Calderón problem

Solutions to Exercises #2, 16.6.2008

4. Let $P_0 = -h^2 \Delta$ and $P_{0,\varphi} = e^{\varphi/h} P_0 e^{-\varphi/h} = (hD)^2 - 1 + 2i\alpha \cdot hD$, and let $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Theorem 5.3 in the lectures states that

$$h||u|| \le C||P_{0,\varphi}u||.$$
 (1)

To have a bound for ||Du|| we compute

$$\|hDu\|^{2} = (hDu|hDu) = ((hD)^{2}u|u) = (P_{0,\varphi}u|u) + \|u\|^{2} - 2i(\alpha \cdot hDu|u),$$

which implies upon using the inequality $|ab| \leq \delta a^2 + \frac{1}{4\delta}b^2$ that

$$||hDu||^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} ||P_{0,\varphi}u||^{2} + \frac{1}{2} ||hDu||^{2} + C||u||^{2}.$$

Moving one term one the other side, we have

$$||hDu||^2 \le ||P_{0,\varphi}u||^2 + C||u||^2.$$

This and the original Carleman estimate (1) imply

$$h^2 \|hDu\|^2 \le h^2 \|P_{0,\varphi}u\|^2 + C \|P_{0,\varphi}u\|^2 \le C \|P_{0,\varphi}u\|^2,$$

and we obtain the required estimate in the case q = 0,

$$h||u|| + h||hDu|| \le C||P_{0,\varphi}u||.$$

If q is nonzero one may proceed as in the proof of Theorem 5.3 to add a potential if h is small enough.

5. Let $P_{\varphi} = e^{\varphi/h}h^2(-\Delta + q)e^{-\varphi/h}$, so that $P_{\varphi}^* = P_{0,-\varphi} + h^2\bar{q}$. Applying Theorem 5.6 to P_{φ}^* , we have the Carleman estimate

$$h^{3}((\alpha \cdot \nu)\partial_{\nu}v|\partial_{\nu}v)_{\partial\Omega_{+}} + h^{2}\|v\|^{2} \leq C\|P_{\varphi}^{*}v\|^{2} - Ch^{3}((\alpha \cdot \nu)\partial_{\nu}v|\partial_{\nu}v)_{\partial\Omega_{-}},$$

which is valid for $v \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying $v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Define

$$M = \{ v \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}) ; v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \partial_{\nu} v|_{\partial\Omega_{-}} = 0 \}$$

Let $D = P_{\varphi}^* M$ be a subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$, and consider the linear functional

$$L: D \to \mathbf{C}, \quad L(P^*_{\omega}v) = (v|f), \quad \text{for } v \in M.$$

This is well defined by the Carleman estimate, which also implies

$$|L(P_{\varphi}^{*}v)| \leq ||v|| ||f|| \leq \frac{C}{h} ||f|| ||P_{\varphi}^{*}v||.$$

Thus L is a bounded linear functional on D.

The Hahn-Banach theorem ensures that there is a bounded linear functional $\hat{L} : L^2(\Omega) \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\hat{L}|_D = L$ and $\|\hat{L}\| \leq Ch^{-1}\|f\|$. By the Riesz representation theorem, there is $\tilde{r} \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\hat{L}(w) = (w|\tilde{r}), \quad w \in L^2(\Omega),$$

and $\|\tilde{r}\| \leq Ch^{-1} \|f\|$. Then, for $w \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$, by the definition of weak derivatives we have

$$(w|P_{\varphi}\tilde{r}) = (P_{\varphi}^*w|\tilde{r}) = \hat{L}(P_{\varphi}^*w) = L(P_{\varphi}^*w) = (w|f),$$

which shows that $P_{\varphi}\tilde{r} = f$ in the weak sense. The function $r = h^2\tilde{r}$ satisfies $e^{\varphi/h}(-\Delta + q)e^{-\varphi/h}r = f$ in Ω , and $||r|| \leq Ch||f||$.

It remains to show that $\tilde{r}|_{\partial\Omega_+} = 0$. For this we use the fact (stated in the lectures) that \tilde{r} is in the space $H_{\Delta}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^2(\Omega); \Delta u \in L^2(\Omega)\}$, and that there is a well defined bounded trace operator $H_{\Delta}(\Omega) \mapsto H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. An integration by parts (which can be justified by using properties of $H_{\Delta}(\Omega)$) gives for $v \in M$ that

$$(v|P_{\varphi}\tilde{r}) - (P_{\varphi}^*v|\tilde{r}) = h^2(\partial_{\nu}v|\tilde{r})_{\partial\Omega}$$

The left hand side is (v|f) - (v|f) = 0 and $\partial_{\nu} v|_{\partial \Omega_{-}} = 0$, which implies

$$(\partial_{\nu} v | \tilde{r})_{\partial \Omega_{+}} = 0, \quad v \in M.$$

Since we may choose $\partial_{\nu} v$ to be any smooth function in a slightly smaller set than $\partial \Omega_+$, we obtain $\tilde{r}|_{\partial \Omega_+} = 0$ as required.

6. Let $P_0 = P_0(hD) = (hD)^2$ and $\varphi(x) = \alpha \cdot x$, and consider the convexified weight $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varphi(x) + \frac{h}{\varepsilon} \frac{\varphi^2}{2}$. We first prove a Carleman estimate for the operator

$$P_{0,\varphi_{\varepsilon}} = P_{0,\varphi_{\varepsilon}}(hD) = e^{\varphi_{\varepsilon}/h} P_0(hD) e^{-\varphi_{\varepsilon}/h} = P_0(hD + i\nabla\varphi_{\varepsilon}).$$

As in the proof of Theorem 5.3, we decompose $P_{0,\varphi_{\varepsilon}} = A_{\varepsilon} + iB_{\varepsilon}$ where $A_{\varepsilon} = (hD)^2 - (\nabla\varphi_{\varepsilon})^2$ and $B_{\varepsilon} = \nabla\varphi_{\varepsilon} \circ hD + hD \circ \nabla\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ are self-adjoint. Also, since $\nabla\varphi_{\varepsilon} = (1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi)\alpha$, we have

$$A_{\varepsilon} = (hD)^2 - (1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi)^2, \quad B_{\varepsilon} = 2(1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi)\alpha \cdot hD + \frac{h^2}{i\varepsilon}.$$

If $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we compute

$$||P_{0,\varphi_{\varepsilon}}u||^{2} = ||A_{\varepsilon}u||^{2} + ||B_{\varepsilon}u||^{2} + (i[A_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}]u|u).$$

Now A_{ε} and B_{ε} have variable coefficients and $[A_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}]$ does not vanish. A direct computation shows that

$$i[A_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}] = \frac{4h^2}{\varepsilon} \Big(\sum_{j,k=1}^n \alpha_j \alpha_k h D_j h D_k + (1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon} \varphi)^2 \Big).$$

The inner product becomes

$$(i[A_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}]u|u) = \frac{4h^2}{\varepsilon} \|\alpha \cdot hDu\|^2 + \frac{4h^2}{\varepsilon} \|(1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi)u\|^2.$$

Note that this expression is positive instead of zero. If ε is fixed and h is so small that $1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon}\varphi \ge 1/2$ for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, we obtain

$$\|P_{0,\varphi_{\varepsilon}}u\|^2 \ge \frac{h^2}{\varepsilon} \|u\|^2$$

This is in a sense stronger than the result in Theorem 5.3, since we may choose ε to be very small (but fixed).

If $A \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)^n$ is a vector field and if $q \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, consider the operator $P_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}} := e^{\varphi_{\varepsilon}/h}h^2(-\Delta + A \cdot D + q)e^{-\varphi_{\varepsilon}/h} = P_{0,\varphi_{\varepsilon}} + hA \cdot hD + ihA \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} + h^2q.$ We have

$$\frac{h}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|u\| \le \|P_{0,\varphi_{\varepsilon}}u\| \le \|P_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}}u\| + Ch\|hDu\| + Ch\|u\|.$$

On the other hand, the argument in Exercise 4 gives

$$\|hDu\| \le \|P_{0,\varphi_{\varepsilon}}u\| + C\|u\| \le \|P_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}}u\| + Ch\|hDu\| + C\|u\|,$$

which implies for h small that

$$||hDu|| \le C ||P_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}}u|| + C||u||.$$

If $h \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$, combining these estimates gives

$$\frac{h}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(\|u\| + \|hDu\|) \le C \|P_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}}u\|.$$

It remains to prove an estimate for P_{φ} instead of $P_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}}$. But

$$P_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}} = e^{\varphi^2/2\varepsilon} P_{\varphi} e^{-\varphi^2/2\varepsilon}$$

where $e^{\pm \varphi^2/2\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded in $\overline{\Omega}$ together with its derivatives. Thus the last Carleman estimate easily implies

$$h(||u|| + ||hDu||) \le C ||P_{\varphi}u||.$$