

Direct and inverse problems for the p -Laplacian
Finnish Mathematical Days 2016
University of Turku

Joonas Ilmavirta
(joint with Tommi Brander and Manas Kar)

University of Jyväskylä

7.1.2016

- 1 The p -conductivity equation
 - The PDE
 - Calderón's problem
 - Problems
- 2 The direct problem
- 3 Calderón's inverse conductivity problem

- Solutions of the PDE

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

are called p -harmonic functions.

- Solutions of the PDE

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

are called p -harmonic functions.

- If σ is the electric conductivity and u the electric potential, we have the conductivity equation

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) = 0.$$

- Solutions of the PDE

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

are called p -harmonic functions.

- If σ is the electric conductivity and u the electric potential, we have the conductivity equation

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) = 0.$$

- This talk is about the p -conductivity equation

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0.$$

Calderón's problem

Alberto Calderón proposed this indirect measurement problem in 1980:

Calderón's problem

Alberto Calderón proposed this indirect measurement problem in 1980:

Question

Does the knowledge of $u|_{\partial\Omega}$ and $\nu \cdot \sigma \nabla u|_{\partial\Omega}$ for all solutions $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ of

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) = 0$$

determine the function σ uniquely?

Calderón's problem

Alberto Calderón proposed this indirect measurement problem in 1980:

Question

Does the knowledge of $u|_{\partial\Omega}$ and $\nu \cdot \sigma \nabla u|_{\partial\Omega}$ for all solutions $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ of

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) = 0$$

determine the function σ uniquely?

We generalize the problem:

Calderón's problem

Alberto Calderón proposed this indirect measurement problem in 1980:

Question

Does the knowledge of $u|_{\partial\Omega}$ and $\nu \cdot \sigma \nabla u|_{\partial\Omega}$ for all solutions $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ of

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) = 0$$

determine the function σ uniquely?

We generalize the problem:

Question

Does the knowledge of $u|_{\partial\Omega}$ and $|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nu \cdot \sigma \nabla u|_{\partial\Omega}$ for all solutions $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

determine the function σ uniquely?

Calderón's problem

Alberto Calderón proposed this indirect measurement problem in 1980:

Question

Does the knowledge of $u|_{\partial\Omega}$ and $\nu \cdot \sigma \nabla u|_{\partial\Omega}$ for all solutions $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ of

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) = 0$$

determine the function σ uniquely?

We generalize the problem:

Question

Does the knowledge of $u|_{\partial\Omega}$ and $|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nu \cdot \sigma \nabla u|_{\partial\Omega}$ for all solutions $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

determine the function σ uniquely?

Much is known if $p = 2$, little if $p \neq 2$.

Question

If σ can be zero or infinite in large sets, does the PDE

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

have solutions and how unique are they?

Question

If σ can be zero or infinite in large sets, does the PDE

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

have solutions and how unique are they?

Question

Does the knowledge of $u|_{\partial\Omega}$ and $|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nu \cdot \sigma \nabla u|_{\partial\Omega}$ for all solutions $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

tell at least something about the function σ ?

- 1 The p -conductivity equation
- 2 The direct problem
 - The weak PDE and calculus of variations
 - Assumptions
 - Existence and uniqueness
 - Physical interpretation
- 3 Calderón's inverse conductivity problem

The weak PDE and calculus of variations

- The energy corresponding to the PDE

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

is

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u(x)|^p dx.$$

- The energy corresponding to the PDE

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

is

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u(x)|^p dx.$$

- We want the usual: Minimizers exist uniquely given any admissible boundary values, and minimizing the energy is equivalent with solving the PDE.

- The energy corresponding to the PDE

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

is

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u(x)|^p dx.$$

- We want the usual: Minimizers exist uniquely given any admissible boundary values, and minimizing the energy is equivalent with solving the PDE.
- To achieve this, we need to make some (standing) assumptions on Ω and $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$.

Assumptions

- The domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, is assumed to be bounded and Lipschitz.

Assumptions

- The domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, is assumed to be bounded and Lipschitz.
- The function $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable. (If it is bounded away from 0 and ∞ , then all is fine.)

- The domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, is assumed to be bounded and Lipschitz.
- The function $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable. (If it is bounded away from 0 and ∞ , then all is fine.)
- Let us denote $D_0 = \sigma^{-1}(0)$ and $D_\infty = \sigma^{-1}(\infty)$. We assume:

- The domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, is assumed to be bounded and Lipschitz.
- The function $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable. (If it is bounded away from 0 and ∞ , then all is fine.)
- Let us denote $D_0 = \sigma^{-1}(0)$ and $D_\infty = \sigma^{-1}(\infty)$. We assume:
 - In $\Omega \setminus (D_0 \cup D_\infty)$ the function σ is bounded away from 0 and ∞ .

- The domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, is assumed to be bounded and Lipschitz.
- The function $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable. (If it is bounded away from 0 and ∞ , then all is fine.)
- Let us denote $D_0 = \sigma^{-1}(0)$ and $D_\infty = \sigma^{-1}(\infty)$. We assume:
 - In $\Omega \setminus (D_0 \cup D_\infty)$ the function σ is bounded away from 0 and ∞ .
 - The sets D_0 and D_∞ are open with Lipschitz boundary.

- The domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, is assumed to be bounded and Lipschitz.
- The function $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable. (If it is bounded away from 0 and ∞ , then all is fine.)
- Let us denote $D_0 = \sigma^{-1}(0)$ and $D_\infty = \sigma^{-1}(\infty)$. We assume:
 - In $\Omega \setminus (D_0 \cup D_\infty)$ the function σ is bounded away from 0 and ∞ .
 - The sets D_0 and D_∞ are open with Lipschitz boundary.
 - The sets \bar{D}_0 , \bar{D}_∞ and $\partial\Omega$ are disjoint.

Theorem (Brander, I., Kar 2015)

Assume the assumptions on the previous slide.

Theorem (Brander, I., Kar 2015)

Assume the assumptions on the previous slide. Take any boundary values $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Theorem (Brander, I., Kar 2015)

Assume the assumptions on the previous slide. Take any boundary values $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. The energy

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u(x)|^p dx$$

has a minimizer with boundary values f .

Theorem (Brander, I., Kar 2015)

Assume the assumptions on the previous slide. Take any boundary values $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. The energy

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u(x)|^p dx$$

has a minimizer with boundary values f . A function u is such a minimizer if and only if it satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) dx = 0$$

for all $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ that satisfy $\nabla \phi|_{D_{\infty}} \equiv 0$.

Theorem (Brander, I., Kar 2015)

Assume the assumptions on the previous slide. Take any boundary values $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. The energy

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u(x)|^p dx$$

has a minimizer with boundary values f . A function u is such a minimizer if and only if it satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) dx = 0$$

for all $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ that satisfy $\nabla \phi|_{D_{\infty}} \equiv 0$. The minimizer (solution) is unique up to functions that have vanishing gradient outside D_0 .

Existence and uniqueness

- The argument is mainly standard calculus of variations, but with some twists due to D_0 and D_∞ .

- The argument is mainly standard calculus of variations, but with some twists due to D_0 and D_∞ .
- The sets D_0 and D_∞ do not touch $\partial\Omega$, so boundary values work in the usual way.

- The argument is mainly standard calculus of variations, but with some twists due to D_0 and D_∞ .
- The sets D_0 and D_∞ do not touch $\partial\Omega$, so boundary values work in the usual way.
- The values of a function in D_0 have no effect in the energy.

- The argument is mainly standard calculus of variations, but with some twists due to D_0 and D_∞ .
- The sets D_0 and D_∞ do not touch $\partial\Omega$, so boundary values work in the usual way.
- The values of a function in D_0 have no effect in the energy.
- We can demand that all functions are p -harmonic in D_0 (unique, given the values in $\Omega \setminus D_0$).

- Define two spaces:

$$A = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega); \nabla u|_{D_\infty} = 0\},$$

$$B = \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap A; \nabla u|_{\Omega \setminus D_0} = 0\}.$$

- Define two spaces:

$$A = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega); \nabla u|_{D_\infty} = 0\},$$

$$B = \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap A; \nabla u|_{\Omega \setminus D_0} = 0\}.$$

- The energy of $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is finite if and only if $u \in A$.

- Define two spaces:

$$A = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega); \nabla u|_{D_\infty} = 0\},$$

$$B = \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap A; \nabla u|_{\Omega \setminus D_0} = 0\}.$$

- The energy of $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is finite if and only if $u \in A$.
- The energy is not coercive in A , but it is coercive and well-defined on the quotient A/B .

- Define two spaces:

$$A = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega); \nabla u|_{D_\infty} = 0\},$$

$$B = \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap A; \nabla u|_{\Omega \setminus D_0} = 0\}.$$

- The energy of $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is finite if and only if $u \in A$.
- The energy is not coercive in A , but it is coercive and well-defined on the quotient A/B .
- We have chosen a unique representative in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for all elements in A/B by requiring p -harmonicity in D_0 .

- Define two spaces:

$$A = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega); \nabla u|_{D_\infty} = 0\},$$

$$B = \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap A; \nabla u|_{\Omega \setminus D_0} = 0\}.$$

- The energy of $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is finite if and only if $u \in A$.
- The energy is not coercive in A , but it is coercive and well-defined on the quotient A/B .
- We have chosen a unique representative in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for all elements in A/B by requiring p -harmonicity in D_0 .
- Standard tools now show that a minimizer exists uniquely in A/B .

- The correspondence between minimizers and solutions is also fairly standard.

- The correspondence between minimizers and solutions is also fairly standard.
- An “admissible variation” must have finite energy (zero gradient in D_∞), so we end up with a limited test function space.

- The correspondence between minimizers and solutions is also fairly standard.
- An “admissible variation” must have finite energy (zero gradient in D_∞), so we end up with a limited test function space.
- If we use test functions with non-trivial gradient in D_∞ , the weak formulation of the PDE gets a boundary term on ∂D_∞ .

- The correspondence between minimizers and solutions is also fairly standard.
- An “admissible variation” must have finite energy (zero gradient in D_∞), so we end up with a limited test function space.
- If we use test functions with non-trivial gradient in D_∞ , the weak formulation of the PDE gets a boundary term on ∂D_∞ .
- *Having $D_\infty \neq \emptyset$ limits the test function space or introduces a boundary term. Having $D_0 \neq \emptyset$ removes uniqueness.*

Physical interpretation

- If $p = 2$, the PDE has a direct physical interpretation: u is the electric potential (voltage). Physical insights from this case hold for any p .

- If $p = 2$, the PDE has a direct physical interpretation: u is the electric potential (voltage). Physical insights from this case hold for any p .
- The region D_∞ is superconductive (no resistance). Maintaining any voltage difference costs an infinite amount of energy.

- If $p = 2$, the PDE has a direct physical interpretation: u is the electric potential (voltage). Physical insights from this case hold for any p .
- The region D_∞ is superconductive (no resistance). Maintaining any voltage difference costs an infinite amount of energy.
- The region D_0 is insulating (infinite resistance). No current can flow, and potential is completely irrelevant. Changing the potential at the boundary has no effect on the potential inside.

- 1 The p -conductivity equation
- 2 The direct problem
- 3 Calderón's inverse conductivity problem
 - The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map
 - Two kinds of non-linearity
 - Results for $p = 2$
 - Results for $p \neq 2$

The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map

Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map (DN map)

$$\Lambda_\sigma : W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow (W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))'$$

corresponding to a given σ sends Dirichlet boundary values of a solution of the p -conductivity equation

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

to the Neumann boundary values $\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nu \cdot \nabla u$.

The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map

Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map (DN map)

$$\Lambda_\sigma : W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow (W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))'$$

corresponding to a given σ sends Dirichlet boundary values of a solution of the p -conductivity equation

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

to the Neumann boundary values $\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nu \cdot \nabla u$.

We may define Λ_σ weakly as

$$\langle \Lambda_\sigma f, g \rangle = \int_\Omega \sigma |\nabla \bar{f}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{f} \cdot \nabla \bar{g},$$

where \bar{f}, \bar{g} solve the PDE with boundary values f, g .

Two kinds of non-linearity

Two kinds of non-linearity

- The DN map Λ_σ is linear if and only if $p = 2$. In this case the underlying PDE is linear:

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) = 0.$$

Two kinds of non-linearity

- The DN map Λ_σ is linear if and only if $p = 2$. In this case the underlying PDE is linear:

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) = 0.$$

- The map $\sigma \mapsto \Lambda_\sigma$ is never linear.

Two kinds of non-linearity

- The DN map Λ_σ is linear if and only if $p = 2$. In this case the underlying PDE is linear:

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) = 0.$$

- The map $\sigma \mapsto \Lambda_\sigma$ is never linear. Our ultimate goal is to show that this map is injective: Calderón's problem asks to recover σ from the knowledge of Λ_σ .

Results for $p = 2$

- If $n = 2$ and σ is measurable, Λ_σ determines σ . [Astala–Päivärinta 2006]

Results for $p = 2$

- If $n = 2$ and σ is measurable, Λ_σ determines σ . [Astala–Päivärinta 2006]
- If $n \geq 3$ and σ is Lipschitz, Λ_σ determines σ . [Caro–Rogers 2014]

Results for $p = 2$

- If $n = 2$ and σ is measurable, Λ_σ determines σ . [Astala–Päivärinta 2006]
- If $n \geq 3$ and σ is Lipschitz, Λ_σ determines σ . [Caro–Rogers 2014]
- And many earlier and related results:

Results for $p = 2$

- If $n = 2$ and σ is measurable, Λ_σ determines σ . [Astala–Päivärinta 2006]
- If $n \geq 3$ and σ is Lipschitz, Λ_σ determines σ . [Caro–Rogers 2014]
- And many earlier and related results:
 - partial data,
 - matrix-valued σ ,
 - non-injectivity of $\sigma \mapsto \Lambda_\sigma$,
 - stability estimates,
 - results on manifolds,
 - ...

Theorem (Brander–I.–Kar, 2015)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Assume that $D \subset\subset \Omega$ is Lipschitz and every connected component of $\Omega \setminus \bar{D}$ meets $\partial\Omega$. Let $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be ∞ in the set D and 1 in $\Omega \setminus D$. Then Λ_σ determines the set D .

Theorem (Brander–I.–Kar, 2015)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Assume that $D \subset\subset \Omega$ is Lipschitz and every connected component of $\Omega \setminus \bar{D}$ meets $\partial\Omega$. Let $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be ∞ in the set D and 1 in $\Omega \setminus D$. Then Λ_σ determines the set D .

Idea of proof

Theorem (Brander–I.–Kar, 2015)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Assume that $D \subset\subset \Omega$ is Lipschitz and every connected component of $\Omega \setminus \bar{D}$ meets $\partial\Omega$. Let $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be ∞ in the set D and 1 in $\Omega \setminus D$. Then Λ_σ determines the set D .

Idea of proof

Send the fundamental solution of $\Delta u = 0$ from the boundary along a curve.

Theorem (Brander–I.–Kar, 2015)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Assume that $D \subset\subset \Omega$ is Lipschitz and every connected component of $\Omega \setminus \bar{D}$ meets $\partial\Omega$. Let $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be ∞ in the set D and 1 in $\Omega \setminus D$. Then Λ_σ determines the set D .

Idea of proof

Send the fundamental solution of $\Delta u = 0$ from the boundary along a curve. The DN map tells when (if at all) the curve hits D .

Results for $p = 2$

Theorem (Brander–I.–Kar, 2015)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Assume that $D \subset\subset \Omega$ is Lipschitz and every connected component of $\Omega \setminus \bar{D}$ meets $\partial\Omega$. Let $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be ∞ in the set D and 1 in $\Omega \setminus D$. Then Λ_σ determines the set D .

Idea of proof

Send the fundamental solution of $\Delta u = 0$ from the boundary along a curve. The DN map tells when (if at all) the curve hits D . (This idea, due to Ikehata, is called the probe method.)

Proposition (Ikehata)

Let $\gamma: (0, 1) \rightarrow \Omega$ be a curve starting and ending at $\partial\Omega$. Then for any $t \in (0, 1)$ there exists a sequence of functions $\{f_k(\cdot, \gamma(t))\} \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ such that the solution v_k of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v_k = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ v_k = f_k(\cdot, \gamma(t)) & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

converges to $\Phi(\cdot; \gamma(t))$ in $H_{loc}^1(\Omega \setminus \gamma([0, t]))$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where Φ is the fundamental solution for the Laplacian.

Results for $p \neq 2$

- If σ is continuous near the boundary, then Λ_σ determines $\sigma|_{\partial\Omega}$.
[Saló–Zhong]

Results for $p \neq 2$

- If σ is continuous near the boundary, then Λ_σ determines $\sigma|_{\partial\Omega}$.
[Salo–Zhong]
- If σ is C^1 near the boundary, then Λ_σ determines $\nabla\sigma|_{\partial\Omega}$. [Brander]

Results for $p \neq 2$

- If σ is continuous near the boundary, then Λ_σ determines $\sigma|_{\partial\Omega}$. [Salo–Zhong]
- If σ is C^1 near the boundary, then Λ_σ determines $\nabla\sigma|_{\partial\Omega}$. [Brander]
- If σ is constant outside $D \subset \Omega$ and $\sigma > c > 1$ or $\sigma < c < 1$ in D , then Λ_σ determines the convex hull of D . [Brander–Kar–Salo]

Theorem (Brander–I.–Kar 2015)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain. Assume that $D \subset\subset \Omega$ is Lipschitz. Let $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be ∞ or 0 in the set D and 1 in $\Omega \setminus D$. Then Λ_σ determines such a set D' that

- D' contains the convex hull of D and
- $D' = \emptyset$ implies $D = \emptyset$.

Idea of proof

Idea of proof

We construct a sequence of p -harmonic functions that focus on one side of a given hyperplane.

Idea of proof

We construct a sequence of p -harmonic functions that focus on one side of a given hyperplane. These functions are built (scaling and translation) from a function of the form $u(x, y) = e^x a(y)$, where a is a periodic function.

Idea of proof

We construct a sequence of p -harmonic functions that focus on one side of a given hyperplane. These functions are built (scaling and translation) from a function of the form $u(x, y) = e^x a(y)$, where a is a periodic function. Let Λ_D be the DN map and Λ_\emptyset the DN map for $D = \emptyset$ (p -harmonic functions).

Idea of proof

We construct a sequence of p -harmonic functions that focus on one side of a given hyperplane. These functions are built (scaling and translation) from a function of the form $u(x, y) = e^x a(y)$, where a is a periodic function. Let Λ_D be the DN map and Λ_\emptyset the DN map for $D = \emptyset$ (p -harmonic functions). For any boundary data f , we have

$$\langle \Lambda_D f, f \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla f_D|^p,$$

where f_D is the solution with boundary values f — and similarly for Λ_\emptyset .

Idea of proof

We construct a sequence of p -harmonic functions that focus on one side of a given hyperplane. These functions are built (scaling and translation) from a function of the form $u(x, y) = e^x a(y)$, where a is a periodic function. Let Λ_D be the DN map and Λ_\emptyset the DN map for $D = \emptyset$ (p -harmonic functions). For any boundary data f , we have

$$\langle \Lambda_D f, f \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla f_D|^p,$$

where f_D is the solution with boundary values f — and similarly for Λ_\emptyset . We pick the boundary values f_n from our sequence of p -harmonic functions. If energy concentrates in a half space $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$|\langle \Lambda_D f_n, f_n \rangle - \langle \Lambda_\emptyset f_n, f_n \rangle| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{only if } H \cap D = \emptyset \\ \infty & \text{at least when } H \cap D \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Thank you.

Slides and papers available at <http://users.jyu.fi/~jojapeil>.