## Particle Physics, Part 2

Heikki Mäntysaari

University of Jyväskylä, Department of Physics

2019

Check your understanding, and a good place to discuss A scientific fact: interaction enhances learning

- Quizzes are small and usually simple exercises
- Write down an answer, even if you think it is trivial
- Collaboration and discussion strongly recommended
- At the end: do you agree with your neighbour?

## Recall from Wednesday

- Classical ED
  - Different gauge field configurations  $A^{\mu} \Rightarrow$  the same physical situation  $\Rightarrow$  gauge invariance
  - Charge conservation
- Basics of group theory
  - Lie groups
- ullet U(1) symmetry in case of complex scalar field  $\Rightarrow$  charge conservation
- ullet Antiparticle solutions from KGE  $\Rightarrow$  E < 0 particles propagating backwards in time (mathematically)

Heikki Mäntysaari (JYU) FYSS4300 2019 2 / 10

## Quiz, p. 252

### Quiz

Check that the "negative energy plane wave" satisfies the KGE  $(\Box + m^2)\phi = 0$ . Recall that the plane wave is  $\phi(x) = Ne^{ip\cdot x} = Ne^{ip\mu x^{\mu}}$ , and now  $p = (-E_p, \mathbf{p})$  with E > 0.

## Quiz, p. 252

#### Quiz

Check that the "negative energy plane wave" satisfies the KGE  $(\Box + m^2)\phi = 0$ . Recall that the plane wave is  $\phi(x) = Ne^{ip\cdot x} = Ne^{ip\mu x^{\mu}}$ , and now  $p = (-E_p, \mathbf{p})$  with E > 0.

Note that  $\Box = \partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}$ . Now

$$\partial_{\mu}e^{ip\cdot x}=rac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}e^{ip_{
u}x^{
u}}=ip_{\mu}e^{ip_{
u}x^{
u}}$$

and

$$\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}e^{ip\cdot x}=ip_{\mu}\underbrace{\partial^{\mu}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}}}}_{e^{ip^{\nu}x_{\nu}}}=-p_{\mu}p^{\mu}$$

Which gives

$$(\Box + m^2)\phi = (-\underbrace{p_{\mu}p^{\mu}}_{(-E_p)^2 - \mathbf{p}^2} + m^2)Ne^{ip \cdot x} = (-m^2 + m^2)Ne^{ip \cdot x} = 0$$

Check the Clifford algebra  $\{\gamma^\mu,\gamma^\nu\}=2g^{\mu\nu}$  explicitly using the Dirac-Pauli representation in the case  $\mu=2,\nu=2$ . Recall that that

$$\gamma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2 \\ -\sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Check the Clifford algebra  $\{\gamma^\mu,\gamma^\nu\}=2g^{\mu\nu}$  explicitly using the Dirac-Pauli representation in the case  $\mu=2,\nu=2$ . Recall that that

$$\gamma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2 \\ -\sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\{\gamma^2,\gamma^2\} = 2\gamma^2\gamma^2 = 2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = 2g^{22}1$$

Or, more easily, note that  $\sigma^2 \sigma^2 = 1$  and calculate in the block form.

Heikki Mäntysaari (JYU) FYSS4300 2019 4 / 10

## Quiz, p. 264

### Quiz

Check that, in case of i=1 we have  $\bar{u}^{(i)}(p)u^{(i)}(p)=2m$ . Use the 2-block form, and the fact that  $(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p})(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p})=\vec{p}\cdot\vec{p}$ .

Check that, in case of i=1 we have  $\bar{u}^{(i)}(p)u^{(i)}(p)=2m$ . Use the 2-block form, and the fact that  $(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p})(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p})=\vec{p}\cdot\vec{p}$ .

Using  $\chi_+^\dagger \chi_+ = 1$ ,  $\bar{u}^1 = u^{1\dagger} \gamma^0$  we get

$$\begin{split} \bar{u}^{1}(p)u^{1}(p) &= N(\vec{p})^{2} \left( \chi_{+}^{\dagger} \quad \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{E_{p} + m} \chi_{+}^{\dagger} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{+} \\ \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{E_{p} + m} \end{pmatrix} = N(\vec{p})^{2} \left( \chi_{+}^{\dagger} \quad \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{E_{p} + m} \chi_{+}^{\dagger} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{+} \\ -\frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{E_{p} + m} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= N(\vec{p})^{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\vec{p}^{2}}{(E_{p} + m)^{2}} \right) = N(\vec{p})^{2} \left( 1 - \frac{E_{p}^{2} - m^{2}}{(E_{p} + m)^{2}} \right) \\ &= (E_{p} + m) \left( 1 - \frac{(E_{p} - m)(E_{p} + m)}{(E_{p} + m)^{2}} \right) = E_{p} + m - \frac{(E_{p} + m)(E_{p} - m)}{E_{p} + m} = 2m \end{split}$$

Heikki Mäntysaari (JYU) FYSS4300 2019 5 / 10

# Recall from Monday

- Dirac equation: find Eq. linear in  $\partial/\partial t$ , which squared = KGE
- Solution: 4-component spinors  $u^{(i)} \Rightarrow \text{spin up+down particles} + \text{antiparticles}$
- ullet  $\gamma^{\mu}$  matrices and their algebra,  $\{\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{
  u}\}=2g^{\mu
  u}$  (anticommutator)
- Conserved current ( $\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}=0$ )  $j^{\mu}=ar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi$
- Probability density  $j^0 = \psi^\dagger \psi \geq 0$
- Spinor normalization and useful properties, e.g.  $\sum_s u^{(s)}(p) \bar{u}^{(s)}(p) = p + m$

Consider a real scaler field with an interaction term

$$V_I = \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^4 + \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{3!}\phi^3$$

Draw a few possible diagrams for  $\phi\phi\to\phi\phi\phi$  scattering. How do the scattering amplitudes depend on  $\lambda$  and  $\bar{\lambda}$ ? How many propagators there are in each diagram?

Perform a local U(1) gauge transform to "photon mass term"  $\frac{m_{\gamma}}{2}A^{\mu}A_{\mu}$  and find that it is not invariant, thus forbidden in the QED Lagrangian.

Recall Eq. (12.13):  $A'_{\mu} = A_{\mu} - \frac{1}{a} \partial_{\mu} \alpha(x)$ .

Perform a local U(1) gauge transform to "photon mass term"  $\frac{m_{\gamma}}{2}A^{\mu}A_{\mu}$  and find that it is not invariant, thus forbidden in the QED Lagrangian.

Recall Eq. (12.13):  $A'_{\mu} = A_{\mu} - \frac{1}{a} \partial_{\mu} \alpha(x)$ .

$$A^{\mu'}A'_{\mu} = (A^{\mu} - \frac{1}{a}\partial^{\mu}\alpha(x))(A_{\mu} - \frac{1}{a}\partial_{\mu}\alpha(x))$$

$$= A^{\mu}A_{\mu} - \frac{1}{a}(\partial^{\mu}\alpha(x))A_{\mu} - \frac{1}{a}A^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}\alpha(x)) + \frac{1}{a^{2}}(\partial_{\mu}\alpha(x))(\partial^{\mu}\alpha(x))$$

$$= A^{\mu}A_{\mu} - \frac{2}{a}(\partial^{\mu}\alpha(x))A_{\mu} + \frac{1}{a^{2}}(\partial_{\mu}\alpha(x))(\partial^{\mu}\alpha(x)) \neq A^{\mu}A_{\mu}$$

As  $\alpha(x)$  is arbitrary. (note: same index many times, but only twice in each product!)