

Recovery of the sound speed for the Acoustic wave equation from phaseless measurements

Joonas Ilmavirta^{*} Alden Waters[†]

Abstract

We recover the higher order terms for the acoustic wave equation from measurements of the modulus of the solution. The recovery of these coefficients is reduced to a question of stability for inverting a Hamiltonian flow transform, not the geodesic X-ray transform encountered in other inverse boundary problems like the determination of conformal factors. We obtain new stability results for the Hamiltonian flow transform, which allow to recover the higher order terms.

1 Introduction

The theory of signal processing and inverse problems has seen a recent increase in a class of so-called phaseless measurements. Often in experiments, when a source wave is measured, the only part of the information available to experimenters is the modulus of the wave from the source. In signal processing, algorithms found in [10] and [9] are focused on the recovery of waves from a sequence of Fourier modes. We are interested in phaseless measurements to recover source terms for the acoustic wave equation. The inverse boundary value problem differs from the questions examined in signal processing where often times one is dealing with incomplete data sets. In particular, we will show that an idea of which partial differential equation the wave comes from is enough to give a full reconstruction of the coefficients modulo diffeomorphism. These results are supported by the numerical work in [8] and are applicable to other operators which admit a Gaussian beam type solution. The problem differs from the both of the author's previous work [40, 16] because the terms which we are recovering come from higher order terms which control the bicharacteristic flow associated to the Hamiltonian governing the partial differential equation.

^{*}Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35 (MaD), FI-40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland

[†]Department of Mathematics, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

Practical applications to phaseless problems are varied — and one such application is multi-wave tomography. In multi-wave tomography usually some type of wave is sent to a portion of the body which is being imaged. In electromagnetic or optical radiation tomography the wave interaction with the tissues of the patient are measured [2]. Naturally one cannot measure inside the patient, so some initial boundary value problem must be considered. Similarly, to image the Earth, one has to send waves of some kind through the planet and make measurements at the surface. One such mathematical model of the emitted ultrasound waves is the following acoustic wave equation with a high-frequency source term.

Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded and smooth manifold. Let g be a Riemannian metric on \mathbb{R}^d which agrees with the Euclidean one outside M and makes (\bar{M}, g) into a simple manifold. We recall that a simple manifold is one which is strictly geodesically convex with respect to the metric g .

Let the standard Laplace-Beltrami operator be denoted as

$$\Delta_g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g(x)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \left(g^{ki}(x) \sqrt{\det g(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right) \quad (1.1)$$

in local coordinates with $g(x) = (g_{ik}(x))$, and $(g^{ik}(x)) = (g_{ki}(x))^{-1}$. We consider manifolds, M , which are smooth (C^∞). We write the local coordinates as (x^1, \dots, x^d) . We also assume the manifolds have a boundary.

The acoustic wave equation may be written as

$$Lu = \Delta_g u + (i\lambda + \lambda^2)n^2(x)u = h(x, \lambda) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1.2)$$

The scalar λ is large and $n^2(x)$ is sound speed. The source $h(x, \lambda)$, which emits the waves, we also assume to depend on λ and be compactly supported in x with codimension 1. We may pick sources anywhere inside the domain M , however we chose a particular set of them in order to provide a complete reconstruction of $n^2(x)$. Equation (1.2) could also be called a generalized Helmholtz equation with a source term.

Given a smooth, strictly convex, bounded domain M equipped with a metric g we assume that on the boundary of M , ∂M that $n^2(x) \equiv 1$. The measurements we consider give then data of the form

$$\{(x, |u(x)|) : x \in \partial M\} \quad (1.3)$$

with the collection of $h(x, \lambda)$ varying over all $x \in \partial M$. We need this collection of data in order to give a complete reconstruction of $n^2(x)$. We consider the metric g to be fixed and $n^2(x)$ to vary. This collection of measurements is a ‘true’ phaseless problem in contrast to phaseless backscattering measurements which were recently investigated in [21].

In this paper, we derive a stability result for the higher order coefficients of the acoustic wave equation (1.2) for fully phaseless measurements. Stable reconstructions have been made from Robin conditions for lower order terms than considered here [3]. In the related case, for the acoustic wave equation for Dirichlet boundary conditions in [18, 28] and Robin conditions in [7, 38] the potential

can also be recovered. However stability estimates from phaseless measurements have not been previously given. In [23, 22], uniqueness results in dimension 3 for lower order terms than the ones considered are derived from phaseless measurements. These papers are predicated on analyticity arguments, which require data in a small neighborhood of the source. The question of phaseless stability from internal measurements for Schrödinger was also examined in [1]. These measurements are in contrast to the boundary data we require. We are not able to prove uniqueness results unless $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. However, morally this is equivalent to setting the $n^2(x)$ term equal to zero. The inverse problem of recovering the source for wave equations from Dirichlet boundary conditions is also examined in [37, 35, 14, 32, 36]. The major difference is that we are able to recover higher order terms which make the bicharacteristic flow more complicated.

In the Calderón Problem in Conformally Transversal Geometries, [20] and also [12] reduces the question of boundary distance rigidity to a question of invertibility of the geodesic X-ray transform. As a consequence of their work, they reduce the question of recovery of source terms for several operators from the Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps to a question of invertibility of the geodesic X-ray transform. In this paper, we choose phaseless data as our measurements and show that the question of recovery of sound speed amounts to a question of invertibility and stability of a so-called flow transform. The results presented here have applications to other operators for which the Hamiltonian flow and the geodesic flow do not coincide. In order to prove our results, we introduce a condition which one can think of as a generalization of the condition of Bardos-Lebeau-Rauch [24] for Hamiltonian flows. Specifically, we require the Hamiltonian flow to be simple.

We are looking for an asymptotic model to (1.2) of the form

$$U(x) = a(x) \exp(i\lambda\psi(x)), \quad (1.4)$$

which we show in the high frequency limit solves the equation (1.2) up to suitable error terms. The variable $x \in M$ and s is a parameter which helps describe the bicharacteristic flow in terms of the coordinates on M . We use a Gaussian beam Ansatz which involves the construction of a phase function $\psi(x)$ and an amplitude a for the first order beams in local coordinates as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= S(s) + (x - x(s)) \cdot p(s) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x(s)) \cdot \mathcal{M}(s)(x - x(s)) \\ a(s, x) &= a_0(s) + \mathcal{O}(|x - x(s)|) \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where $x(s)$ is a curve which describes a Hamiltonian flow, which will be written in terms of manifold coordinates and described in the next section. For the construction of the Ansatz, we follow the work of [25] quite closely. In the previous work we considered the operator

$$\tilde{L} = \Delta + \lambda^2 + i\lambda n^2(x), \quad (1.6)$$

while here we use

$$L = \Delta + \lambda^2 n^2(x) + i\lambda n^2(x). \quad (1.7)$$

This corresponds to the acoustic wave equation. These operators have corresponding Hamiltonian flows given by the Hamiltonian functions $\tilde{H} = p^2 - 1$ and $H = p^2 - n^2$. The main problem of isolating the X-ray transform of the coefficients $n^2(x)$ is to control the null-bicharacteristics. The ordinary differential equations which govern the ray path $\{(s, x(s)) : 0 \leq s \leq T\}$ along which solutions are concentrated are

$$\frac{d^2 x(s)}{ds^2} = \nabla_x n^2(x(s)), \quad (1.8)$$

which is associated to L , resp.

$$\frac{d^2 x(s)}{ds^2} = 0, \quad (1.9)$$

which is associated to \tilde{L} . The second equation gives that the ray paths in \mathbb{R}^d are straight lines while the first one does not. The problem of recovering $n^2(x)$ for the operator L is more difficult, and we address it in this paper.

Notation: For two functions f, g , we write $f \sim g$ if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $C^{-1}f \leq g \leq Cg$.

2 Construction of Solutions

We make more precise the explicit nature of the solutions. We will use the Ansatz,

$$U(x) = \sum_{j=0}^l \exp(i\lambda\psi(x)) a_j(x) \lambda^{-j} \quad (2.1)$$

to build asymptotic solutions to (1.2) in the high frequency limit, and then give estimates on the difference between these approximate solutions and the true solutions. We will follow [25] for the Gaussian beam Ansatz in constructing the phase $a(x)$ and amplitude $\psi(x)$. We claim:

Lemma 1. *There is a zeroth order Gaussian beam which solves the acoustic wave equation (1.2) in the free space with no source term. In local coordinates it takes the form*

$$U^0(x) = (a_0(s) + \mathcal{O}(|x - x(s)|)) \exp(i\lambda\psi(x)) \phi(x) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}), \quad (2.2)$$

where $x(s)$ is a curve in space-time and $\phi(x)$ is a cutoff defined below.

The N^{th} order Gaussian beam Ansatz can be constructed accordingly. However, for the purposes of this paper the zeroth order terms are the most important.

Remark 1. One might be tempted to use a real-phase Ansatz which should be of the form

$$\exp(i\lambda\tilde{\psi}(x)) \left(1 + \frac{A(x)}{|x - x_0|}\right), \quad (2.3)$$

with s a parameter describing the bicharacteristic flow, and $\tilde{\psi}(x)$ a purely real function, $A(x)$ encodes information about the flow transform of $n^2(x)$ or a similar solution found in [17]. However, it is not possible to obtain information about the coefficient without the use of a weighted Sobolev space and this is more difficult since this clearly introduces singularities in the denominator. The Gaussian beam Ansatz here was chosen because of the good control over the error estimates in higher Sobolev norms, which allows for the use of the embedding theorems in the section on observability inequalities. Also, because of the presence of the tail, one does not need to construct solutions which are localized along a central ray. This is necessary for the estimates (7.13) and (7.22) to hold, since Green's theorem is no longer available. However, the real phase construction has been efficient in recovery of coefficients for the Dirichlet-to-Neumann problem see [17, 19].

Proof of Lemma 1. Every geometric optics solution concentrates on an open set around the ray path $\{(s, x(s)) : 0 \leq s \leq T\}$ for some finite $0 < T$. The flow for the ray path of H is defined by the set of ODEs in local coordinates:

$$\dot{x} = 2p, \quad \dot{p} = \nabla_x n^2. \quad (2.4)$$

We have that

$$LU = \sum_{j=-2}^l \exp(i\lambda\psi(x)) c_j(x) \lambda^{-j}. \quad (2.5)$$

The coefficients c_j , $j = 0, 1, \dots, l$, are defined recursively as follows

$$\begin{aligned} c_{-2} &= (n^2(x) - |\nabla_x \psi|^2) a_0(x) \equiv E(x) a_0, \\ c_{-1} &= i n^2(x) a_0 + \nabla_x \cdot (a_0 \nabla_x \psi) + \nabla_x a_0 \cdot \nabla_x \psi + E(x) a_1, \\ c_j &= i n^2(x) a_{j+1} + \nabla_x \cdot (a_{j+1} \nabla_x \psi) + \nabla_x a_{j+1} \cdot \nabla_x \psi + E(x) a_{j+1} + \delta_x a_j. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

If we Taylor expand the coefficients $a_j(x)$ around the central ray $x(s)$, then we arrive at the following set of ordinary differential equations

$$\dot{S} = 2, \quad \dot{a}_0 = -n^2((x(s)) a_0. \quad (2.7)$$

Here we have chosen c_{-2} and c_{-1} to vanish on the ray to third and first order respectively and define S as in 1.5. This leads to the following set of differential equations:

$$\dot{S} = 2, \quad \dot{\mathcal{M}} = -2\mathcal{M}^2, \quad \dot{a}_0 = -\text{tr}(\mathcal{M}(s)) a_0 - n^2(x(s)) a_0. \quad (2.8)$$

The matrix \mathcal{M} is known as the Hessian matrix. We see that

$$a_0(s) = \exp \left(\int_0^s -n^2(x(t)) - \text{tr} \mathcal{M}(t) dt \right). \quad (2.9)$$

The phase ψ needs to verify the conditions

$$\psi(x(s)) = S(s), \quad \nabla \psi(x(s)) = p(s), \quad D^2 \psi(x(s)) = \mathcal{M}(s), \quad (2.10)$$

compatible with 1.5. We use the initial data $S(0) = 0$ and $\mathcal{M}(0)$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}(0) = \mathcal{M}(0)^T, \quad \mathcal{M}(0)\dot{x}(0) = \dot{p}(0), \quad \Im \mathcal{M}(0) \text{ positive definite on } \dot{x}(0)^\perp, \quad (2.11)$$

cf. [25, Section 2]. In order to write down such an $\psi(x)$ we need to be able to write s as a function of the coordinate variables $x \in M$. We know $x(s)$ traces out a smooth curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ in \mathbb{R}^d and if we assume $x(s)$ is non-trapping then this curve is a straight line when s is sufficiently large. We consider R large enough so that the set $\{x : |x| < 6R\}$ contains \overline{M} . We set

$$\Omega(\eta) = \{x : |x| \leq 6R \quad |x - \tilde{\gamma}| \leq \eta\} \quad (2.12)$$

as a tubular neighborhood of $\tilde{\gamma}$ with radius η in the ball $\{|x| \leq 6R\}$. Choosing η sufficiently small we can uniquely define $s = s(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega(\eta)$ such that $x(s)$ is the closest point on $\tilde{\gamma}$ to x provided $\tilde{\gamma}$ has no self-intersections. The variable s is the analogue of the time variable for time dependent problems.

We now define a cutoff function $\phi_\lambda(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\phi_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega^c(\lambda^{-d/2}) \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in \Omega(\lambda^{-d/2}) \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

One can arrange that there is a constant C such that

$$|\nabla_x^m \phi_\lambda| \leq C\lambda^{-m}. \quad (2.14)$$

We drop the subscript λ for the rest of this paper.

We also recall the following result:

Lemma 2 ([41, Corollary 5]). *Let $\psi(x)$ be the phase function of a zeroth order beam. We have*

$$\exp(-2\lambda \Im \psi(x)) \sim \exp(-\lambda C |x - x(s)|^2),$$

where C is independent of λ .

Let B denote the set

$$B = \{x : |x - x(s)| > \lambda^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 6R\}, \quad \sigma > 0, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We conclude that since $2\Im \psi(s, x) \sim |x - x(s)|^2$, $\exp(-2\lambda \Im \psi(x))$ is exponentially decreasing in λ for all $x \in B$. Notice that we are taking more care to construct the cutoff functions than in [25], as they are crucial for the phaseless measurements.

Proof. We need only observe that $\mathcal{M}(s)$ is a bounded and positive definite matrix. From the form of the phase functions constructed the desired result follows. \square

The construction of the localized cutoff finishes the construction of U_λ . A standard argument gives that U_λ extends across each local coordinate chart to cover the ray $x(s)$ iteratively, c.f. [20, Section 7]. \square

3 Introduction of the Source Terms

We now introduce source functions. We claim:

Theorem 2. *There exists a Gaussian beam solution which solves the equation (1.2) and is found by solving the Dirichlet problem on one side of hyperplanes which contain a source point.*

This is the same argument as in [25, Section 2.1] and is repeated for completeness. We let ρ be a function such that $|\nabla\rho| = 1$ on the hypersurface $\Sigma = \{x : \rho(x) = 0\}$. Let x_0 be a point in Σ and we let $(x(s), p(s))$ be the solution path — in other words the null-bicharacteristics with $(x(0), p(0)) = (x_0, n(x_0)\nabla\rho(x_0))$. The hypersurface Σ is given by $s = \sigma(y)$ with $\sigma(0) = 0$ and $\nabla\sigma(0) = 0$ where $x = (s, y)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{d-1})$ is transversal. We let the optics Ansatz $U(x)$ have initial data $(x(0), p(0))$, and be defined in this tubular neighborhood. We let U^+ be the restriction of U to $\{x : \rho(x) \geq 0\}$. Because we need to have a source term which is a multiple of $\delta(\rho)$, we also need a second, ‘outgoing’ solution U^- , defined on $\{x : \rho(x) \leq 0\}$. It is then equal to U^+ on the hypersurface Σ . For example, we can write the ingoing and outgoing optics solutions as

$$U^+ = A^+(x, \lambda) \exp(i\lambda\psi^+(x)), \quad U^- = A^-(x, \lambda) \exp(i\lambda\psi^-(x)), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\psi^+ = \psi^-$ and $A^+ = A^-$ on Σ . The requirement that their Taylor series coincide on the boundary is equivalent to setting $\partial_y^\alpha|_{y=0}\psi^+(\sigma(y)) = \partial_y^\alpha|_{y=0}\psi^-(\sigma(y))$. We extend U^+ to be 0 on $\{x : \rho(x) < 0\}$ and U^- to be 0 on $\{x : \rho(x) > 0\}$. We define our geometric optics Ansatz solution U to be $U = U^+ + U^-$. We set $A = A^+ = A^-$ on Σ . In order to add the source term, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} LU &= i\lambda \left(\left(\frac{\partial\psi^+}{\partial\nu} - \frac{\partial\psi^-}{\partial\nu} \right) A(x, \lambda) + \frac{\partial A^+}{\partial\nu}(x, \lambda) - \frac{\partial A^-}{\partial\nu}(x, \lambda) \right) \exp(i\lambda\phi^+) \delta(\rho) \\ &+ f_{gb} = g_0\delta(\rho) + f_{gb}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu(x) = \nabla\rho(x)$ is the unit normal to Σ . We consider the singular part $g_0\delta(\rho)$ to be the source term and f_{gb} the error. Then we obtain

$$f = \exp(i\lambda\psi^+) \sum_{j=-2}^l c_j^+(x) \lambda^{-j} + \exp(i\lambda\psi^-) \sum_{j=-2}^l c_j^-(x) \lambda^{-j}, \quad (3.2)$$

where we extend c_j^+ to be zero for $\rho(x) < 0$ and c_j^- to be zero for $\rho(x) > 0$. We know by construction that $c_{-2}^\pm = \mathcal{O}(|x - x(s)|^3)$ and $\mathcal{O}(|x - x(s)|)$, respectively.

Remark 3. *While this procedure may seem backwards and a bit ad hoc, it is useful for deriving good error estimates which are needed for the use of the embedding theorems.*

4 Error Estimates

We claim for N^{th} order Gaussian beams:

Lemma 3. *We have the following estimate for the Gaussian beam error:*

$$\|f_{gb}(x)\|_{H^m(|x|<R)}^2 \leq \lambda^{-N+2+(1-d)/2+2m}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. We have that the $c_j(x)^\pm$ are bounded and

$$c_j^\pm(x) = \sum_{|\beta|=l-2j-2} d_{\beta,j}^\pm (x - x(s))^\beta, \quad j = -2, \dots, l-1, \quad (4.2)$$

where the $d_{\beta,j}$ are bounded by Taylor's theorem. We obtain

$$|c_j^\pm(x)| \leq C_j |x - x(s)|^{l-2j-2} |\phi(x)|, \quad (4.3)$$

with the C_j uniformly bounded independent of λ . As $\mathcal{M}(s)$ is a matrix with positive definite imaginary part,

$$\text{Im}\psi^\pm(x) \geq C|x - x(s)|^2 \quad (4.4)$$

for some positive constant C . From the elementary inequality [25] for $a, b > 0$,

$$b^p \exp(-ab^2) \leq C_p a^{-p/2} \exp(-ab^2/2), \quad C_p = \left(\frac{p}{e}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad (4.5)$$

with $p = N - 2j - 2$, $a = \lambda c$ and $b = |x - x(s)|$, $x \in \Omega(\lambda^{-1/2})$, we obtain

$$|f_{gb}(x)| \leq \exp(-\lambda \Im\psi^\pm(x)) \sum_{j=-2}^l |c_j(x)| \lambda^{-j}. \quad (4.6)$$

If we differentiate $c_j^\pm(x)$ and $\exp(i\lambda\psi(x))$, then we have for the N^{th} order beams

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{gb}(x)\|_{H^m(|x|<R)}^2 &\leq \\ C \lambda^{-N+2+2m} \int_{x \in \Omega(\lambda^{-1/2})} \exp(-2\lambda C|x - x(s)|^2) dx &\leq \lambda^{-N+2+(1-d)/2+2m}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

as claimed. \square

Remark 4. *Notice that this error now includes a function which is localized in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{\lambda})$, which is more precise than in [25]. The reason for the precise ϕ_λ is twofold: We need a localized solution for (7.22) to hold, and we are also considering a bounded domain.*

5 Extension of the Ansatz

The extension of the Gaussian beam Ansatz to \mathbb{R}^d will provide us with the means to make observations further away from the source and still identify source terms. We briefly review the results of [25]. We set

$$\tilde{f}_u = LU - g_0\delta(\rho). \quad (5.1)$$

We would like this function to be supported in $|x| < 6R$ and be $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$. We let $G_\lambda(x)$ be the Green's function for the Helmholtz operator L . In order to extend our approximate solution U , we introduce a smooth cutoff $\chi_a(x)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_a(x) &= 1 & \text{for } |x| < (a-1)R, \\ \eta_a(x) &= 0 & \text{for } |x| > aR. \end{aligned}$$

Now we set

$$\tilde{U}(x) = \chi_3(x)U(x) + \int G_\lambda(x-y)\chi_5(y)L[(1-\chi_3(y))U(y)]dy. \quad (5.2)$$

In [25], they prove

$$\left\| U - \tilde{U} \right\|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-n}). \quad (5.3)$$

Therefore the size of \tilde{U} and the extension depends on the size of f_{gb} . Furthermore, using ideas in Vainberg [39], they also prove

$$\|U - u\|_{H^m(|x| < R)} \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f_{gb}\|_{H^m(|x| < R)}. \quad (5.4)$$

The triangle inequality allows us to conclude

$$\left\| u - \tilde{U} \right\|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f_{gb}\|_{H^m(|x| < R)}. \quad (5.5)$$

In conclusion, we have that:

Lemma 4. *There exists an extension of the Gaussian beam solution of the problem (1.2) to the whole space such that*

$$\left\| u - \tilde{U} \right\|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\lambda^{-1} \|f_{gb}\|_{H^m(|x| < R)}. \quad (5.6)$$

6 Integral Transforms Generated by Hamiltonian Flows

We now consider the problem of recovering a function stably from its integrals over the integral curves of a Hamiltonian flow. A related nonlinear problem was considered in [6], and generalizations of the geodesic X-ray transform problem

have been studied before (see e.g. [5, 13, 33]). However, we are not aware of any discussion of the present problem in the literature.

Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary and $q: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a smooth function. Consider the Hamiltonian function $H: T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $H(x, p) = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(x)p^ip^j + q(x)$ in local coordinates. We refer to the curves generated by the Hamiltonian flow as H -geodesics.

Consider an H -geodesic $\gamma: [0, T] \rightarrow M$. The value of $H(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))$ is independent of t , and we write H_0 for this constant. We denote by $S_{H_0}^*M$ the subbundle of T^*M on which $H = H_0$; this subbundle is invariant under the Hamiltonian flow. We assume that $H_0 > \max_M q$; otherwise some fibers of the bundle are empty. Let us restrict our attention to the set of H -geodesics with energy H_0 .

In analogy to the usual exponential map $\exp_x: T_xM \rightarrow M$, we have the Hamiltonian exponential map $\exp_x^H: T_xM \rightarrow M$ for each $x \in M$, defined by the Hamiltonian flow. Since our manifold has a boundary, this is only a partial map. We say that the manifold is simple at energy H_0 if the map $\exp_x^H: (\exp_x^H)^{-1}(M) \rightarrow M$ is a diffeomorphism for each $x \in M$. We remark that simplicity implies $H_0 > \max_M q$. It is more stringent to assume a system to be simple than to assume it to be non-trapping.

We define the Hamiltonian flow transform of a function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at energy H_0 as

$$I_{H_0}f(\gamma) = \int_0^T f(\gamma(t))dt, \quad (6.1)$$

where γ is an H -geodesic of energy H_0 . We denote the corresponding normal operator by $N_{H_0} = I_{H_0}^* I_{H_0}$.

Lemma 5. *Suppose (M, g) is simple at energy H_0 w.r.t. the Hamiltonian H . Define a new metric \tilde{g} conformal to g by $\tilde{g} = 2(H_0 - q)g$. Then (M, \tilde{g}) is a simple Riemannian manifold and a reparametrization turns H -geodesics of energy H_0 to unit speed geodesics w.r.t. \tilde{g} .*

Moreover, if \tilde{I} denotes the X-ray transform w.r.t. the metric \tilde{g} , then $I_{H_0}f(\gamma) = \tilde{I}[f/2(H_0 - q)](\gamma \circ r)$, where r is the reparametrization.

Proof. The first part follows from [6, Proposition 2.1] and the second part is a straightforward calculation. \square

Let us remark that the boundary ∂M is strictly convex with respect to \tilde{g} if and only if $\mathbb{I}(v, v) > -\partial_\nu q(x)$ for all $(x, v) \in S_{H_0}^*M$ with $x \in \partial M$ and $v \perp \partial M$. Here ∂_ν is the inward normal derivative and $\mathbb{I}(\cdot, \cdot)$ the second fundamental form. This calculation can be found in [6, Lemma A.4].

Theorem 5. *Suppose $\dim M \geq 2$. If the manifold is simple for H_0 , then I_{H_0} is injective on $L^2(M)$. Moreover, we have the stability estimate*

$$\|f\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C \|N_{H_0}f\|_{H^1(M')}, \quad (6.2)$$

where $M' \supset M$ is a slightly extended manifold and C is a constant depending on the manifold, q and H_0 .

Proof. By Lemma 5 it suffices to show that the X-ray transform on the manifold (M, \tilde{g}) is injective and stable. Injectivity [11, Theorem 7.1] and stability [34, Theorem 3] are known for simple manifolds. \square

In fact, it suffices to assume that the underlying manifold (M, g) is simple if H_0 can be taken arbitrarily large. The following corollary follows immediately from Theorem 5 above and Lemma 6 below.

Corollary 1. *Let (M, g) be a simple manifold of dimension two or higher. Then for sufficiently large H_0*

$$\|f\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C \|N_{H_0} f\|_{H^1(M')}, \quad (6.3)$$

where $M' \supset M$ is a slightly extended manifold and C is a constant depending on the manifold, q and H_0 .

The corollary applies, in particular, to the closure of any strictly convex and bounded Euclidean domain.

Lemma 6. *If (M, g) is simple, then the manifold M is simple at energy H_0 for large enough H_0 .*

Proof. It is well known that simplicity is an open condition: small perturbations of simple metrics are still simple. Therefore for H_0 large enough the metric $(1 - q/H_0)g$ is simple. Rescaling the metric does not alter simplicity, so also the metric $\tilde{g} = 2(H_0 - q)g$ is simple. The claim then follows from Lemma 5. \square

Remark 6. *This remark may be of interest for integral geometers, although not strictly relevant for this paper.*

In a similar way we can prove injectivity results for the Hamiltonian ray transform of tensor fields. For a smooth tensor field f of order m the formula of lemma 5 is $I_{H_0} f(\gamma) = \tilde{I}[(2H_0 - 2q)^{m-1} f](\gamma \circ r)$. If solenoidal injectivity is known for the geodesic X-ray transform of tensor fields on the manifold (M, \tilde{g}) , then we know that $(2H_0 - 2q)^{m-1} f = \sigma \tilde{d}h$ for some tensor h of order $m - 1$ (here $\tilde{d}g$ is the covariant derivative w.r.t. the metric \tilde{g} and σ is symmetrization). On simple surfaces solenoidal injectivity is known for tensors of all orders [29, Theorem 1.1], and there are several solenoidal injectivity results for higher dimensions as well (see eg. [30, 31, 34, 4]). In particular, solenoidal injectivity is known for $m = 0$ and $m = 1$ (see [4]). We refer to the survey article [30] for more details on known results.

If $m = 1$, $I_{H_0} f = 0$ and solenoidal injectivity of \tilde{I} imply that $f = dh$ for some smooth scalar function h . If $m \geq 2$, the gauge condition is more complicated. We will not pursue this direction further here, as it is irrelevant for our main problem.

7 Observability Inequalities

We consider again the problem

$$(\Delta_g + (\lambda^2 + i\lambda)n^2(x))u^{x_0, \omega_0} = h^{x_0, \omega_0}(x, \lambda), \quad (7.1)$$

where we let x_0 denote the position of the center of the plane wave source. Sources are indexed by x_0 and ω_0 . Let

$$\partial SM^+ = \{(x_0, \omega_0) : x_0 \in \partial M, \langle \nu, \omega_0 \rangle > 0\}, \quad (7.2)$$

where ν denotes the outward unit normal to the boundary. Fix $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 7. *Let $N \geq (1 - d)/2 + 4$. Then there exist a constant C_1 , which depends on $\text{diam}(M)$, the $C^1(M)$ norm of $n_i^2(x)$, $i = 1, 2$, and a constant C_2 , which depends on $\text{diam}(M)$ and the $C^{N+1}(M)$ norm of $n_i^2(x)$, $i = 1, 2$, such that if $u_1^{x_0, \omega_0}$ and $u_2^{x_0, \omega_0}$ solve the radiation problem with coefficients n_1^2 and n_2^2 then it follows that if*

$$\|n_1^2 - n_2^2\|_{C^3(M)} + \lambda^{-1} < \epsilon_0, \quad \delta = \sup_{\partial SM^+} \|u_1^{x_0, \omega_0} - u_2^{x_0, \omega_0}\| < \epsilon_0, \quad (7.3)$$

then this implies

$$\|n_2^2 - n_1^2\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C_1 \left(\frac{C_2}{\lambda^{\beta'}} + \delta \right) \quad (7.4)$$

for some $\beta' \in (0, (2d)^{-1})$.

The uniqueness corollary follows immediately.

Corollary 2. *Assume $\delta = 0$ and that the assumptions of Theorem 7 are satisfied for all large λ . Then $n_1^2 = n_2^2$.*

We consider our globally defined complex optics solutions \tilde{U}_1 and \tilde{U}_2 , which were constructed previously. Dropping the superscripts x_0, ω_0 where it is understood, from our approximation we know that the main term of interest is

$$\|u_1\| - \|u_2\| = \left| |\tilde{U}_1| - |\tilde{U}_2| \right| + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\beta'}) \quad (7.5)$$

for $x \in \partial M$. This estimate is a result of building an approximate solution with N sufficiently large. We conclude from (5.5) and (4.7)

$$\left\| \tilde{U}_1 - u_1 \right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}. \quad (7.6)$$

Here C is a generic constant, which depends on the $C^{N+1}(M)$ norm of $n_1^2(x)$. We use the fact that \tilde{U}_1 , and u_1 are bounded in $C^{N+1}(M)$ norm to conclude

$$\left\| \tilde{U}_1 - u_1 \right\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^{\beta'}} \quad (7.7)$$

for some $\beta' \in (0, 1)$. We then use the estimate on the first order terms

$$\|U_1 - a_0 \exp(i\lambda\psi)\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}. \quad (7.8)$$

Combining the estimates (7.8) and (7.7), gives the estimate (7.5). Now we need to combine the estimates to recover the X-ray transform. We start with the following lemma which is taken from [40].

Lemma 7. *Let $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ be positive functions in $C^0(\mathbb{R})$ and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\|\exp(-A(x)) - \exp(-B(x))\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R})} < \epsilon. \quad (7.9)$$

Then there is a constant C depending on the $C^0(\mathbb{R})$ norms of A and B , such that

$$\|A(x) - B(x)\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R})} < C\epsilon. \quad (7.10)$$

Proof. By the mean value theorem, there exists an r_* between $B(x)$ and $A(x)$ for each fixed x such that

$$|(\exp(-A) - \exp(-B))| = \left| \left(- \int_B^A \exp(-r) dr \right) \right| = |B - A| \exp(-r_*). \quad (7.11)$$

The desired result follows by taking the supremum over x and applying (7.9). \square

We would like to use the zeroth order coefficients to reconstruct the coefficient $n^2(x)$. Using (2.2), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| a^1(x) \exp(i\lambda\psi_1(x)) - a_0^1(0) \exp \left(- \int_0^s (n_1^2(x_1(t)) dt - \int_0^s \text{tr} \mathcal{M}_1(t) dt) \right) \exp(\lambda \underline{\psi_1(x)}) \phi(x) \right| \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1/2d}), \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

by choice of the cutoff function in (2.13).

Examining (7.5), we are interested in the left hand side. Using (7.12), we would like to approximate it by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| a_0(0) \left(\exp \left(- \int_0^s n_1^2(x_1(t)) dt \right) - \exp \left(- \int_0^s n_2^2(x_2(t)) dt \right) \right) \times \right. \\ & \left. \exp \left(- \int_0^s \text{tr} \mathcal{M}_1(t) dt \right) \exp(\lambda \underline{\psi_1(x)}) \phi(x) \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (7.13)$$

where

$$\underline{\psi_1(x)} = -(x - x_1(s)) \Im \mathcal{M}_1(s) \cdot (x - x_1(s)). \quad (7.14)$$

We need the following lemma to control the error, which works for general Hamiltonians H :

Lemma 8. (*Uniqueness*) Assume that $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in C^\infty(M; \mathbb{C})$, $s_0, s \in (a, b)$, satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1(x) &= \psi_2(x) + \mathcal{O}(|x - x(s_0)|^{m+1}), \text{ for } s_0 \quad (\partial_x \psi_j)(x(s)) = p(s), \\ \partial_t \psi_1(x) + H(x, \partial_x \psi_1(x)) &= \mathcal{O}(|x - x(s)|^{m+1})\end{aligned}$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:

$$\psi_2(x) = \psi_1(x) + \mathcal{O}(|x - x(s)|^{m+1}); \quad (7.15)$$

$$\partial_s \psi_2(x) + H(x, \partial_x \psi_2(x)) = \mathcal{O}(|x - x(s)|^{m+1}). \quad (7.16)$$

Note that the implication (7.15) \implies (7.16) is nontrivial since m -th derivatives of the left-hand side of (7.16) depend on $m+1$ -st derivatives of ψ_2 . However, a direct calculation shows that as long as (7.18) is satisfied and $x(s), p(s)$ solve the eikonal equations, the $m+1$ -st derivatives in (7.16) cancel automatically.

We prove Lemma 8 by analysing the equation

$$\partial_s \psi(x) + H(x, \partial_x \psi(x)) = \mathcal{O}(|x - x(s)|^{m+1}) \quad (7.17)$$

for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, each time determining the m -th derivatives of ψ at $x = x(s)$. A solution to (2.6) can be pieced together from solutions to (7.17) for all m using an argument similar to Borel's Lemma [15, Theorem 1.2.6]. Since Lemma 8 are local in time, we argue in a fixed local coordinate system on M near $x(s_0)$. We let γ be the curve defined by the Hamiltonian flow $(x(s), p(s))$

Proof. The proof proceeds in the following four steps:

- $m = 0$: given (7.18), the equation (7.17) becomes

$$(\partial_s \psi)(x(s)) + H(x(s), p(s)) = 0, \quad (7.18)$$

which, denoting $E := H(x(s), p(s))$, is equivalent to

$$\psi(x(s)) = \psi_0(x(s_0)) - E(s - s_0) + \int_{\gamma[s_0, s]} p dx. \quad (7.19)$$

This determines uniquely the values of ψ on γ .

- $m = 1$: the equation (7.17) becomes

$$(\partial_{sx_j}^2 \psi)(x(s)) + \partial_{x_j} H(x(s), p(s)) + \sum_k \partial_{p_k} H(x(s), p(s)) \cdot \partial_{x_j x_k}^2 \psi(x(s)) = 0;$$

using the following corollary of (7.18):

$$(\partial_{sx_j}^2 \psi)(x(s)) = \partial_s p_j(s) - \sum_k \partial_{x_j x_k}^2 \psi(x(s)) \cdot \partial_s x_k(s),$$

we see that this follows automatically from Hamilton's equations satisfied by $x(s), p(s)$. On the other hand, the first derivatives of ψ on γ are determined uniquely by (7.18).

- $m = 2$: the equation (7.17) becomes

$$(\partial_{x_j x_k}^3 \psi)(s, x(s)) + (\partial_{x_j x_k}^2 (H(x, \partial_x \psi)))(x(s)) = 0.$$

Denoting $\mathcal{M}_{jk}(s) = \partial_{x_j x_k}^2 \psi(x(s))$, we see that this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} -d_s \mathcal{M}_{jk}(s) &= \sum_{\ell, r} (\partial_{p_\ell p_r}^2 H(x(s), p(s))) \mathcal{M}_{j\ell}(s) \mathcal{M}_{kr}(s) \\ &+ \sum_{\ell} \left(\partial_{x_j p_\ell}^2 H(x(s), p(s)) \mathcal{M}_{\ell k}(s) + \partial_{x_k p_\ell}^2 H(x(s), p(s)) \mathcal{M}_{\ell j}(s) \right) \\ &+ \partial_{x_j x_k}^2 H(x(s), p(s)). \end{aligned} \quad (7.20)$$

This is an ordinary differential equation on the symmetric matrix $\mathcal{M}(s) = (\mathcal{M}_{jk}(s))_{j,k=1}^n$ which determines it uniquely, with (2.11) giving an initial condition.

- $m \geq 3$: the equation (7.17) becomes

$$(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_s \psi)(x(s)) + (\partial_x^\alpha (H(x, \partial_x \psi)))(x(s)) = 0$$

where α ranges over all multiindices of order m . This is equivalent to

$$\partial_s (\partial_x^\alpha \psi(x(s))) = \sum_{\ell} \partial_{x_\ell} \partial_x^\alpha \psi(x(s)) \cdot \partial_{p_\ell} H(x(s), p(s)) - (\partial_x^\alpha (H(x, \partial_x \psi)))(x(s)).$$

Note that the right-hand side only depends on $\partial_x^\beta \psi(x(s))$ for $2 \leq |\beta| \leq m - 1$ – indeed, the derivatives of ψ of order $m + 1$ coming from the two terms in the expression cancel each other. Moreover, the dependence of the right-hand side on m -th order derivatives of ψ is linear. Therefore, $(\partial_x^\alpha \psi(x(s)))_{|\alpha|=m}$ solves a linear system of ordinary differential equations, which determines it uniquely, with (2.11) giving an initial condition. This finishes the proofs of Lemma 8. \square

It follows by the choice of the cutoff function and Lemma 8 with $H = p^2 - n_1^2(x)$ in local coordinates:

$$|U_2(x)| = |a_0(0) \exp \left(- \int_0^s (n_2^2(x_2(t)) dt \right) \exp \left(- \int_0^s \text{tr} \mathcal{M}_1(t) dt \right) \exp(\lambda \underline{\psi_1}(x)) \phi(x)| \times \quad (7.21)$$

$$(1 + \exp(-\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}))),$$

with $\underline{\psi_1}(x)$ as above. Since Lemma 8 is local, the order terms depend on $\text{diam}(M)$ and $C^3(M)$ norm of $n_1^2(x)$ and $n_2^2(x)$. This allows us to conclude (7.13), with appropriate loss of error.

We now examine (7.13). Because $\Im \mathcal{M}_1(s)$ is a positive definite matrix, by Corollary 2 we have

$$\sup_{x \in M} \left| \exp(\lambda \underline{\psi_1}(x)) \phi(x) \right| = 1. \quad (7.22)$$

Indeed, $x_1(s)$ reaches the boundary and is contained in $\Omega(\lambda^{-1/2})$. Because the other coefficients of (7.13) are independent of x , we obtain that the supremum of (7.13) over $x \in \partial M$ equals

$$C(s) \left| a_0^1(0) \left(\exp \left(- \int_0^s (n_1^2(x_1(t))) dt \right) - \exp \left(- \int_0^s (n_2^2(x_2(t))) dt \right) \right) \right|. \quad (7.23)$$

Here

$$C(s) = \exp \left(- \int_0^s \text{tr} \mathcal{M}_1(t) dt \right). \quad (7.24)$$

We apply Lemma 7 to obtain

$$\left\| I_{H_0^1}(n_1^2 - n_2^2) \right\|_{C^0(\partial SM^+)} \leq C_1 \left(\delta + \frac{C_2}{\lambda^{\beta'}} \right). \quad (7.25)$$

C_1 denotes a generic constant depending on the $C^0(M)$ norm of n_1^2, n_2^2 , while C_2 depends on the $C^{N+1}(M)$ norm. H_0^1 denotes the flow associated to $n_1^2(x)$. Here we have used the fact that we can Taylor expand $n_2^2(x_2(s))$ around $x_1(s)$, and $x_1(s) - x_2(s) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1/2})$ via Lemma 8 and the smallness condition (7.3).

Now we set $n_1^2 - n_2^2 = \tilde{n}^2$, and we recall that it has compact support. Because we have assumed that M is strictly convex, it follows from (7.25) that

$$\left\| I_{H_0^1}(n_1^2 - n_2^2) \right\|_{C^1(\partial SM^+)} \leq C_1 \left(\delta + \frac{C_2}{\lambda^{\beta'}} \right). \quad (7.26)$$

The compact support of \tilde{n}^2 implies that

$$\left\| I^* I_{H_0^1}(n_1^2 - n_2^2) \right\|_{C^1(\partial SM^+)} \leq C_1 \left(\delta + \frac{C_2}{\lambda^{\beta'}} \right). \quad (7.27)$$

To finish the proof of Theorem 7, we use (7.27) along with the stability estimate of corollary 1. In particular, there is a number $c' > 0$ that depends on M, g and n_1 such that the following holds. If we choose initial speeds with $|\omega_0| = c'$, then the flow transform is stably invertible. We notice that $\tilde{n}^2 \in C_0^\infty(M)$ by choice of $n_1^2 = n_2^2 \equiv 1$ on ∂M , so that the Theorem applies with $n^2(x) = -q(x)$.

Remark 8. The standard problem see for example [26, 27] is to consider

$$(-n^{-2} \Delta_g - \lambda^2)u = 0, \quad (7.28)$$

$$u|_{\partial M} = h(x, \lambda). \quad (7.29)$$

Here one would recover the factor n^{-2} from the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map with $h(x, \lambda)$ a high frequency source. This is a different problem than our source problem, since $h(x, \lambda)$ must be independent of $n^2(x)$. Thus the problem cannot be solved using the X-ray transform, and it is in fact necessary to consider the Hamiltonian flow transform.

Acknowledgements

J.I. was partially supported by an ERC Starting Grant (grant agreement no 307023). A.W. acknowledges support by EPSRC grant EP/L01937X/1 and ERC Advanced Grant MULTIMOD 26718.

References

- [1] G. Alessandrini. Global stability for a coupled physics inverse problem. *Inverse Problems*, 30(7):075008, 10, 2014.
- [2] H. Ammari. *An introduction to mathematics of emerging biomedical imaging*, volume 62 of *Mathématiques & Applications (Berlin) [Mathematics & Applications]*. Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [3] H. Ammari, H. Bahouri, D. Dos Santos Ferreira, and I. Gallagher. Stability estimates for an inverse scattering problem at high frequencies. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 400(2):525–40, 2013.
- [4] Y. E. Anikonov and V. G. Romanov. On uniqueness of determination of a form of first degree by its integrals along geodesics. *Journal of Inverse and Ill-posed Problems*, 5:487–480, 1997.
- [5] Y. M. Assylbekov and N. S. Dairbekov. The X-ray transform on a general family of curves on Finsler surfaces. 2012. arXiv:1204.4383.
- [6] Y. M. Assylbekov and H. Zhou. Boundary and scattering rigidity problems in the presence of a magnetic field and a potential. 2013. arXiv:1307.7449.
- [7] L. Beilina, N. T. Thành, M. V. Klibanov, and M. A. Fiddy. Reconstruction from blind experimental data for an inverse problem for a hyperbolic equation. *Inverse Problems*, 30(2):025002, 24, 2014.
- [8] J. Bellet. Mathematical and numerical methods for skin imaging with polarized light. *PhD Thesis Ecole Polytechnique*, December 2010.
- [9] E. J. Candès, T. Strohmer, and V. Voroninski. PhaseLift: exact and stable signal recovery from magnitude measurements via convex programming. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 66(8):1241–1274, 2013.
- [10] L. Demanet and P. Hand. Stable optimizationless recovery from phaseless linear measurements. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 20(1):199–221, 2014.
- [11] D. Dos Santos Ferreira, C. E. Kenig, M. Salo, and G. Uhlmann. Limiting Carleman weights and anisotropic inverse problems. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 178(1):119–171, 2009.
- [12] D. Dos Santos Ferreira, Y. Kurylev, M. Lassas, and M. Salo. The calderon problem in transversally anisotropic geometries. *to appear J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 2016.

- [13] B. Frigyik, P. Stefanov, and G. Uhlmann. The X-ray transform for a generic family of curves and weights. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 18(1):89–108, 2008.
- [14] A. Homan. Multi-wave imaging in attenuating media. *Inverse Probl. Imaging*, 7(4):1235–1250, 2013.
- [15] L. Hörmander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators, III. Pseudo Differential Operators*. Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [16] J. Ilmavirta. Coherent quantum tomography. 2015. arXiv:1507.00558.
- [17] V. Isakov. *Inverse problems for partial differential equations*, volume 127 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [18] V. Isakov. Increased stability in the continuation for the Helmholtz equation with variable coefficient. In *Control methods in PDE-dynamical systems*, volume 426 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 255–267. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [19] V. Isakov and J. Wang. Increasing stability of the inverse boundary value problem for the schrodinger equation with attenuation from the dirichlet to neumann map. *Inverse Probl. Imaging*, to appear.
- [20] C. Kenig and M. Salo. The Calderón problem with partial data on manifolds and applications. *Anal. PDE*, 6(8):2003–2048, 2013.
- [21] M. Klibanov and V. G. Romanov. Two reconstruction procedures for a 3-d phaseless inverse scattering pproblem for the generalized helmholtz equation. 2015. arXiv:1507.02756.
- [22] M. V. Klibanov. Phaseless inverse scattering problems in three dimensions. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 74(2):392–410, 2014.
- [23] M. V. Klibanov. Uniqueness of two phaseless non-overdetermined inverse acoustics problems in 3-d. *Appl. Anal.*, 93(6):1135–1149, 2014.
- [24] C. B. G. Lebeau and J. Rauch. Sharp sufficient conditions for the observation, control, and stabilization of waves from the boundary. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 30(1024–1065), 1992.
- [25] H. Liu, J. Ralston, O. Runborg, and N. M. Tanushev. Gaussian beam methods for the Helmholtz equation. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 74(3):771–793, 2014.
- [26] S. Liu and L. Oksanen. A lipschitz stable reconstruction formula for the inverse problem for the wave equation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2015.
- [27] C. Montalto. Stable determination of a simple metric, a covector field and a potential from the hyperbolic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 39(1):120–145, 2014.

- [28] S. Nagayasu, G. Uhlmann, and J.-N. Wang. Increasing stability in an inverse problem for the acoustic equation. *Inverse Problems*, 29(2):025012, 11, 2013.
- [29] G. P. Paternain, M. Salo, and G. Uhlmann. Tensor tomography on surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 193(1):229–247, 2013.
- [30] G. P. Paternain, M. Salo, and G. Uhlmann. Tensor tomography: progress and challenges. *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B*, 35(3):399–428, 2014.
- [31] G. P. Paternain, M. Salo, and G. Uhlmann. Invariant distributions, Beurling transforms and tensor tomography in higher dimensions. *Mathematische Annalen*, 2015. To appear, arXiv:1404.7009.
- [32] Rakesh. Reconstruction for an inverse problem for the wave equation with constant velocity. *Inverse Problems*, 6(1):91–98, 1990.
- [33] G. Rigaud. On the inversion of the Radon transform on a generalized Cormack-type class of curves. *Inverse Problems*, 29(11):115010, 2013.
- [34] P. Stefanov and G. Uhlmann. Stability estimates for the X-ray transform of tensor fields and boundary rigidity. *Duke Math. J.*, 123(3):445–467, 2004.
- [35] P. Stefanov and G. Uhlmann. Thermoacoustic tomography with variable sound speed. *Inverse Problems*, 25(7):075011, 16, 2009.
- [36] P. Stefanov and G. Uhlmann. Instability of the linearized problem in multi-wave tomography of recovery both the source and the speed. *Inverse Probl. Imaging*, 7(4):1367–1377, 2013.
- [37] P. Stefanov and G. Uhlmann. Recovery of a source term or a speed with one measurement and applications. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 365(11):5737–5758, 2013.
- [38] N. T. Thành, L. Beilina, M. V. Klibanov, and M. A. Fiddy. Reconstruction of the Refractive Index from Experimental Backscattering Data Using a Globally Convergent Inverse Method. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 36(3):B273–B293, 2014.
- [39] B. R. Vainberg. The short-wave asymptotic behavior of the solutions of stationary problems, and the asymptotic behavior as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of the solutions of nonstationary problems. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 30(2(182)):3–55, 1975.
- [40] A. Waters. Phaseless stability for the helmholtz equation. *Preprint UCLA CAM Reports (14-98)*, 2014.
- [41] A. Waters. Stable determination of X-ray transforms of time dependent potentials from partial boundary data. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 39(12):2169–2197, 2014.