# Complex analysis 2

Exercises 2

David Johansson

April 12, 2024

Exercise 1

If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges absolutely, show that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges and

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n\right| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |z_n|.$$

SOLUTION:

For k > l then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k} z_n - \sum_{n=1}^{l} z_n \bigg| = \bigg| \sum_{n=l}^{k} z_n \bigg| = \sum_{n=l}^{k} |z_n| \le \varepsilon$$

so  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  indeed converges. Next

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n\right| &\leq \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n - \sum_{n=1}^k z_n\right| + \left|\sum_{n=1}^k z_n\right| \\ &\leq \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n - \sum_{n=1}^k z_n\right| + \sum_{n=1}^k |z_n| \\ &\leq \underbrace{\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n - \sum_{n=1}^k z_n\right|}_{<\varepsilon} + \underbrace{\left|\sum_{n=1}^k |z_n| - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |z_n|\right|}_{<\varepsilon} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |z_n|. \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we conclude that

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n\right| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |z_n|.$$

## EXERCISE 2

Let  $s_k : G \to \mathbb{C}$  be continuous functions converging to some  $s : G \to \mathbb{C}$ locally uniformly in an open G. Show that if  $\gamma : [a, b] \to G$  is a piecewise  $C^1$ -path then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\gamma} s_k(z) \, dz = \int_{\gamma} s(z) \, dz.$$

SOLUTION:

Since  $\gamma$  is continuous and [a, b] compact,  $\gamma^* = \gamma([a, b]) \subset G$  is compact. Then  $||s_k - s||_{C(\gamma^*)} \to 0$  by the assumption. From Corollary 4.2.12 in the lecture notes from Complex analysis 1 we get

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} s_k(z) \, dz - \int_{\gamma} s(z) \, dz \right| \le \|s_k - s\|_{C(\gamma^*)} \operatorname{length}(\gamma) \to 0.$$

EXERCISE 3

Prove that the Riemann  $\zeta$ -function

$$\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{z}}$$

is well-defined and analytic in the half-plane  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(z) > 1\}$ .

SOLUTION:

Write z = x + iy where x > 1. Then

$$|n^{z}| = |e^{(x+iy)\ln(n)}| = |e^{x\ln(n)}e^{iy\ln(z)}| = |e^{x\ln(n)}| = n^{x}.$$

For  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n^x} = \int_{n-1}^n \frac{1}{n^x} \, dt \le \int_{n-1}^n \frac{1}{t^x} \, dt = \frac{1}{1-x} \Big( \frac{1}{n^{x-1}} - \frac{1}{(n-1)^{x-1}} \Big)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=2}^{k} \frac{1}{n^{x}} \le \sum_{n=2}^{k} \frac{1}{1-x} \left( \frac{1}{n^{x-1}} - \frac{1}{(n-1)^{x-1}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{x-1} - \frac{1}{x-1} \frac{1}{k^{x-1}} \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty$$

So by using

$$M_n = \frac{1}{1-x} \left( \frac{1}{n^{x-1}} - \frac{1}{(n-1)^{x-1}} \right)$$

in the Weierstrass M-test, we get that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^z}$$

converges uniformly and hence  $\zeta(z)$  is well-defined. Since each function term in the series is analytic, it follows from Theorem 2.13 that the limit  $\zeta(z)$  is analytic.

EXERCISE 4

Prove that the distance between a compact  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  and a closed  $F \subset \mathbb{C}$  with  $K \cap F \neq \emptyset$  is positive.

### SOLUTION:

If it is not true, then for any r>0 there exists  $z_r\in K$  and  $w_r\in F$  such that

$$|z_r - w_r| \le r.$$

Taking r = 1/n we get sequences  $z_n, w_n$  such that  $|z_n - w_n| \le 1/n$ . Since K is compact, the sequence  $z_n$  is bounded and hence has a convergent subsequence,  $z_{n_k} \to z \in K$ . Then

$$|w_{n_k} - z| \le |w_{n_k} - z_{n_k}| + |z_{n_k} - z| \to 0$$

so  $w_{n_k} \to z$ . But since F is closed this implies  $z \in F$ , which contradicts  $K \cap F \neq \emptyset$ .

EXERCISE 5

Prove the Heine-Borel property: if  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  is compact then any open cover of K has a finite subcover.

### SOLUTION:

Suppose to the contrary that there exists an open cover  $G = \{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$  of K which does not have a finite subcover. From this we create a sequence that will lead to a contradiction.

- First pick any  $U_{\alpha_1} \in G$  and pick  $x_1 \in U_{\alpha_1}$ . Then  $K \setminus U_{\alpha_1}$  is again compact and doesn't have a finite subcover.
- I claim that there exist an open ball  $B \subset \mathbb{C}$  that intersects  $K \setminus U_{\alpha_1}$ and such that  $B \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$  but does not have a finite subcover in G. This follows by noting that  $K \setminus U_{\alpha_1}$  can be covered by finitely many balls with any fixed but small enough radius. If each of those finitely many balls had a finite subcover in G then their finite union would also have a finite subcover, which is a contradiction. I will however not prove that  $K \setminus U_{\alpha_1}$  can be covered by finitely many balls in such a way, but I believe it is fairly intuitive for  $\mathbb{C}$  or even  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- Let  $B_1$  be such a ball with radius at most 1 and which intersects  $K \setminus U_{\alpha_1}$ .
- Pick  $x_2 \in B_1$  and let  $U_{\alpha_2} \in G$  be a set containing  $x_2$  and let  $B_2 \subset B_1$  be another ball whose radius is less than 1/2 and such that it intersects  $K \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^2 U_{\alpha_j}$ .
- In general, pick  $x_n \in B_{n-1}$  and let  $U_{\alpha_n} \in G$  be a set containing  $x_n$ . Then there still must exist an open ball  $B_n \subset B_{n-1}$  of radius less than 1/n and such that  $B_n$  intersects  $K \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$ .
- Next note that, by construction,

$$B_1 \supset B_2 \supset \ldots \supset B_n \supset \ldots$$

From this we get that the sequence  $x_n$  is Cauchy, since for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $n > m \ge N$  we have  $x_n, x_m \in B_m \subset B_N$  and then

$$|x_n - x_m| \le \frac{2}{N}$$

So there exist a limit  $x \in K, x_n \to x$ .

- Since  $x_n$  converges to x, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist a ball  $B(x, \varepsilon)$ centered at x and of radius  $\varepsilon$  which contains all but at most finitely many  $x_n$ . Since G is a cover and  $x \in K$ , there exist  $U_{\alpha_0} \in G$ containing x and since  $U_{\alpha_0}$  is open, any small enough ball around x is contained in  $U_{\alpha_0}$ . Let  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  be such ball  $B(x, \varepsilon_0) \subset U_{\alpha_0}$ .
- Next note that

$$x \in \bigcap_{n=1} \overline{B}_n \subset \overline{B}_k$$

for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $B_k$  has radius at most 1/k, it is contained in  $B(x, \frac{2}{k})$  and the closure  $\overline{B}_k$  is contained at least in  $B(x, \frac{3}{k})$ . For  $k > \frac{3}{\varepsilon_0}, B(x, \frac{3}{k}) \subset B(x, \varepsilon_0)$ . This implies that for such k,

$$B_k \subset B(x,\varepsilon_0) \subset U_{\alpha_0}$$

so that  $B_k$  is covered by  $U_{\alpha_0} \in G$ , which is a contradiction.

# EXERCISE 6

If  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  is open and  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on any closed ball in U, show that  $f_n \to f$  locally uniformly in U.

#### SOLUTION:

Let  $K \subset U$  be any compact set. Since U is open, for each  $x \in K$  there is a radius  $r_x$  such that the closed ball  $\overline{B}(x, r_x)$  is contained in U. With such radii, we can get a trivial open cover of K, namely,

$$K \subset \bigcup_{x \in K} B(x, r_x)$$

By the previous exercise, there is a finite subcover  $\{B(x_1, r_1), \dots, B(x_k, r_k)\}$ . For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  there is an  $N_j$  such that if  $n \ge N_j$ 

$$\|f_n - f\|_{C(\overline{B}(x_i, r_i))} < \varepsilon.$$

Choosing  $N = \max\{N_1, \ldots, N_k\}$  we have for  $n \ge N$  that

$$||f_n - f||_{C(K)} \le \max\{||f_n - f||_{C(\overline{B}(x_j, r_j))} : j \in \{1, \dots, k\}\} < \varepsilon.$$

which shows that  $f_n \to f$  locally uniformly since K was an arbitrary compact subset.