# **Experiences from Editing a Journal: Case EJOR**

Jyrki Wallenius Helsinki School of Economics

EJOR Editor 1999-2004 Outgoing Editor till June 30, 2005 EJOR Editorial Board Member 1981-1998

#### What does an editor do?

- Depends on the size and type of the journal and the structure of the editorial board – whether we talk about a large or a small journal, whether departmental editors are used or not, whether the journal is "owned" by a society or whether it is published by a commercial publisher
- Far-reaching matters
- Daily business

### Far-reaching matters

- Editors have a contract with the publisher (commercial publisher) – defining their and the publisher's role
- Publisher and editors jointly decide about publishing policy (theory vs. practice, specialized vs. broad) – with a major journal the editors can in the long run to some extent influence the development of their field

### Far-reaching matters -- continued

- O Does the journal receive enough quality submissions?
- O Does the journal have a good reputation?
- o Can you improve the journal's impact factor?
- What to do about 'marginalized' fields? 'In-breeding'
- Fighting plagiarism

# Daily business – supervising the review process

- Decide, whether a submitted paper fits to the journal (about 10% declined

   also because of bad English)
- Choose the reviewers, communicate with them (contact 4 to get 2 reviews)
- Communicate the reviews to authors; resolve conflicts; communicate the authors' revisions and responses to reviewers
- o Read the paper myself?
- Make final decisions revise (once, twice, three times), accept, reject

### Daily business -- continued

- O How are reviewers chosen?
- Who is a good reviewer?
- O How much time do reviewers take?
- o Can you resubmit a rejected paper?
- What to do in case of conflicting reviews?

## What issues do reviewers typically focus on?

- What is the contribution? Is it significant enough? Has it been clearly stated?
- Is the journal appropriate?
- How is the paper written?
- Are there mistakes? ("Should they be enlisted at 55?")
- Could it benefit from condensation?
  Expansion?

### What issues do reviewers typically focus on?

- o Have the methods been applied correctly?
- Does the evidence support the conclusions? Have all important references been cited?
- o Is the title appropriate? Are the abstract and introduction good?
- o Has the author responded to all my previous comments?

### Scientific writing -- criteria

- Think who is your reader put yourself in her/his shoes (always target a larger audience)
- Simplicity, Clarity Hemingway style!
  Use illustrations, examples!
- o Correctness, Preciseness
- Consistency, Objectivity
- Convincingness
- o Are you able to keep the reader's interest till the end of the paper?