(3) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int u_{\lambda+i0}(x) \overline{u_{\lambda-i0}(x)} \varphi(x/R) dx = 0$$

For the proof of Theorem 2.4.4 we need

**Lemma 2.4.5** Assume  $p \in C^{N+2}(X_0)$ ,  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(X_0)$  and define

$$(1) \quad \hat{u}_z = (p - z - i0)^{-1} (\chi \hat{f}), \quad \text{Im } z \geq 0$$

for  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then with  $C$  indep of  $f$

$$(2) \quad \|u_z(x_1, \cdot)\| \leq$$

$$C \int \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} H(x_1, -t) + (1 + |t - x_1|)^{-N} dt$$

where  $H$  is the Heaviside function.

when  $x_1 \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$(3) \quad \|u_x(x_1, \cdot) - e^{i x_1 \eta} (D', \lambda) u_\infty(x', \lambda)\|_{L^2}$$

$$\rightarrow 0.$$

Here  $u_\infty(x', \lambda) = 0$ , if  $\lambda \notin X$ ,

and

$$\hat{u}_\infty(\xi', \lambda) =$$

$$(4) \quad = i \left( \frac{\chi \hat{f}}{\partial p / \partial \xi_1} \right) (\eta(\xi', \lambda), \xi')$$

Proof We have

(i)  $u_z$  is analytic in  $\{\operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$

and

(ii)  $\|u_z(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$ , as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ .

Note for  $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$

$$u_z(x, x') = \overline{F}^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{p(\xi) - z} (\mathcal{X} \hat{f}) \right)$$

$$\int d\xi' e^{ix'\xi'} \int e^{ix_0 \cdot \xi_0} \frac{1}{p(\xi) - x - iy} (\mathcal{X} \hat{f})(\xi_0, \xi') d\xi_0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_z(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2} &= \left\| \int e^{ix_0 \cdot \xi_0} \frac{\mathcal{X}(\xi_0)}{p(\xi_0) - x - iy} \hat{f}(\xi_0, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\operatorname{Im} z} \int \|\mathcal{X}(\xi_0, \cdot) \hat{f}(\xi_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2} d\xi_0 \end{aligned}$$

and thus (2) follows.

By maximum principle, (1) and (2) we need to show (2) only when

$$z = \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If  $\lambda \in X$ , we write

$$\frac{1}{p(\xi) - \lambda - i\varepsilon} = \frac{\xi_1 - \eta}{p - \lambda} \left[ \frac{1}{\xi_1 - \eta - i\varepsilon} \frac{\xi_1 - \eta}{p - \lambda} \right]$$

$$= \frac{q(\xi)}{\xi_1 - \eta - i\varepsilon q(\xi)}$$

where  $q(\xi) = \frac{\xi_1 - \eta(\xi', \lambda)}{p(\xi) - \lambda}$

$$= \frac{\xi_1 - \eta}{p(\xi) - p(\eta)} > 0$$

since  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_1} > 0$  in  $X_0$ .

Thus  $\hat{u}_\lambda(\xi) = \frac{q(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)}{\xi_1 - \eta(\xi', \lambda) + i\varepsilon}$

(c.f. Lemma 6.2.2. in Hörmander I).

We need to calculate the inverse Fourier transform w.r.t.  $\xi_1$ . We write

$$\frac{q(\xi)}{\xi_1 - \eta + i\varepsilon} = \frac{q(\eta, \xi')}{\xi_1 - \eta + i\varepsilon} + \frac{q(\xi, \xi') - q(\eta, \xi')}{\xi_1 - \eta} \stackrel{G}{\text{''}}$$

where

$$(*) \quad \mathcal{F}_1^{-1} \frac{q(\eta, \xi')}{\xi_1 - \eta + i\varepsilon} = i q(\eta, \xi') e^{i x_1 \eta} H(x_1) \in L^\infty$$

( $\mathcal{F}_x$  is Fourier transform w.r.t.  $x_1$ )

Now  $G \in C^N$  and it is thus easy to see that

$$K(x_1, \xi') = \mathcal{F}_x^{-1} G(x_1, \xi') (x_1)$$

satisfies by Riemann-Lebesgue

$$(|t| |x_1|^N) |K(x_1, \xi')| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as}$$

$$x_1 \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let now

$$\mathcal{F}_{x_1} u_\lambda(x_1, \cdot) = U(x_1, \xi')$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{x_1} f(x_1, \cdot) = F(x_1, \xi')$$

Then by convolution theorem

$$U(x_1, \xi') =$$

$$\int F(t, \xi') (i\eta(\eta, \xi') e^{i(x_1 - t)\eta(\xi', \lambda)} H(x_1 - t)$$

$$+ \int F(t, \xi') K(x_1 - t, \xi') dt$$

Thus

$$\|u_\lambda(x_1, \cdot)\|_{L^2} = \|U(x_1, \cdot)\|_{L^2}$$

$$\leq C \int \|F(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} (H(x, -t) - (1 + |t, -x, |)^{-N}) dt$$

and (2) follows for  $\varepsilon = \lambda$ .

If  $\rho(\xi) \neq \lambda$  in  $\text{supp } \chi$ , then

$$\frac{\chi}{\rho - \lambda} \in C_0^{N+2}$$

and (2) is true with  $H = 0$

and  $N$  replaced by  $N+2$ . Since

$$\left\| \frac{\chi}{\rho - \lambda} \right\|_{C^{N+2}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

we see that the constant  $C$  in (2) vanishes.

$$C = C(\lambda) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

Thus (2) holds for every  $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$ .

Moreover

$$(K) \quad \int F(t, \xi') : g(\eta, \xi') \underbrace{e^{-it\eta}}_{H(x, -t)} dt$$

$$\rightarrow : g(\eta, \xi') \hat{f}(\eta, \xi')$$

by dominated convergence.

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}_x \left[ u_x(x, \cdot) - e^{i x, \eta} (v', \lambda) u_\infty(\cdot, \lambda) \right] \\ &= \int F(t, \cdot) i g(\eta, \cdot) e^{i(x, -t)\eta} H(x, -t) dt \\ & \quad - e^{i x, \eta} (\cdot, \lambda) \hat{u}_\infty(\cdot, \lambda) \\ &= \int F(t, \cdot) g(\eta, \cdot) H(x, -t) e^{-it\eta} \\ & \quad - \frac{\hat{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{X}}{\partial p / \partial \xi,} (\eta, \xi') \end{aligned}$$

But

$$g(\eta, \xi') = \frac{\mathcal{X}}{\partial p / \partial \xi,} (\eta, \xi')$$

and (3) follows.

