### International Seminar on SDEs and Related Topics

# Recent Results in the Ruin Theory with Investments

#### Yuri Kabanov

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Franche-Comté, MSU, and "Vega" Institute.

Based on joint works with Anastasia Ellanskaya, Serguei Pergamenshchikov, Nikita Pukhlyakov, Ernst Eberlein, Thorsten Schmidt, Platon Promyslov, and Tatiana Belkina

09/12, 2022

### Essentials

- The striking feature of models with investments in contrast to the classical ruin theory is that the ruin probabilities, as the best, decay as a power function.
- The rate of decay is a positive root of the cumulant generating function of an increment of the logprice process.
- Proofs of general results are based on the Kesten-Goldie theory of distributional equations (called also implicit renewal theory) but the approach based on integro-differential equations is also of great interest.

### Semimartingale Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

- SOU  $X=X^u$  is the solution of linear stochastic equation  $X=u+X_-\cdot R+P$ , or in traditional notations,  $dX=X_-dR+dP$ ,  $X_0=u$ , where P,R are semimartingales,  $\Delta R>-1$ .
- In insurance P is the "business" process,  $X = X^u$  is the reserve invested in a risky asset with the price  $S = \mathcal{E}(R) = e^V$ . Note that dS/S = dR, i.e. R is the relative price process or stochastic interest rate, V, the log price is a Lévy process.
- Ruin time  $\tau^u := \inf\{t \colon X_t^u \le 0\}.$
- Ruin probability  $\Psi(u) := P[\tau^u < \infty]$ ,  $\Psi(u, T) := P[\tau^u \leq T]$ .
- Asymptotic results are available for Lévy OU model.

### Models with gBm investments

- $R_t := at + \sigma W_t$ , where W is a Wiener process,  $\sigma > 0$ .
- P as in the Lundberg model: a compound Poisson with drift,

$$P_t = ct + \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \xi_i$$
, i.i.d  $\xi_i$  are exponentially distributed.

- No safety loading assumption.
- 3 versions: non-life insurance, annuity payments, mixed.

# Theorem (Frolova, K., Pergamenshchikov, Pukhlyakov, 02, 16, 20)

If 
$$\beta:=2\mathsf{a}/\sigma^2-1>0$$
, then  $\Psi(u)\sim Cu^{-\beta}.$  Otherwise,  $\Psi(u)\equiv 1.$ 

- Proofs are based on asymptotic theory of ODE.
- If a fraction  $\gamma \in ]0,1]$  of the reserve is invested in the stock, the ruin with probability one will be avoided only if  $2a\gamma/(\sigma\gamma)^2 > 1$ , i.e. if the share of the risky investment is strictly less than  $2a/\sigma^2$ .

# Integro-differential equation (example of annuity model)

- Let c < 0 and  $\xi > 0$ . Let  $\alpha > 0$  be the intensity of N.
- It is easy to prove that if  $\Psi \in C^2$ , then  $\mathcal{L}\Psi = 0$  where

$$\mathcal{L}\Psi(u) := \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 u^2 \Psi''(u) + (au+c)\Psi'(u) + \alpha \int (\Psi(u+y) - \Psi(u))dF_{\xi}(y).$$

- Smoothness of  $\Psi$  was proven in K.-Pergamenshchikov (2016) and K.-Pukhlyakov (2022) for the mixed model.
- Equation  $\mathcal{L}\Psi=0$  holds always in viscosity sense, Belkina-K. 2015. It can be obtained for LOU model.
- An asymptotic theory for IDEs does not exist.

### From IDE to ODE

If jumps are exponentially distributed, by taking the derivative of the IDE, we get an equation (of higher order) with the same integral. It can be eliminated and we get get a linear ODE for which there are results on the asymptotic behavior. In particular, for the non-life insurance for  $G = \Phi'$ :

$$G'' + p(u)G' + q(u)G = 0,$$

where

$$p(u) = 1/\mu + 2(1+a/\sigma^2)u^{-1} + \dots + u^{-2}, \quad q(u) = 2a/(\mu\sigma^2)u^{-1} + \dots + u^{-2}.$$

One can deduce from the asymptotic theory of linear DE that

$$\Psi(u) = C_0 + C_1 \Phi_1(u) + C_2 \Phi_2(u).$$

with  $\Phi_1(u) \sim e^{-\beta u}$ ,  $\Phi_2(u) \sim u^{-\beta}$ .

It remains to check that if  $\beta > 0$ , then  $C_0 = 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$ . This was done using approach suggested by V. Kalashnikov.

# Ruin problem for LOU and the implicit renewal theory, 1

Let us consider the process X satisfying linear non-homogeneous equation  $dX = X_- dR + dP$ ,  $X_0 = u$  where R and P are independent Lévy processes,  $\Delta R > -1$ ,  $S := \mathcal{E}(R) =: e^V$ . Then [R,P] = 0 (!) and we have the "Cauchy" formula:

$$X = S(u + S_-^{-1} \cdot P).$$

Indeed,  $[S, u + S_{-}^{-1} \cdot P] = 0$  and, therefore,

$$d(S(u+S_{-}^{-1}\cdot P)) = (u+S_{-}^{-1}\cdot P_{-})dS + S_{-}d(u+S_{-}^{-1}\cdot P) = X_{-}dR + P.$$

Put  $Y:=-e^{-V_-}\cdot P$ . Then  $X^u=e^V(u-Y)$ . Obviously,  $\tau^u=\inf\{t\geq 0:\ Y_t\geq u\}$ . Assuming that the (finite) limit  $Y_\infty$  exists (this requires rather mild hypotheses), we can consider the tail of its distribution  $\bar{G}(u):=\mathrm{P}(Y_\infty>u)$ .

# The key to the implicit renewal theory

### Lemma (Paulsen)

If  $Y_t \to Y_\infty$  a.s. where  $Y_\infty$  is unbounded from above, then

$$\bar{G}(u) \leq \Psi(u) = \frac{\bar{G}(u)}{\mathsf{E}\left[\bar{G}(X_{\tau^u}) \,|\, \tau^u < \infty\right]} \leq \frac{\bar{G}(u)}{\bar{G}(0)}.$$

In particular, if  $\Delta P \ge 0$ , then  $\Psi(u) = \bar{G}(u)/\bar{G}(0)$ .

### Proof of the Paulsen lemma

Let  $au \in \mathcal{T}$  and let

$$Y_{\tau,\infty} := -\lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{(\tau,\tau+N]} e^{-(V_{t-}-V_{\tau})} dP_t.$$

On the set  $\{\tau < \infty\}$ 

$$Y_{\tau,\infty} = e^{V_{\tau}}(Y_{\infty} - Y_{\tau}) = X_{\tau}^{u} + e^{V_{\tau}}(Y_{\infty} - u).$$

Let  $\xi$  be a  $\mathcal{F}^{P,R}_{\tau}$ -measurable r.v. Since the Lévy process V starts afresh at  $\tau$ , the conditional law of  $Y_{\tau,\infty}$  given  $(\tau,\xi)=(t,x)$  is the same as the law of  $Y_{\infty}$ . It follows that  $\mathrm{P}\left[Y_{\tau,\infty}>\xi,\ \tau<\infty\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[\bar{G}(\xi)\,I_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right]$ . Thus, if  $\mathrm{P}[\tau<\infty]>0$ , then

$$\mathsf{P}\left[Y_{\tau,\infty} > \xi, \ \tau < \infty\right] = \mathsf{E}\left[\bar{G}(\xi) \,|\, \tau < \infty\right] \, \mathsf{P}[\tau < \infty] \,.$$

Noting that  $\Psi(u) := P[\tau^u < \infty] \ge P[Y_\infty > u] > 0$ , we get that

$$\begin{split} \bar{G}(u) &= \mathsf{P}\left[Y_{\infty} > u, \ \tau^{u} < \infty\right] = \mathsf{P}\left[Y_{\tau^{u},\infty} > X_{\tau^{u}}^{u}, \ \tau^{u} < \infty\right] \\ &= \mathsf{E}\left[\bar{G}(X_{\tau^{u}}^{u}) \mid \tau^{u} < \infty\right] \ \mathsf{P}[\tau^{u} < \infty] \geq \bar{G}(0) \, \mathsf{P}[\tau^{u} < \infty]. \end{split}$$

# The structure of the process Y

We have:

$$Y_n = -\sum_{k=1}^n \int_{(k-1,k]} e^{-V_{s-}} dP_s = -\sum_{k=1}^n e^{-V_{k-1}} \int_{(k-1,k]} e^{-(V_{s-}-V_{k-1})} dP_s$$
  
=  $Q_1 + M_1 Q_2 + M_1 M_2 Q_3 + \dots + M_1 M_2 \dots M_{n-1} Q_n$ ,

where the two-dimensional random variables

$$Q_i := -\int_{(k-1,k]} e^{-(V_{s-}-V_{k-1})} dP_s, \quad M_k := e^{-(V_k-V_{k-1})}$$

form an i.i.d. sequence.

It is easy to prove that if  $\mathrm{E}[|Q_k|^p]<\infty$  and  $\mathrm{E}[M_k^p]<1$  for some p>0, then  $Y_n\to Y_\infty$  a.s. where  $Y_\infty$  is finite (one can take p<1 and notice that the series is absolutely converging in  $L^p$ ). Note also that  $Y_\infty=Q_1+M_1Y_{1,\infty}$  where  $Y_{1,\infty}:=Q_2+M_2Q_3+\ldots$  has the same law as  $Y_\infty$ .

### Implicit renewal theory

We are given r.v. (M, Q) (in fact, only the law of (M, Q)). Let M > 0 be such that  $\mathcal{L}(\ln M)$  is non-arithmetic and

$$\mathsf{E}[M^{\beta}] = 1$$
,  $\mathsf{E}[M^{\beta}(\mathsf{In}\ M)^{+}] < \infty$  for some  $\beta > 0$ .

Then  $\ln \mathsf{E}[M] \in (-\infty, 0[$  and  $\kappa := \mathsf{E}[M^{\beta}(\ln M)^{+}] \in ]0, \infty[$ .

#### Lemma (Goldie, 1991)

Let M satisfies the conditions above,  $\mathsf{E}[|Q|^\beta]<\infty$ . Then the distributional equation  $Z\stackrel{d}{=}Q+M\,Z$  has a unique solution Z independent of (M,Q) and for some  $C_+,\,C_-\in\mathbb{R}$  such that  $C_++C_->0$ 

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} u^{\beta} P[Z>u] = C_+, \qquad \lim_{u\to-\infty} u^{\beta} P[Z<-u] = C_-.$$

### Lemma (Guivarc'h, Le Page, 2015; Buraczewski, Damek, 2017)

 $C_{+} > 0 \Leftrightarrow Z$  unbounded from above.

### Exit probabilities for Lévy OU process

For r.v.  $V_1$  the cumulant generating function (always convex)  $H(q) := \ln E[e^{-qV_1}] = \ln E[M_1^q]$  and  $Q_1 = e^{-V_-} \cdot P_1$ .

### Theorem (K., Pergamenshchikov 2020)

If H has a root  $\beta > 0$ ,  $H(\beta+) < \infty$ , and  $\Pi_P(|x|^{\beta}I_{\{|x|>1\}}) < \infty$ , where  $\Pi_P$  is the Lévy measure of the process P, then

$$0 < \liminf_{u \to \infty} u^{\beta} \Psi(u) \le \limsup_{u \to \infty} u^{\beta} \Psi(u) < \infty.$$

If, moreover,  $\Pi_P(]-\infty,0[)=0$  and the law  $\mathcal{L}(V_T)$  is non-arithmetic for some T>0, then  $\Psi(u)\sim C_\infty u^{-\beta},\ C_\infty>0$ .

Thus, for the model with upward jumps we have an exact asymptotic if the distribution of the increment of log-price process is non-arithmetic, i.e. is not concentrated on the set  $\mathbb{Z}d:=\{\pm nd, n=0,1,\dots\},\ d>0$ . As Paulsen, it was used implicit renewal theory but more recent results.

### Two comments on applications of IRT

#### **Theorem**

Suppose that (M, Q) is such that the distribution of  $\ln M$  is non-arithmetic and, for some  $\beta > 0$ ,

$$\mathsf{E}[M^{\beta}] = 1, \quad \mathsf{E}[M^{\beta} (\mathsf{In} M)^{+}] < \infty, \quad \mathsf{E}[|Q|^{\beta}] < \infty.$$

Then  $\limsup u^{\beta} \bar{G}(u) < \infty$ . If  $Y_{\infty}$  is unbounded from above, then  $\liminf u^{\beta} \bar{G}(u) > 0$  and in the case where  $\mathcal{L}(\ln M)$  is non-arithmetic,  $\bar{G}(u) \sim C_{+}u^{-\beta}$  where  $C_{+} > 0$ .

"For simplicity" we substitute the integrability condition in red by a stronger one:  $\mathsf{E}[M^{\beta+\varepsilon}]<\infty$  for some  $\varepsilon>0$ . But there is Kevei's result with a weaker condition leading to a different asymptotic of the tail ...

#### Lemma

If the random variables  $Q_1$  and  $Y_n/(M_1\cdots M_n)$  for some  $n\geq 1$  are unbounded from above, then  $Y_{\infty}$  is unbounded from above.

### Sparre Andersen non-life insurance model with investments

In this model R is a Lévy process with  $\Delta R > -1$ , independent of the compound renewal process  $P_t = ct + \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \xi_i$ , where c > 0, N is a counting renewal process with i.i.d. interarrival times  $T_i - T_{i-1}$ , independent of the i.i.d. sequence  $\xi_i < 0$ .

Now  $H(q) := \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{-qV_{T_1}}] = \ln \mathbb{E}[M_1^q]$ ,  $Q_1 := e^{-V_-} \cdot P_{T_1}$  and the idea is to use the representation  $Y_{\infty} = \sum_i (Y_{T_i} - Y_{T_{i-1}})$ .

### Theorem (Ernst Eberlein, K., Thorsten Schmidt)

Suppose that there is  $\beta>0$  such that  $H(\beta)=0$ ,  $H(\beta+)<\infty$ ,  $\operatorname{E}|\xi_1|^{\beta}<\infty$ ,  $\operatorname{E}\operatorname{e}^{\varepsilon T_1}<\infty$  for some  $\varepsilon>0$ . If  $\sigma\neq 0$  or  $|\xi_1|$  is unbounded,

$$0<\liminf u^{eta}\Psi(u)\leq\limsup u^{eta}\Psi(u)<\infty.$$

If  $\sigma=0$  and  $|\xi_1|$  is bounded, the above properties also hold except the case where  $0<\Pi(|h|)<\infty$  and  $\Pi(]-1,0[)\Pi(]0,\infty[)=0$ . In the latter case one needs the extra assumption  $P(T_1\leq t)>0$  for any t>0.

# Lundberg-Cramér model with "telegraph" volatility, [K.,E.]

The price dynamics for gBm with regime switching

$$dS_t = S_t(a_{\theta_t}dt + \sigma_{\theta_t}dW_t), \qquad S_0 = 1,$$

where  $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma_k > 0$ , k = 0, 1,  $\theta = (\theta_t)$  is a telegraph process with the transition intensity matrix  $\Lambda$ ,  $\lambda^{10} > 0$ ,  $\lambda^{01} > 0$ ,  $\lambda^{00} = -\lambda^{01}$ ,  $\lambda^{11} = -\lambda^{10}$ . Let  $\tau^{u,i} := \inf\{t : X_t^{u,i} \le 0\}$  (the instant of ruin when  $\theta_0 = i$ ),  $\Psi_i(u) := P[\tau^{u,i} < \infty]$  and  $\beta_k := 2a_k/\sigma_k^2 - 1 > 0$ , k = 0, 1.

#### Theorem

Let  $0 < \beta_0 < \beta_1$  and let  $\beta \in ]\beta_0, \beta_1[$  be the solution of the equation

$$\sigma_0^2 \sigma_1^2 q (\beta_0 - q) (\beta_1 - q) + 2\sigma_0^2 (\beta_0 - q) \lambda^{10} + 2\sigma_1^2 (\beta_1 - q) \lambda^{01} = 0.$$

If 
$$\Pi_P(|x|^\beta) < \infty$$
, then

$$0 < \liminf_{u \to \infty} u^{\beta} \Psi_i(u) \le \limsup_{u \to \infty} u^{\beta} \Psi_i(u) < \infty.$$

### Reduction in the model with a hidden Markov process

#### Lemma

For all u > 0

$$\bar{G}_i(u) \leq \Psi_i(u) = \frac{\bar{G}_i(u)}{\mathsf{E}(\bar{G}_{\theta_{\tau^{u,i}}}(0)|\tau^{u,i} < \infty)} \leq \frac{\bar{G}_i(u)}{\bar{G}_0(0) \wedge \bar{G}_1(0)},$$

where  $\bar{G}_i(u) := P(Y_{\infty}^i > u)$ .

$$\mathsf{E...} = \bar{\mathsf{G}}_0(0)\mathsf{P}(\theta_{\tau^{u,i}} = 0 | \tau^{u,i} < \infty) + \bar{\mathsf{G}}_0(1)\mathsf{P}(\theta_{\tau^{u,i}} = 1 | \tau^{u,i} < \infty)$$

Let  $\tau_i$  be the consecutive jumps of  $\theta$ . Put

$$f(q) := M_1^q = \mathsf{E}[e^{-qV_{\tau_2}}] = \mathsf{E}e^{-qV_{\tau_1}}\mathsf{E}[e^{-q(V_{\tau_2}-V_{\tau_1})}] = f_0(q)f_1(q),$$

the functions  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  admit explicit expression leading to an explicit form of the equation f(q)=1. The reduction to the implicit renewal theory is done by the representation  $Y_{\infty}=\sum_i (Y_{\tau_{2i}}-Y_{\tau_{2i-1}})$ .

# Regime switching by a finite state Markov process, [K.,P.]

Now  $\theta=(\theta_t)$  is a piecewise constant right-continuous Markov process with values in the set  $\{0,1,...,K-1\}$  and the transition intensity matrix  $\Lambda=(\lambda^{ij})$  with the simple eigenvalue 0 and the initial value  $\theta_0=i$  (thus,  $\theta=\theta^i$ ). Suppose that  $2a_k/\sigma_k^2-1>0$ , k=0,1,...,K-1.

Let  $v_1^i := \inf\{t > 0 : \theta_{t-}^i \neq i, \ \theta_t^i = i\}$  be the first return time of  $\theta^i$  to the state i and let  $\gamma_i > 0$  be such that

$$H_i(\gamma_i) := \operatorname{In} \operatorname{Ee}^{-\gamma_i V_{v_1^i}} = 0.$$

#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

Suppose that  $\Pi_P(|x|^{\gamma_i}) := \int |x|^{\gamma_i} \Pi_P(dx) < \infty$ .

$$0<\liminf_{u\to\infty}u^{\gamma_i}\Psi_i(u)\leq\limsup_{u\to\infty}u^{\gamma_i}\Psi_i(u)<\infty.$$

Open problem: modulating process has a countable phase space ...

Ю.М.Кабанов Risk theory 17 / 19

- Buraczewski D., Damek E. A simple proof of heavy tail estimates for affine type Lipschitz recursions. SPA, 127 (2017), 657–668.
- Eberlein E., Kabanov Yu., Schmidt T. Ruin probabilities for a Sparre Andersen model with investments. SPA,
- Ellanskaya A., Kabanov Yu. On ruin probabilities with risky investments in a stock with stochastic volatility. Extremes, 24, 687–697.
- Frolova A., Kabanov Yu., Pergamenshchikov S. In the insurance business risky investments are dangerous, FS, 6 (2002), 227–235.
- Goldie C.M. Implicit renewal theory and tails of solutions of random equations. *AAP*, **1** (1991), 1, 126–166.
- Guivarc'h Y., Le Page E. On the homogeneity at infinity of the stationary probability for affine random walk. In: Bhattacharya S., Das T., Ghosh A., Shah R. (eds). Recent trends in ergodic theory and dynamical systems, 119–130. Amer, 2015.
- Kabanov Yu., Belkina T. Viscosity solutions of integro-differential equations for non-ruin probabilities. Theory of Probab. Its Appl., 60 (2015), 4, 802–810.

- Kabanov Yu., Pergamenshchikov S. In the insurance business risky investments are dangerous: the case of negative risk sums. FS, 20 (2016), 2, 355–379.
- Kabanov Yu., Pergamenshchikov S. The ruin problem for Lévy-driven linear stochastic equations with applications to actuarial models with negative risk sums. FS, 24 (2020), 39–70.
- Kabanov Yu., Pergamenshchikov S. On ruin probabilities with investments in a risky asset with a switching regime price. FS, 26 (2022), 4, 877–897
- Kabanov Yu., Pukhlyakov N. Ruin probabilities with investments: smoothness, IDE and ODE, asymptotic behavior. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 59, 2 (2022), 556–570
- Kevei P. A note on the Kesten–Grincevičius–Goldie theorem. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, **21** (2016), 1–12