Pseudo-Goldstone dark matter

Matti Heikinheimo

University of Helsinki and HIP Based on Phys.Rev. D99 (2019) no.7, 075028 [arXiv:1812.05996] T. Alanne, M.H., V. Keus, N. Koivunen, K. Tuominen

Inflation and the dark sector workshop, Jyväskylä, 7.6.2019

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@



A Model Example

Constraints

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ めぬぐ

- There is plenty of evidence for DM.
- But the allowed parameter space for WIMPs is getting smaller, due to ever tighter limits from direct detection.
- There are many options in the market for producing DM in a way that does not imply a detectable direct detection signal (FIMPs, ultralight DM/ALPs, spectator DM...)



- However, the thermal freeze-out scenario is very simple and predictive, as it relates the DM abundance via the annihilation cross section to the indirect detection signal.
- Is there a way to suppress the direct detection signal within the thermal freeze-out scenario?
- ▶ If there is a mediator particle with $m \approx 2m_D$, the *s*-channel annihilation cross section is enhanced with respect to the non-resonant *t*-channel direct detection cross section.
- ▶ This can be arranged (e.g. Higgs-portal DM with $m_D \approx 62.5$ GeV), but requires some finetuning.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- Pseudo-Goldstone DM offers a general framework where the direct detection cross section is naturally suppressed, with no finetuning.
- In the non-linear representation, the Goldstone bosons have derivative interactions, implying a vanishing cross section in the limit of zero momentum transfer (i.e. non-relativistic DM-nucleus elastic scattering).
- In the linear representation the vanishing of the cross section is due to a cancellation between two diagrams.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{SI}}}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta} \sim \frac{\lambda_{HS}^2 f_N^2 m_N^2}{(m_{h^0}^2 - t)^2 (m_{H^0}^2 - t)^2} t^2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- The DM particle must be massive, thus it can not be an exact Goldstone boson.
- The global symmetry protecting the zero mass of the GB must be explicitly broken.
- But the vanishing of the direct detection cross section was due to the same global symmetry!
- Some care required in accounting for the effects of symmetry breaking from possible tree-level operators and loop corrections.



A Model Example

Constraints

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = ● ● ●

O(N)/O(N-1) Global symmetry

► Consider a global symmetry O(N), spontaneously broken to O(N − 1) by a vev of a SM-singlet scalar field

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{N-1}, \sigma)$$

where σ is the field direction along which the vev of Σ develops. • Effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \sigma \partial^{\mu} \sigma + \frac{1}{2} \partial \eta_{a} \partial \eta_{a} - V(\Sigma, H)$$

$$egin{aligned} V(\Sigma,H) &= \mu_H^2 H^\dagger H + rac{1}{2} \mu_{\Sigma}^2 \Sigma^\dagger \Sigma + \lambda_H (H^\dagger H)^2 \ &+ rac{\lambda_{H\Sigma}}{2} (H^\dagger H) \Sigma^\dagger \Sigma + rac{\lambda_{\Sigma}}{4} (\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma)^2 + V_{
m sb} \end{aligned}$$

$$V_{\rm sb} = -\frac{1}{2}\mu_X^2(\sigma^2 - \eta_a\eta_a) - \frac{\lambda_X}{2}(H^{\dagger}H)(\sigma^2 - \eta_a\eta_a)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Mass eigenstates

- The η -multiplet is stable (and degenerate in mass) \rightarrow DM candidate.
- The CP-even component of H mixes with σ . The scalar potential is minimized for

$$\mu_{H}^{2} = -\frac{1}{2} (2\lambda_{H}v^{2} + (\lambda_{H\Sigma} - \lambda_{X})w^{2}),$$

$$\mu_{\Sigma}^{2} = -\frac{1}{2} (2\lambda_{\Sigma}w^{2} + (\lambda_{H\Sigma} - \lambda_{X})v^{2} - 2\mu_{X}^{2}),$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} h^{0} \\ H^{0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tan(2\alpha) = -\frac{(\lambda_{H\Sigma} - \lambda_{X})vw}{\lambda_{H}v^{2} - \lambda_{\Sigma}w^{2}}.$$

Identify the lightest eigenstate with the SM Higgs, m_{h⁰} = 125 GeV.
 Free parameters: λ_{HΣ}, m_{H⁰}, m_η, α, and λ_X

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Contents

Pseudo-Goldstone DM

A Model Example

Constraints

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ めぬぐ

The following constraints are for the simplest case of $O(2){\sim}U(1)$ global symmetry.

Direct detection cross section at tree-level

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{SI}}}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta} = \frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}^2 f_N^2 m_N^2 \mu_R^2}{8\pi m_\eta^2},$$

$$\lambda_{ ext{eff}} = rac{\lambda_{HS} t}{(m_{h^0}^2 - t)(m_{H^0}^2 - t)} - rac{2\lambda_X \left[s_lpha^2(m_{h^0}^2 - t/2) + c_lpha^2(m_{H^0}^2 - t/2)
ight]}{(m_{h^0}^2 - t)(m_{H^0}^2 - t)}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- ▶ The only non-zero contribution in the limit $t \rightarrow 0$ is from the explicit symmetry breaking coupling λ_X .
- Setting λ_X = 0, the direct detection limits do not constrain the parameter space of the model at all.

Direct detection

 $s_{\alpha} = 0.3$; Solid lines: $m_{H^0} = 500$ GeV, dashed lines: $m_{H^0} = 750$ GeV.



Direct detection

At one-loop level the explicit breaking of the global symmetry by the mass term m_{η} manifests in a non-vanishing term in the $t \rightarrow 0$ limit, estimated in [C. Gross, O. Lebedev and T. Toma, arXiv:1708.02253] as:

$$\sigma_{\rm SI}^{1-\rm loop} \approx \frac{\sin^2 \alpha}{64\pi^5} \frac{m_N^4 f_N^2}{m_{h^0}^4 v^2} \frac{m_{H^0}^2 m_\eta^2}{w^6}$$



900

Direct detection

The explicit symmetry breaking coupling λ_X becomes relevant at $\lambda_X\gtrsim 10^{-3}-10^{-2}$



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへで

Indirect detection

Contrary to the direct detection cross section, the annihilation cross section $\eta\eta \rightarrow SM$ does not vanish in the limit of zero incoming three-momentum, $\sqrt{s} \rightarrow 2m_{\eta}$:

$$v_{
m rel} \cdot \sigma_{\eta\eta o ar{b}b} = rac{N_c \lambda_{HS}^2 \sqrt{s} \ m_b^2 (s - 4m_b^2)^{3/2}}{4\pi (s - m_{h^0}^2)^2 (s - m_{H^0}^2)^2}.$$

- The strongest constraints for the pseudo-Goldstone DM model arise from indirect detection
- For *N* degenerate DM species (O(N + 1)/O(N) symmetry breaking), $\Omega_i h^2 \sim \langle \sigma v \rangle^{-1}$, thus the annihilation cross section must scale as $\langle \sigma v \rangle \sim N$.
- The indirect detection signal strength for a single species scales as $n_i^2 \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim N^{-2} \times N \sim N^{-1}$.
- Hence the total rate scales as N times the rate for a single species: N × N⁻¹, *i.e.* the indirect detection signal rate is independent of the multiplicity of the GB-multiplet.

Indirect detection

Fermi-LAT exclusion limit (dark blue) from observation of dwarf spheroidal satellite galaxies, arXiv:1611.03184, and 10-year projection (light blue) 1605.02016. Red line = correct DM abundance.



Indirect detection

Fermi-LAT exclusion limit (dark blue) from observation of dwarf spheroidal satellite galaxies, arXiv:1611.03184, and 10-year projection (light blue) 1605.02016. Red line = correct DM abundance.



- Pseudo-Goldstone bosons of a spontaneously broken global symmetry are an attractive candidate for a thermal relic (WIMP) dark matter particle.
- The direct detection cross section vanishes in the non-relativistic limit at tree-level.
- Additional explicit symmetry breaking couplings and/or one-loop corrections will induce a non-vanishing cross section in the t → 0 limit, but the cross section remains suppressed in comparison to a vanilla WIMP.
- ▶ Indirect detection signal is not suppressed, and the model is testable with future data up to a few hundred GeV (m_D) .

Backup: Higgs invisible decay width

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{h^{0} \to \eta \eta} &= \frac{\lambda_{h^{0} \eta \eta}^{2}}{32 \pi m_{h^{0}}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4 m_{\eta}^{2}}{m_{h^{0}}^{2}}},\\ \lambda_{h^{0} \eta \eta} &= \frac{(\lambda_{HS} - \lambda_{X}) m_{h^{0}}^{2} v}{\cos \alpha (m_{h^{0}}^{2} - m_{H^{0}}^{2})} + 2 \lambda_{X} v \cos \alpha. \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

Backup: Annihilation cross sections

$$\sigma_{\eta\eta\to\bar{f}f} = \frac{N_c m_f^2 \beta_f^3}{8\pi\beta_\eta (s - m_{h^0}^2)^2 (s - m_{H^0}^2)^2} \\ \times \left[(\lambda_{HS} + \lambda_X) s - 2\lambda_X \left(s_\alpha^2 m_{h^0}^2 + c_\alpha^2 m_{H^0}^2 \right) \right]^2, \\ \beta_x \equiv \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_x^2}{s}}.$$

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\eta\eta\to VV} &= \frac{\delta_V \beta_V \left(12m_V^4 - 4m_V^2 s + s^2 \right)}{16\pi s \beta_\eta (s - m_{h^0}^2)^2 (s - m_{H^0}^2)^2} \\ &\times \left[(\lambda_{HS} + \lambda_X) s - 2\lambda_X \left(s_\alpha^2 m_{h^0}^2 + c_\alpha^2 m_{H^0}^2 \right) \right]^2, \end{split}$$

where $\delta_V =$ 1, 1/2 for W^{\pm} and Z.

Backup: Annihilation cross sections

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\eta\eta\to h^{0}h^{0}} &= \frac{\beta_{h^{0}}}{32\pi s\beta_{\eta}} \left[\frac{16\lambda_{\eta\eta h^{0}}^{4}}{(s-2m_{h^{0}}^{2})^{2}} \\ &+ \left(\lambda_{\eta\eta h^{0}h^{0}} + \frac{\lambda_{h^{0}h^{0}h^{0}}\lambda_{\eta\eta h^{0}}}{s-m_{h^{0}}^{2}} + \frac{\lambda_{h^{0}h^{0}H^{0}}\lambda_{\eta\eta H^{0}}}{s-m_{H^{0}}^{2}} \right)^{2} \\ &- \frac{8\lambda_{\eta\eta h^{0}}^{2}}{s-2m_{h^{0}}^{2}} \left(\lambda_{\eta\eta h^{0}h^{0}} + \frac{\lambda_{h^{0}h^{0}h^{0}}\lambda_{\eta\eta h^{0}}}{s-m_{h^{0}}^{2}} + \frac{\lambda_{h^{0}h^{0}H^{0}}\lambda_{\eta\eta H^{0}}}{s-m_{H^{0}}^{2}} \right)^{2} \end{split}$$





(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)