

# Non-Life Insurance Mathematics

Exercises for  
05.12.06 16:15-18:00 (MaD 380)

-3-

- (1) Assume  $f \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$ . Show that for the *moment generating function*  $m_f(h)$  it holds:

$$m_f(h) = e^{-\lambda(1-e^h)}.$$

**Hint:** Start

$$m_f(h) := \mathbb{E}e^{hf} = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{hk} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}$$

- (2) How to get a Poisson distribution from a uniform distribution? Think you have a computer which does not have the Poisson distribution but only gives you a "uniform distributed" value  $r$  on the interval  $[0, 1]$ , i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}(a < r \leq b) = b - a \quad \text{for } 0 \leq a < b \leq 1.$$

Consider the following procedure:

Define for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  the values  $a_i := e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}$  and  $a_0 := 0$ . Let the computer return the number  $n$  in case  $a_n < r \leq a_{n+1}$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Show that

$$n \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda).$$

- (3) Show that the Pareto distribution, given by its distribution function,

$$F(x) = 1 - \left(\frac{b}{x}\right)^a, \quad a > 0, b > 0, x \geq b,$$

is 'heavy tailed'.

**Hint:** Use the definition of 'heavy tailed' in chapter 5.

(4) Assume  $f_1, f_2$ , and  $f_3$  are random variables. Decide whether the following assertions are true or false (why?)

(a) If  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  are independent, then  $f_i$  is independent from  $f_k$  for all  $k \neq i$ .

(b) If  $f_1, f_2, f_3 : \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots\}$  are independent, then  $f_1$  is independent from  $f_2 + f_3$ .

(Check whether  $\mathbb{P}(f_1 = k, f_2 + f_3 = l) = \mathbb{P}(f_1 = k)\mathbb{P}(f_2 + f_3 = l)$ )

(c) If

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f_1 \text{ is independent from } f_2, \\ f_1 \text{ is independent from } f_3, \\ f_2 \text{ is independent from } f_3 \end{array} \right\} \text{ then } f_1, f_2, f_3 \text{ are independent.}$$

(5) (a) What is the Cramér Lundberg model (=CLM)?

(b) Assume an insurance company follows the 'expected value principle' with a safety loading  $\rho$ . Use Proposition 3.3.1. to show that in case of the Cramér Lundberg model it holds for the premium rate  $c$

$$c = (1 + \rho) \frac{\mathbb{E}X_1}{\mathbb{E}W_1}.$$