

Sensitivity w.r.t. Hurst parameter of functionals of diffusions driven by fractional Brownian motions

Alexandre Richard (Ecole Centrale Supelec)
and Denis Talay (INRIA and Ecole Polytechnique)

SDE, 2022

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Main results
- 3 Reminders
- 4 Sketch of the proofs
- 5 Conclusion and perspectives

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Main results
- 3 Reminders
- 4 Sketch of the proofs
- 5 Conclusion and perspectives

Objective: Sensitivity analysis w.r.t. the long-range/memory noise parameter for probability distributions of functionals of solutions to SDEs.

Given $H \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$:

$$X_t^H = x_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s^H) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s^H) \circ dB_s^H,$$

where the stochastic integral is to be precised.

For $H = \frac{1}{2}$, classical **MARKOVIAN** SDE in the Stratonovich sense:

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) \circ dB_s$$

Two sensitivity problems when the Hurst parameter H of the noise tends to the critical Brownian parameter $H = \frac{1}{2}$ **from above OR from below** :

- **Smooth functionals:** Time marginal probability distributions of X^H .
- **Irregular functionals:** Laplace transforms of the **first passage times** of X^H at given thresholds.

Motivations: neuroscience, risk analyses, stochastic computational models, etc.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Main results**
- 3 Reminders
- 4 Sketch of the proofs
- 5 Conclusion and perspectives

Smooth functionals: Sensitivity of marginal distributions

Theorem 1

Suppose that b and σ are smooth enough and σ is strongly elliptic. Suppose that φ is bounded and Hölder continuous of order $2 + \beta$ for some $\beta > 0$. Then, for any $T > 0$, there exists $C_T > 0$ such that for any $H \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1)$:

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\mathbb{E}\varphi(X_t^H) - \mathbb{E}\varphi(X_t)| \leq C_T |H - \frac{1}{2}|$$

Irregular functionals: Sensitivity of first exit time Laplace transforms

Set $\mu := |\frac{b}{\sigma}|_\infty$, $\mathcal{R}(\lambda) := \sqrt{2\lambda + \mu^2} - \mu$, $\tilde{\lambda} := \lambda - |\tilde{b}'|_\infty$.
 Let F be the (increasing) Lamperti transform for (X_t) and

$$\mathcal{M}_p(x_0, \lambda) := \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\lambda}ps} \mathbb{E} e^{-|F(1) - F(X_s^H)| p \mathcal{R}(\lambda)} \right).$$

Theorem 2 (INFORMAL)

Let $x_0 < 1$. One has:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\lambda \tau_X^H} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\lambda \tau_X} \right) \right| \\ & \leq C_H |H - \frac{1}{2}| \frac{(1 + \lambda)^2}{1 \wedge \tilde{\lambda}^4} \left(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{Y} - y_0, \lambda) + (\mathcal{M}_2(\dots))^{\frac{H \wedge \frac{1}{2}}{6}} + (\mathcal{M}_4(\dots))^{\frac{H \wedge \frac{1}{2}}{12}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Technical difficulties: accurate controls w.r.t. $|H - \frac{1}{2}|$, $1 - x_0$, λ .

Irregular functionals: An estimate on $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Y} - y_0, \lambda)$

Reminder: for $H = \frac{1}{2}$, $X^H = \text{BM}$. The corresponding Laplace transform is

$e^{-(1-x_0)\sqrt{2\lambda}}$. Thus one needs a **similar** estimate on $\mathcal{M}_p(x_0, \lambda)$.

Recall:

$$\mathcal{M}_p(x_0, \lambda) := \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\lambda}ps} \mathbb{E} e^{-|F(1) - F(X_s^H)|p\mathcal{R}(\lambda)} \right)$$

Theorem 3

Let $q := p\mathcal{R}(\lambda)$ and $m := F(1) - F(x_0)$. For any $p > 0$ and $\lambda > |\tilde{b}'|_\infty$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}_p(x_0, \lambda) \\ & \leq C \left(e^{-\frac{q}{2}m} + e^{-\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{2}\Psi_q^H(m)} + \exp\left(-c m^{\frac{2}{1+2H}} \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{2H}{1+2H}}\right) + \exp\left(-\tilde{\lambda}\frac{m}{2\mu}\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi_q^H(m) := \frac{m}{\mu + q} \mathbb{I}\left[\left(\frac{m}{\mu + q}\right)^{2H-1} < 1\right] + \left(\frac{m}{\mu + q}\right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \mathbb{I}\left[\left(\frac{m}{\mu + q}\right)^{2H-1} \geq 1\right]$$

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Main results
- 3 Reminders**
- 4 Sketch of the proofs
- 5 Conclusion and perspectives

Covariance of Fractional BMs

fBM with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$: self-similar Gaussian process with stationary increments and covariance function

$$R_H(s, t) = \frac{1}{2}(s^{2H} + t^{2H} - |s - t|^{2H})$$

One has

$$R_H(s, t) = \int_0^{s \wedge t} K_H(s, u) K_H(t, u) du$$

where, for $0 < r < s$ and some well chosen χ_H ,

$$K_H(s, r) := \chi_H \left\{ \left(\frac{s(s-r)}{r} \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - (H - \frac{1}{2}) r^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \int_r^s \theta^{H-\frac{3}{2}} (\theta - r)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} d\theta \right\}$$

Volterra representation:

For some standard Brownian motion $\mathbf{B} \equiv \mathbf{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \boxed{B_t^H = \int_0^t K_H(t, u) d\mathbf{B}_u}$$

Malliavin calculus

Set

$$K_H^* \varphi(s) = K_H(T, s) \varphi(s) + (H - \frac{1}{2}) \chi_H \int_s^T \left(\frac{\theta}{s}\right)^{H - \frac{1}{2}} (\theta - s)^{H - \frac{3}{2}} (\varphi(\theta) - \varphi(s)) d\theta$$

The Cameron-Martin space \mathcal{H}_H is defined as the completion of the space of simple functions for the scalar product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_H} = \langle K_H^* \varphi, K_H^* \psi \rangle_{L^2[0, T]}$$

Let \mathbf{D} be the Malliavin derivative w.r.t. \mathbf{B} . On \mathcal{H}_H define

$$D^H := (K_H^*)^{-1} \mathbf{D}$$

and the Skorokhod integral $\delta_H^{(T)}$ by duality:

$$\mathbb{E}(\langle u, D^H F \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_H}) = \mathbb{E}\left(F \delta_H^{(T)}(u)\right)$$

For any u such that $K_H^* u \in \text{dom } \delta$ one has

$$\delta_H^{(T)}(u) = \delta(K_H^* u)$$

Stratonovich integrals

Except when $H = \frac{1}{2}$, the fBm is not a semimartingale.

The **Stratonovich integral** $\int u \circ dB^H$ is the limit in probability (if it exists) of

$$\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_0^T u_s (B_{(s+\epsilon)\wedge T}^H - B_{(s-\epsilon)\vee 0}^H) ds$$

when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

If $u \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(|\mathcal{H}_H|)$ and if the following limit in probability exists:

$$\text{Tr } D^H u := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_0^T \langle D^H u_s, \mathbf{1}_{[s-\epsilon, s+\epsilon] \cap [0, T]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_H} ds$$

then the Stratonovich integral exists and

$$\int_0^T u_s \circ dB_s^H = \delta_H(u) + \text{Tr } D^H u$$

(see Nualart).

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Main results
- 3 Reminders
- 4 Sketch of the proofs**
- 5 Conclusion and perspectives

Strong solutions to SDEs

$$X_t^H = x_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s^H) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s^H) \circ dB_s^H$$

- For $H > \frac{1}{2}$: solutions in the sense of Young (Nualart and Rascanu)
- For $H \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$: solutions in the sense of Alòs, León, Nualart

Proposition (Lamperti transform)

Let $H \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$. Suppose b, σ smooth enough and $\sigma(x) \geq \sigma_0 > 0$.

Let $F(x) := \int_0^x \frac{1}{\sigma(z)} dz$ and $\tilde{b} = \frac{b \circ F^{-1}}{\sigma \circ F^{-1}}$. Then $Y^H := F(X^H)$ solves

$$Y_t^H = y_0 + B_t^H + \int_0^t \tilde{b}(Y_s^H) ds \quad \text{with} \quad y_0 := F(x_0)$$

Remark: The proof is based on the fact that the diffusion coefficient of the target process Y^H is 1.

Proposition

$$D_r^H Y_t^H = \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(r) \exp \left(\int_r^t b'(Y_\theta^H) d\theta \right)$$

Proposition

Let $H \in (0, 1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$. From $\mathbf{D} = K_H^* D^H$:

$$\begin{cases} \forall r > t, |\mathbf{D}_r Y_t^H| = 0, \\ \forall r \leq t, |\mathbf{D}_r Y_t^H| \leq C e^{|\tilde{b}'|_\infty(t-r)} \left\{ |K_H(t, r)| + (t-r)^{H+\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{I}_{\{H < \frac{1}{2}\}} \right\}. \end{cases}$$

In addition, for any $r \leq s < t$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{D}_r Y_t^H - \mathbf{D}_r Y_s^H| &\leq C e^{|\tilde{b}'|_\infty(t-r)} \left\{ |K_H(t, r) - K_H(s, r)| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (t-s) \left(|K_H(s, r)| + (s-r)^{H+\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{I}_{\{H < \frac{1}{2}\}} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Sobolev regularity – Itô - Skorokhod's formula

For any smooth enough function g , $\text{Tr } D^H g(Y^H)$ exists and

$$\begin{aligned} G(Y_t^H) &= G(Y_0^H) + \int_0^t G'(Y_s^H) \tilde{b}(Y_s^H) ds + \int_0^t G'(Y_s^H) \circ dB_s^H \\ &= G(Y_0^H) + \int_0^t G'(Y_s^H) \tilde{b}(Y_s^H) ds + \delta_H(\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(\cdot) G'(Y^H)) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr} [D^H \partial_y G(\cdot, Y^H)]_t \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr} [D^H \partial_y G(\cdot, Y^H)]_t \\ &:= \int_0^t \partial_y^2 G(s, Y_s^H) \left(Hs^{2H-1} + \int_0^s \partial_s K_H(s, r) \int_0^s \mathbf{D}_r \tilde{b}(Y_v^H) dv dr \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

Remark: The proof is based on the fact that the diffusion coefficient of the source process Y^H is 1.

Smooth functionals: Sensitivity of marginal distributions

Theorem 1

Suppose that b and σ are smooth enough, σ is strongly elliptic and ψ is bounded and Hölder continuous of order $2 + \beta$ for some $\beta > 0$. Then, for any $T > 0$, there exists $C_T > 0$ such that for any $H \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1)$:

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\mathbb{E}\psi(X_t^H) - \mathbb{E}\psi(X_t)| \leq C_T |H - \frac{1}{2}|$$

Equivalently,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\mathbb{E}\varphi(Y_t^H) - \mathbb{E}\varphi(Y_t)| \leq C_T |H - \frac{1}{2}|$$

with $\varphi := \psi \circ F^{-1}$.

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1 ($H > \frac{1}{2}$)

Consider the parabolic PDE with initial condition φ at time $t \in (0, T]$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_s u(s, y) + \tilde{b}(y) \partial_y u(s, y) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{yy} u(s, y) = 0, & (s, y) \in [0, t) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u(t, y) = \varphi(y), & y \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Reminder:

In the pure Brownian case $H = \frac{1}{2}$, by Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, Y_t) &= u(0, y_0) + \int_0^t \partial_y u(s, Y_s) d\mathbf{B}_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left(\partial_s u(s, Y_s) + \tilde{b}(Y_s) \partial_y u(s, Y_s) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{yy} u(s, Y_s) \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

from which, by martingale property, $\mathbb{E}\varphi(Y_t) = \mathbb{E}u(t, Y_t) = u(0, y_0)$.

Use the Itô - Skorokhod's formula formula and an explicit representation of Tr when $H > \frac{1}{2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, Y_t^H) &= u(0, y_0) + \int_0^t \left(\partial_s u(s, Y_s^H) + \partial_y u(s, Y_s^H) \tilde{b}(Y_s^H) \right) ds \\ &\quad + \delta_H (\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]} \partial_y u(\cdot, Y_\cdot^H)) \\ &\quad + H (2H - 1) \int_0^t \int_0^s (s - r)^{2H-2} D_r^H Y_s^H \partial_{yy}^2 u(s, Y_s^H) dr ds \end{aligned}$$

Use the PDE solved by u and the fact that the Skorokhod integral has zero mean . Use also that $D_r^H Y_s^H = D_r^H Y_s^H - 1 + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\varphi(Y_t^H) - \mathbb{E}\varphi(Y_t) &= \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \partial_{yy}^2 u(s, Y_s^H) (Hs^{2H-1} - \frac{1}{2}) ds \\ &\quad + H (2H - 1) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_0^s (s - r)^{2H-2} (D_r^H Y_s^H - 1) dr \\ &\quad \partial_{yy}^2 u(s, Y_s^H) ds \\ &=: \Delta_H^1 + \Delta_H^2 \end{aligned}$$

For $H > \frac{1}{2}$:

We bound $|\Delta_H^1|$ as follows:

$$|\Delta_H^1| = \left| \int_0^t \partial_{yy}^2 u(s, Y_s^H) \left(Hs^{2H-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) ds \right| \leq C \left(H - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

To bound $|\Delta_H^2|$, use the above Proposition for $D_r^H Y_t^H$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_H^2| &\leq C (2H - 1) \int_0^t \int_0^s (s-r)^{2H-2} (s-r) |\partial_{yy}^2 u(s, Y_s^H)| dr ds \\ &\leq C \left(H - \frac{1}{2} \right) \|\partial_{yy}^2 u\|_\infty \int_0^t \int_0^s (s-r)^{2H-1} dr ds \\ &\leq C \left(H - \frac{1}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

For $H < \frac{1}{2}$: Similar methodology, much more complex calculations (because Tr has no simple explicit form, see below).

Irregular functionals: Sensitivity of first exit time Laplace transforms

Theorem 2 (INFORMAL)

Let $x_0 < 1$. One has:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\lambda \tau_X^H} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\lambda \tau_X} \right) \right| \\ & \leq C_H |H - \frac{1}{2}| \frac{(1 + \lambda)^2}{1 \wedge \tilde{\lambda}^4} \left(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{Y} - y_0, \lambda) + (\mathcal{M}_2(\dots))^{\frac{H \wedge \frac{1}{2}}{6}} + (\mathcal{M}_4(\dots))^{\frac{H \wedge \frac{1}{2}}{12}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2

Starting point: After having used the Lamperti transform F ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\lambda \tau_H^X(x_0)} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\lambda \tau_{1/2}^X(x_0)} \right) = \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\lambda \tau_H^Y(F(x_0))} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\lambda \tau_{1/2}^Y(F(x_0))} \right).$$

Set $y_0 := F(x_0)$ and $\mathbb{Y} := F(1)$.

The second-order ODE satisfied by $\mathbf{W}_\lambda(y_0) := \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\lambda \tau_{1/2}^Y(y_0)} \right)$ is

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{b}(y) \mathbf{W}'_\lambda(y) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{W}''_\lambda(y) = \lambda \mathbf{W}_\lambda(y), & y < \mathbb{Y}, \\ \mathbf{W}_\lambda(\mathbb{Y}) = 1, \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow -\infty} \mathbf{W}_\lambda(y) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Arbitrarily fix $N > 0$ and apply Itô's formula: for any $0 < t \leq \tau_H^Y \wedge N$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{W}_\lambda(Y_t^H) - \mathbf{W}_\lambda(y_0) &= \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} \left(\tilde{b}(Y_s^H) \mathbf{W}'_\lambda(Y_s^H) - \lambda \mathbf{W}_\lambda(Y_s^H) \right) ds \\ &\quad + \delta_H(\mathbf{1}_{(0,t)} \mathbf{W}'_\lambda(Y^H)) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr} D^H(\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(\cdot) \mathbf{W}'_\lambda(Y^H)) \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\Delta(s, H) := Hs^{2H-1} - \frac{1}{2} + \int_0^s \partial_s K_H(s, r) \int_0^r \mathbf{D}_r \tilde{b}(Y_v^H) dv dr$$

We have obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{W}_\lambda(Y_{N \wedge \tau_H}^H) e^{-\lambda(N \wedge \tau_H)} \right) - \mathbf{W}_\lambda(y_0) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{N \wedge \tau_H} \mathbf{W}_\lambda''(Y_s^H) \Delta(s, H) e^{-\lambda s} ds \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\delta_H^{(N)} (\mathbf{1}_{[0, t]} \mathbf{W}_\lambda'(Y_\cdot^H) e^{-\lambda \cdot}) \Big|_{t=N \wedge \tau_H} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\lambda \tau_H} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\lambda \tau_{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\tau_H} \Delta(s, H) \mathbf{W}''_{\lambda}(Y_s^H) e^{-\lambda s} ds \right] \\ &\quad + \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\delta_H^{(N)} \left(\mathbf{1}_{[0, t]} \mathbf{W}'_{\lambda}(Y_{\cdot}^H) e^{-\lambda \cdot} \right) \Big|_{t = \tau_H \wedge N} \right] \\ &=: I_1(\lambda) + I_2(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

To estimate $I_1(\lambda)$: A crucial lemma

Lemma

$$\forall H \in \left(\frac{1}{4}, 1\right), \quad \left| \int_0^s \partial_s K_H(s, r) \int_0^s \mathbf{D}_r \tilde{b}(Y_v^H) dv dr \right| \\ \leq C_H \left| H - \frac{1}{2} \right| e^{s|\tilde{b}'|_\infty} (s^{2H} + s^{2H+1}) \text{ a.s.}$$

Therefore,

$$|\Delta(s, H)| \leq \left| Hs^{2H-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right| + C_H \left| H - \frac{1}{2} \right| e^{s|\tilde{b}'|_\infty} (s^{2H} + s^{2H+1}) \text{ a.s.}$$

To estimate $I_2(\lambda)$

Recall: $\delta_H^{(N)}(u) = \delta(K_H^* u)$.

Notice that $\mathbf{W}'_\lambda(Y_\bullet^H)$ is **adapted**. Therefore, by the Optional Sampling Theorem for standard Brownian integrals,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\delta \left(\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]} e^{-\lambda \bullet} \mathbf{W}'_\lambda(Y_\bullet^H) \right) \Big|_{t=\tau_H \wedge N} \right] = 0$$

However, $K_H^*(\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(\bullet) e^{-\lambda \bullet} \mathbf{W}'_\lambda(Y_\bullet^H))$ is **NOT adapted**. One thus has to estimate

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\delta \left((K_H^* - \text{Id}) \left(\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(\bullet) e^{-\lambda \bullet} \mathbf{W}'_\lambda(Y_\bullet^H) \right) (\cdot) \right) \Big|_{t=\tau_H \wedge N} \right]$$

and let N tend to infinity.

Then, 40 pages of calculations. . .

To estimate $I_2(\lambda)$

Key idea:

- Localize in time, use the explicit expression for $(K_H^* - \text{Id})$
- Use Meyer's inequalities for Skorokhod integrals and (essentially) reduce the calculation to the estimation of

$$\int_0^N \int_0^N \mathbb{E}((\mathbf{D}_r(U_t^{(N)})(v) - U_s^{(N)}(v)))^2) dr dv$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_t^{(N)}(v) - U_s^{(N)}(v) &= \mathbb{I}_{(s,t]}(v) (K_H(t, v) - 1) \mathbf{W}'_\lambda(Y_v^H) e^{-\lambda v} \\ &\quad + \int_v^t \partial_\theta K_H(\theta, v) (\mathbb{I}_{(s,t)}(\theta) \mathbf{W}'_\lambda(Y_\theta^H) e^{-\lambda\theta} \\ &\quad - \mathbb{I}_{(s,t)}(v) \mathbf{W}'_\lambda(Y_v^H) e^{-\lambda v}) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

- Taylor expansions

A crucial observation

Recall that $\tilde{\lambda} := \lambda - |\tilde{b}'|_\infty$.

One has:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{W}'_\lambda(Y_\theta^H)| e^{-\lambda\theta} &\leq \mathbb{I}_{\{r \leq \theta\}} |\mathbf{W}''_\lambda(Y_\theta^H)| e^{-\lambda\theta + |\tilde{b}'|_\infty \theta} \\ &\quad \left(K_H(\theta, r) + C (\theta - r)^{H + \frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{I}_{\{H < \frac{1}{2}\}} \right) \\ &\leq C \mathbb{I}_{\{r \leq \theta\}} (1 + \lambda) e^{-\tilde{\lambda}\theta - |\mathbb{Y} - Y_\theta^H| \mathcal{R}(\lambda)} \\ &\quad \left(K_H(\theta, r) + (\theta - r)^{H + \frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{I}_{\{H < \frac{1}{2}\}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}((\mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{W}'_\lambda(Y_\theta^H))^2) e^{-2\lambda\theta} \\ &\leq C \mathbb{I}_{\{r \leq \theta\}} (1 + \lambda)^2 \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{Y} - y_0, \lambda) \left(|K_H(\theta, r)|^2 + (\theta - r)^{2H + 1} \mathbb{I}_{\{H < \frac{1}{2}\}} \right) e^{-\tilde{\lambda}\theta} \end{aligned}$$

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Main results
- 3 Reminders
- 4 Sketch of the proofs
- 5 Conclusion and perspectives**

Open questions

Notice that **the pure Brownian model is robust.**

Open questions:

- Get rid of the ellipticity condition: Change the PDE. But ...
- Examine multi-dimensional models. But ...
- Invert Laplace transforms and get information on the robustness of densities