

BSDEs driven by G -Brownian motion under degenerate case and its application to the regularity of fully nonlinear PDEs

Mingshang Hu

Joint work with Shaolin Ji, Xiaojuan Li

Shandong University

International Seminar on SDEs and Related Topics

Feb 16, 2024

- 1 Background
- 2 Problem formulation
- 3 Existence and uniqueness result
- 4 Application to the regularity of fully nonlinear PDEs

- 1 Background
- 2 Problem formulation
- 3 Existence and uniqueness result
- 4 Application to the regularity of fully nonlinear PDEs

- Let $T > 0$ be given and let $\Omega_T = C_0([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of \mathbb{R}^d -valued continuous functions on $[0, T]$ with $\omega_0 = 0$.
- Canonical process $B_t(\omega) := \omega_t$, for $\omega \in \Omega_T$ and $t \in [0, T]$.
-

$$Lip(\Omega_t) := \left\{ \varphi(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_N} - B_{t_{N-1}}) : \right. \\ \left. N \geq 1, t_1 < \dots < t_N \leq t, \varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times N}) \right\},$$

where $C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times N})$ denotes the space of bounded Lipschitz functions on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$.

Let $G : \mathbb{S}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a given monotonic and sublinear function, where \mathbb{S}_d denotes the set of $d \times d$ symmetric matrices. Then there exists a unique bounded, convex and closed set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{S}_d^+$ such that

$$G(A) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\gamma \in \Sigma} \text{tr}[A\gamma] \text{ for } A \in \mathbb{S}_d,$$

where \mathbb{S}_d^+ denotes the set of $d \times d$ nonnegative matrices. If there exists a $\underline{\sigma}^2 > 0$ such that $\gamma \geq \underline{\sigma}^2 I_d$ for any $\gamma \in \Sigma$, G is called non-degenerate. Otherwise, G is called degenerate.

- If $d = 1$, then $G(a) = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}^2 a^+ - \underline{\sigma}^2 a^-)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$. G is degenerate iff $\underline{\sigma}^2 = 0$.

Peng (2004-2008) constructed the G -expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}} : Lip(\Omega_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the conditional G -expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_t : Lip(\Omega_T) \rightarrow Lip(\Omega_t)$ as follows:

- For each $s_1 \leq s_2 \leq T$ and $\varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, define $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(B_{s_2} - B_{s_1})] = u(s_2 - s_1, 0)$, where u is the viscosity solution of the following G -heat equation:

$$\partial_t u - G(D_x^2 u) = 0, \quad u(0, x) = \varphi(x).$$

- For each $X = \varphi_N(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_N} - B_{t_{N-1}}) \in Lip(\Omega_T)$, define

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_i}[X] = \varphi_i(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}}) \text{ and } \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi_1(B_{t_1})],$$

where

$$\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_i) := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi_{i+1}(x_1, \dots, x_i, B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})].$$

- G -expectation space $(\Omega_T, Lip(\Omega_T), \hat{\mathbb{E}}, (\hat{\mathbb{E}}_t)_{t \in [0, T]})$ is a consistent sublinear expectation space, $(B_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is called the G -Brownian motion under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$.
- $L_G^p(\Omega_t)$ denotes the completion of $Lip(\Omega_t)$ under the norm $\|X\|_{L_G^p} := (\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X|^p])^{1/p}$ for $p \geq 1$. It is clear that $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_t$ can be continuously extended to $L_G^1(\Omega_T)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_G^1}$.
- S. Peng, Filtration consistent nonlinear expectations and evaluations of contingent claims, Acta Math. Appl. Sin., 20(2) (2004), 1-24.
- S. Peng, Nonlinear expectations and nonlinear Markov chains, Chin. Ann. Math., 26B(2) (2005), 159-184.
- S. Peng, G -expectation, G -Brownian Motion and Related Stochastic Calculus of Itô type, Stochastic analysis and applications, Abel Symp., Vol. 2, Springer, Berlin, 2007, 541-567.
- S. Peng, Multi-dimensional G -Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus under G -expectation, Stochastic Process. Appl., 118 (2008), 2223-2253.
- S. Peng, Nonlinear Expectations and Stochastic Calculus under Uncertainty, Springer (2019).

Representation theorem of G -expectation

Theorem (Denis-Hu-Peng (2011), Hu-Peng (2009))

There exists a unique weakly compact and convex set of probability measures \mathcal{P} on $(\Omega_T, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_T))$ such that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[X] \text{ for all } X \in L_G^1(\Omega_T),$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_T) = \sigma(B_s : s \leq T)$.

- L. Denis, M. Hu, S. Peng, Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectation: application to G -Brownian motion paths, *Potential Anal.*, 34 (2011), 139-161.
- M. Hu, S. Peng, On representation theorem of G -expectations and paths of G -Brownian motion, *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser.*, 25 (2009), 539-546.

Representation theorem of G -expectation

For this \mathcal{P} , define

$$\mathbb{L}^p(\Omega_t) := \left\{ X \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega_t) : \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[|X|^p] < \infty \right\} \text{ for } p \geq 1.$$

It is easy to check that $L_G^p(\Omega_t) \subset \mathbb{L}^p(\Omega_t)$. For each $X \in \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_T)$,

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[X]$$

is still called the G -expectation. The capacity associated to \mathcal{P} is defined by

$$c(A) := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P(A) \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega_T).$$

A set $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega_T)$ is polar if $c(A) = 0$. A property holds “quasi-surely” (q.s. for short) if it holds outside a polar set. We do not distinguish two random variables X and Y if $X = Y$ q.s.

Doob's inequality for G -martingale

Theorem (Soner-Touzi-Zhang (2011), Song (2011))

Let $1 \leq p < p'$ and $\xi \in L_G^{p'}(\Omega_T)$. Then

$$\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}_t[|\xi|] \right)^p \right] \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t[|\xi|^p] \right] \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\xi|^{p'}] \right)^{1/p'},$$

where

$$C = \left(1 + \frac{p}{p' - p} \right)^{1/p}.$$

- H. M. Soner, N. Touzi, J. Zhang, Martingale Representation Theorem under G -expectation, Stochastic Process. Appl., 121 (2011), 265-287.
- Y. Song, Some properties on G -evaluation and its applications to G -martingale decomposition, Sci. China Math., 54(2) (2011), 287-300.

- 1 Background
- 2 Problem formulation
- 3 Existence and uniqueness result
- 4 Application to the regularity of fully nonlinear PDEs

Non-degenerate G -BSDE

Hu-Ji-Peng-Song (2014) studied the following BSDE driven by non-degenerate G -Brownian motion (G -BSDE)

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s)d\langle B \rangle_s - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s - (K_T - K_t).$$

We proved that the above G -BSDE has a unique solution (Y, Z, K) , where K is a non-increasing G -martingale with $K_0 = 0$.

Soner-Touzi-Zhang (2012) studied a new type of fully nonlinear BSDE, called 2BSDE, by different formulation and method.

- M. Hu, S. Ji, S. Peng, Y. Song, Backward stochastic differential equations driven by G -Brownian motion, Stochastic Process. Appl., 124 (2014), 759-784.
- M. Hu, S. Ji, S. Peng, Y. Song, Comparison theorem, Feynman-Kac formula and Girsanov transformation for BSDEs driven by G -Brownian motion, Stochastic Process. Appl., 124 (2014), 1170-1195.
- H. M. Soner, N. Touzi, J. Zhang, Wellposedness of Second Order Backward SDEs, Probab. Theory Related Fields, 153 (2012), 149-190.

For simplicity, we consider the following degenerate G -BSDE:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s) ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) d\langle B \rangle_s \\ - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s - (K_T - K_t),$$

where B is a 1-dimensional G -Brownian motion, $G(a) := \frac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma}^2 a^+$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\bar{\sigma} > 0$.

- $0 \leq \langle B \rangle_{t+s} - \langle B \rangle_t \leq \bar{\sigma}^2 s$
- $\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T |Z_t|^2 d\langle B \rangle_t \right)^{p/2} \right] \right)^{1/p}$

Notations

- $M^0(0, T) := \left\{ \eta_t = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k I_{[t_k, t_{k+1})}(t) : \xi_k \in Lip(\Omega_{t_k}) \right\};$
- $\|\eta\|_{M_G^{p, \bar{p}}(0, T)} := \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T |\eta_t|^p dt \right)^{\bar{p}/p} \right] \right)^{1/\bar{p}}, p, \bar{p} \geq 1;$
- $\|\eta\|_{H_G^{p, \bar{p}}(0, T; \langle B \rangle)} := \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T |\eta_t|^p d\langle B \rangle_t \right)^{\bar{p}/p} \right] \right)^{1/\bar{p}};$
- $M_G^{p, \bar{p}}(0, T)$ completion of $M^0(0, T)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{M_G^{p, \bar{p}}(0, T)};$
- $H_G^{p, \bar{p}}(0, T; \langle B \rangle)$ completion of $M^0(0, T)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_G^{p, \bar{p}}(0, T; \langle B \rangle)};$
- $M_G^p(0, T) := M_G^{p, p}(0, T), H_G^p(0, T; \langle B \rangle) := H_G^{p, p}(0, T; \langle B \rangle);$
- $S^0(0, T) := \left\{ (h(t, B_{t_1 \wedge t}, \dots, B_{t_N \wedge t}))_{t \in [0, T]} : h \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}) \right\};$
- $\|\eta\|_{S_G^p(0, T)} := \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} |\eta_t|^p \right] \right)^{1/p}, p \geq 1;$
- $S_G^p(0, T)$ completion of $S^0(0, T)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{S_G^p(0, T)}.$

Assumptions

(H1) There exists a $\bar{p} > 1$ such that $\xi \in L_G^{\bar{p}}(\Omega_T)$, $f(\cdot, y) \in M_G^{1, \bar{p}}(0, T)$ and $g(\cdot, y, z) \in H_G^{1, \bar{p}}(0, T; \langle B \rangle)$ for any $y, z \in \mathbb{R}$;

(H2) There exists a constant $L > 0$ such that, for any $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega_T$, $(y, z), (\bar{y}, \bar{z}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(t, \omega, y) - f(t, \omega, \bar{y})| + |g(t, \omega, y, z) - g(t, \omega, \bar{y}, \bar{z})| \\ & \leq L(|y - \bar{y}| + |z - \bar{z}|). \end{aligned}$$

We give the following L^p -solution of G -BSDE for $p \in (1, \bar{p})$.

Definition

(Y, Z, K) is called an L^p -solution of G -BSDE if the following properties hold:

(i) $Y \in S_G^p(0, T)$, $Z \in H_G^{2,p}(0, T; \langle B \rangle)$, K is a non-increasing G -martingale with $K_0 = 0$ and $K_T \in L_G^p(\Omega_T)$;

(ii)

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s) ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) d\langle B \rangle_s - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s - (K_T - K_t), \quad t \leq T.$$

d -dimensional G -Brownian motion

Let $B_t = (B_t^1, \dots, B_t^d)^T$ be a d -dimensional G -Brownian motion satisfying

$$G(A) = G'(A') + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=d'+1}^d \bar{\sigma}_i^2 a_i^+,$$

where $d' < d$, $A' \in \mathbb{S}_{d'}$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $d' < i \leq d$,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A' & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & a_{d'+1} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{S}_d,$$

$G' : \mathbb{S}_{d'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is non-degenerate, $\bar{\sigma}_i > 0$ for $i = d' + 1, \dots, d$.

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t = & \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z'_s) ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^{d'} \int_t^T g_{ij}(s, Y_s, Z'_s) d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s \\ & + \sum_{l=d'+1}^d \int_t^T g_l(s, Y_s, Z'_s, Z_s^l) d\langle B^l \rangle_s \\ & - \sum_{k=1}^d \int_t^T Z_s^k dB_s^k - (K_T - K_t). \end{aligned}$$

- 1 Background
- 2 Problem formulation
- 3 Existence and uniqueness result**
- 4 Application to the regularity of fully nonlinear PDEs

Proposition

Suppose that ξ_i , f_i and g_i satisfy (H1) and (H2) for $i = 1, 2$. Let (Y^i, Z^i, K^i) be the L^p -solution of G -BSDE corresponding to ξ_i , f_i and g_i for some $p \in (1, \bar{p})$. Then there exists a positive constant C depending on p , $\bar{\sigma}$, L and T satisfying

$$|\hat{Y}_t|^p \leq C \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \left[|\hat{\xi}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\hat{f}_s| ds \right)^p + \left(\int_t^T |\hat{g}_s| d\langle B \rangle_s \right)^p \right],$$

$$|Y_t^i|^p \leq C \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \left[|\xi_i|^p + \left(\int_t^T |f_i(s, 0)| ds \right)^p + \left(\int_t^T |g_i(s, 0, 0)| d\langle B \rangle_s \right)^p \right],$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T |Z_s^i|^2 d\langle B \rangle_s \right)^{p/2} \right] + \hat{\mathbb{E}} [|K_T^i|^p] \leq C \Lambda_i,$$

Proposition

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T |\hat{Z}_s|^2 d\langle B \rangle_s \right)^{p/2} \right] \\ & \leq C \left\{ \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} |\hat{Y}_t|^p \right] + (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)^{1/2} \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} |\hat{Y}_t|^p \right] \right)^{1/2} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_i = & \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} |Y_t^i|^p \right] + \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T |f_i(s, 0)| ds \right)^p \right] \\ & + \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T |g_i(s, 0, 0)| d\langle B \rangle_s \right)^p \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{Y}_t = Y_t^1 - Y_t^2, \quad \hat{\xi} = \xi_1 - \xi_2, \quad \hat{f}_s = f_1(s, Y_s^2) - f_2(s, Y_s^2),$$

$$\hat{g}_s = g_1(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) - g_2(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2), \quad \hat{Z}_t = Z_t^1 - Z_t^2.$$

Solution in the extended \tilde{G} -expectation space

Following Hu-Ji-Peng-Song (2014), the key point to obtain the solution of G -BSDE is to study the following type of G -BSDE:

$$Y_t = \varphi(B_T) + \int_t^T h(Y_s, Z_s) d\langle B \rangle_s - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s - (K_T - K_t),$$

where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Set $\tilde{\Omega}_T = C_0([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^2)$ and the canonical process is denoted by (B, \tilde{B}) .

For each $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{22} \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\tilde{G} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right) = G(a_{11}) + \frac{1}{2}a_{22},$$

we have $\langle B, \tilde{B} \rangle_t = 0$ and $\langle \tilde{B} \rangle_t = t$. The \tilde{G} -expectation is denoted by $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$, and the related spaces are denoted by

$$Lip(\tilde{\Omega}_t), L_{\tilde{G}}^p(\tilde{\Omega}_t), \tilde{M}^0(0, T), M_{\tilde{G}}^{p, \bar{p}}(0, T), H_{\tilde{G}}^{p, \bar{p}}(0, T; \langle B \rangle), S_{\tilde{G}}^p(0, T).$$

Solution in the extended \tilde{G} -expectation space

Lemma

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then, for each given $p > 1$, G -BSDE has a unique L^p -solution (Y, Z, K) in the extended \tilde{G} -expectation space such that $Y \in S_G^p(0, T)$, $Z \in H_{\tilde{G}}^{2,p}(0, T; \langle B \rangle)$ and $K_T \in L_{\tilde{G}}^p(\tilde{\Omega}_T)$.

Solution in the extended \tilde{G} -expectation space

Key point of proof. For each fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\sigma})$, define

$$B_t^\varepsilon = B_t + \varepsilon \tilde{B}_t \text{ for } t \in [0, T].$$

Then $(B_t^\varepsilon)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is the G_ε -Brownian motion under $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$, where

$$G_\varepsilon(a) = \frac{1}{2}[(\bar{\sigma}^2 + \varepsilon^2)a^+ - \varepsilon^2 a^-] \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let u_ε be the viscosity solution of the following PDE

$$\partial_t u + G_\varepsilon(\partial_{xx}^2 u + 2h(u, \partial_x u)) = 0, \quad u(T, x) = \varphi(x).$$

By Krylov's regularity estimate, there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $u_\varepsilon \in C^{1+\alpha/2, 2+\alpha}([0, T - \delta] \times \mathbb{R})$ for any $\delta > 0$.

Solution in the extended \tilde{G} -expectation space

Applying Itô's formula to $u_\varepsilon(t, B_t^\varepsilon)$, we obtain

$$Y_t^\varepsilon = \varphi(B_T^\varepsilon) + \int_t^T h(Y_s^\varepsilon, Z_s^\varepsilon) d\langle B^\varepsilon \rangle_s - \int_t^T Z_s^\varepsilon dB_s^\varepsilon - (K_T^\varepsilon - K_t^\varepsilon),$$

where $Y_t^\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon(t, B_t^\varepsilon)$, $Z_t^\varepsilon = \partial_x u_\varepsilon(t, B_t^\varepsilon)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} K_t^\varepsilon &= \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} [\partial_{xx}^2 u_\varepsilon(s, B_s^\varepsilon) + 2h(Y_s^\varepsilon, Z_s^\varepsilon)] d\langle B^\varepsilon \rangle_s \\ &\quad - \int_0^t G_\varepsilon (\partial_{xx}^2 u_\varepsilon(s, B_s^\varepsilon) + 2h(Y_s^\varepsilon, Z_s^\varepsilon)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we can prove the result.

Estimates of partial derivatives of u_ε

Let $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^\varepsilon$ be the G_ε -expectation on $(\Omega_T, Lip(\Omega_T))$. The canonical process $(B_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is the 1-dimensional G_ε -Brownian motion under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^\varepsilon$. For each given $(t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$, denote

$$B_s^{t,x} = x + B_s - B_t \text{ for } s \in [t, T].$$

Applying Itô's formula to $u_\varepsilon(s, B_s^{t,x})$ under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^\varepsilon$, we obtain that the following G_ε -BSDE

$$Y_s^{t,x} = \varphi(B_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T h(Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) d\langle B \rangle_r - \int_s^T Z_r^{t,x} dB_r - (K_T^{t,x} - K_s^{t,x})$$

has a unique solution $(Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x}, K_s^{t,x})_{s \in [t, T]}$ satisfying $Y_s^{t,x} = u_\varepsilon(s, B_s^{t,x})$, $Z_s^{t,x} = \partial_x u_\varepsilon(t, B_s^{t,x})$ and $K_t^{t,x} = 0$.

Estimates of partial derivatives of u_ε

Let \mathcal{P}^ε be a weakly compact and convex set of probability measures on $(\Omega_T, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_T))$ such that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}^\varepsilon[X] = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}^\varepsilon} E_P[X] \text{ for all } X \in L_{G_\varepsilon}^1(\Omega_T).$$

For each given $(t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$, denote

$$\mathcal{P}_{t,x}^\varepsilon = \{P \in \mathcal{P}^\varepsilon : E_P[K_T^{t,x}] = 0\}.$$

Estimates of partial derivatives of u_ε

The following estimates for G_ε -BSDE are useful.

Proposition

Suppose $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. For $(t, x, \Delta) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, let $(Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x}, K_s^{t,x})_{s \in [t, T]}$ and $(Y_s^{t,x+\Delta}, Z_s^{t,x+\Delta}, K_s^{t,x+\Delta})_{s \in [t, T]}$ be two solutions of G_ε -BSDE. Then, for $p > 1$, $P \in \mathcal{P}_{t,x}^\varepsilon$ and $P^\Delta \in \mathcal{P}_{t,x+\Delta}^\varepsilon$,

$$\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |Y_s^{t,x+\Delta} - Y_s^{t,x}|^p \leq C|\Delta|^p,$$

$$E_P \left[\left(\int_t^T |Z_s^{t,x+\Delta} - Z_s^{t,x}|^2 d\langle B \rangle_s \right)^{p/2} + |K_T^{t,x+\Delta}|^p \right] \leq C|\Delta|^p,$$

$$E_{P^\Delta} \left[\left(\int_t^T |Z_s^{t,x+\Delta} - Z_s^{t,x}|^2 d\langle B \rangle_s \right)^{p/2} + |K_T^{t,x}|^p \right] \leq C|\Delta|^p,$$

where the constant $C > 0$ depends on p , $\bar{\sigma}$, φ , h and T .

Estimates of partial derivatives of u_ε

In the following theorem, we obtain the formula of $\partial_x u_\varepsilon$ based on $u_\varepsilon(t, x) = Y_t^{t,x}$.

Theorem

Suppose that $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then, for each $(t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\partial_x u_\varepsilon(t, x) = E_P \left[\Gamma_T^{t,x} \varphi'(B_T^{t,x}) \right] \text{ for any } P \in \mathcal{P}_{t,x}^\varepsilon,$$

where $(\Gamma_s^{t,x})_{s \in [t, T]}$ is the solution of the following G-SDE:

$$d\Gamma_s^{t,x} = h'_y(Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x})\Gamma_s^{t,x} d\langle B \rangle_s + h'_z(Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x})\Gamma_s^{t,x} dB_s, \quad \Gamma_t^{t,x} = 1.$$

Estimates of partial derivatives of u_ε

Now we give the estimate for $\partial_{xx}^2 u_\varepsilon$.

Theorem

Suppose that $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then

$$\partial_{xx}^2 u_\varepsilon(t, x) \geq -C \text{ for } (t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R},$$

where the constant $C > 0$ depends on $\bar{\sigma}$, φ , h and T .

Remark

The constant C in the above theorem is *independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\sigma})$* .

Key point of proof. Set $\hat{Y}^\Delta = Y^{t,x+\Delta} - Y^{t,x}$ and $\hat{Z}^\Delta = Z^{t,x+\Delta} - Z^{t,x}$.

For any given $P \in \mathcal{P}_{t,x}^\varepsilon$, we obtain

Estimates of partial derivatives of u_ε

$$\hat{Y}_t^\Delta = \textcolor{red}{E_P} \left[\hat{Y}_T^\Delta \Gamma_T^{t,x} + \int_t^T \Gamma_r^{t,x} I_r^\Delta d\langle B \rangle_r - \int_t^T \Gamma_r^{t,x} dK_r^{t,x+\Delta} \right].$$

Since $\Gamma_r^{t,x} > 0$ and $dK_r^{t,x+\Delta} \leq 0$, we get

$$\hat{Y}_t^\Delta \geq \textcolor{red}{E_P} \left[\hat{Y}_T^\Delta \Gamma_T^{t,x} + \int_t^T \Gamma_r^{t,x} I_r^\Delta d\langle B \rangle_r \right].$$

Noting that $|\hat{Y}_T^\Delta - \varphi'(B_T^{t,x})\Delta| \leq C\Delta^2$ and $|I_r^\Delta| \leq C(|\hat{Y}_r^\Delta|^2 + |\hat{Z}_r^\Delta|^2)$, we obtain

$$\hat{Y}_t^\Delta \geq \textcolor{red}{E_P} \left[\Gamma_T^{t,x} \varphi'(B_T^{t,x}) \right] \Delta - C\Delta^2.$$

Similarly, for any given $P^\Delta \in \mathcal{P}_{t,x+\Delta}^\varepsilon$, we can get

$$\hat{Y}_t^\Delta \leq \textcolor{red}{E_{P^\Delta}} \left[\Gamma_T^{t,x+\Delta} \varphi'(B_T^{t,x+\Delta}) \right] \Delta + C\Delta^2,$$

where $C > 0$ depends on $\bar{\sigma}$, φ , h and T .

Existence and uniqueness

Lemma

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then, for each given $p > 1$, G -BSDE has a unique L^p -solution (Y, Z, K) in the G -expectation space.

Key point of proof. (Y, Z, K) is the L^p -solution in the extended \tilde{G} -expectation space

$$Y_t = \varphi(B_T) + \int_t^T h(Y_s, Z_s) d\langle B \rangle_s - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s - (K_T - K_t).$$

Applying Itô's formula to $u_\varepsilon(t, B_t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Y}_t^\varepsilon = & \varphi(B_T) + \int_t^T h(\tilde{Y}_s^\varepsilon, \tilde{Z}_s^\varepsilon) d\langle B \rangle_s \\ & - \int_t^T \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2 \left(\partial_{xx}^2 u_\varepsilon(s, B_s) + 2h(\tilde{Y}_s^\varepsilon, \tilde{Z}_s^\varepsilon) \right)^- ds \\ & - \int_t^T \tilde{Z}_s^\varepsilon dB_s - (L_T^\varepsilon - L_t^\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

Existence and uniqueness

where $\tilde{Y}_t^\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon(t, B_t)$, $\tilde{Z}_t^\varepsilon = \partial_x u_\varepsilon(t, B_t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} L_t^\varepsilon = & \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \left[\partial_{xx}^2 u_\varepsilon(s, B_s) + 2h(\tilde{Y}_s^\varepsilon, \tilde{Z}_s^\varepsilon) \right] d\langle B \rangle_s \\ & - \int_0^t G \left(\partial_{xx}^2 u_\varepsilon(s, B_s) + 2h(\tilde{Y}_s^\varepsilon, \tilde{Z}_s^\varepsilon) \right) ds \\ & - \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2 \left(\partial_{xx}^2 u_\varepsilon(s, B_s) + 2h(\tilde{Y}_s^\varepsilon, \tilde{Z}_s^\varepsilon) \right)^+ ds. \end{aligned}$$

L^ε is **non-increasing** with $L_0^\varepsilon = 0$ under $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ and

$$\left(\partial_{xx}^2 u_\varepsilon(s, B_s) + 2h(\tilde{Y}_s^\varepsilon, \tilde{Z}_s^\varepsilon) \right)^- \leq C \text{ for } \varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\sigma}).$$

Applying Itô's formula to $|\tilde{Y}_t^\varepsilon - Y_t|^2$ on $[0, T]$, we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T |\tilde{Z}_t^\varepsilon - Z_t|^2 d\langle B \rangle_t \right)^{p/2} \right] = 0.$$

Theorem

Suppose that ξ , f and g satisfy (H1) and (H2). Then G -BSDE has a unique L^p -solution (Y, Z, K) for each given $p \in (1, \bar{p})$.

Existence and uniqueness

The following example shows that f can not contain z in G -BSDE.

Example

Let B be a 1-dimensional G -Brownian motion with $G(a) := \frac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma}^2 a^+$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$. we can prove $((\langle B \rangle_s)^{-1/5})_{s \in [0, T]} \in H_G^{2,p}(0, T; \langle B \rangle)$ for each $p > 1$, which implies $\int_0^T (\langle B \rangle_s)^{-1/5} dB_s \in L_G^p(\Omega_T)$ for each $p > 1$. Consider the following linear G -BSDE:

$$Y_t = \int_0^T (\langle B \rangle_s)^{-1/5} dB_s + \int_t^T Z_s ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s - (K_T - K_t),$$

If the above G -BSDE has an L^p -solution (Y, Z, K) , then we can deduce

$$Y_0 \geq \frac{5}{4} T^{4/5} \varepsilon^{-2/5} \text{ for each } \varepsilon > 0,$$

which contradicts to $Y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, for each given $p > 1$, the above G -BSDE has no L^p -solution (Y, Z, K) .

- 1 Background
- 2 Problem formulation
- 3 Existence and uniqueness result
- 4 Application to the regularity of fully nonlinear PDEs

Regularity of PDEs

For simplicity, we only consider 1-dimensional G -Brownian motion with $G(a) = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma}^2 a^+$. For each fixed $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the following G -FBSDE:

$$\begin{aligned}dX_s^{t,x} &= b(s, X_s^{t,x})ds + h(s, X_s^{t,x})d\langle B \rangle_s + \sigma(s, X_s^{t,x})dB_s, \\dY_s^{t,x} &= -f(s, X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x})ds - g(s, X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x})d\langle B \rangle_s \\&\quad + Z_s^{t,x}dB_s + dK_s^{t,x}, \\X_t^{t,x} &= x, \quad Y_T^{t,x} = \varphi(X_T^{t,x}).\end{aligned}$$

Regularity of PDEs

We need the following assumptions:

(A1) b, h, σ, f, g are continuous in (s, x, y, z) .

(A2) There exist a constant $L_1 > 0$ and a positive integer m such that for any $s \in [0, T]$, $x, x', y, y', z, z' \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|b(s, x) - b(s, x')| + |h(s, x) - h(s, x')| \\ &+ |\sigma(s, x) - \sigma(s, x')| \leq L_1 |x - x'|, \\ &|\varphi(x) - \varphi(x')| \leq L_1 (1 + |x|^m + |x'|^m) |x - x'|, \\ &|f(s, x, y) - f(s, x', y')| + |g(s, x, y, z) - g(s, x', y', z')| \\ &\leq L_1 [(1 + |x|^m + |x'|^m) |x - x'| + |y - y'| + |z - z'|]. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$u(t, x) = Y_t^{t, x} \text{ for } (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Proposition

Suppose that (A1) and (A2) hold. Then

- (i) For each $(t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$, we have $Y_s^{t, x} = u(s, X_s^{t, x})$ for $s \in [t, T]$.*
- (ii) $u(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the unique viscosity solution of the following fully nonlinear PDE:*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + G(\sigma^2(t, x) \partial_{xx}^2 u + 2h(t, x) \partial_x u + 2g(t, x, u, \sigma(t, x) \partial_x u)) \\ + b(t, x) \partial_x u + f(t, x, u) = 0, \\ u(T, x) = \varphi(x). \end{cases}$$

Regularity of PDEs

For each $(t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$, set

$$\mathcal{P}_{t,x} = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : E_P[K_T^{t,x}] = 0\}.$$

In order to obtain $\partial_x u(t, x)$, we need the following assumption.

(A3) $b'_x, h'_x, \sigma'_x, \varphi', f'_x, f'_y, g'_x, g'_y, g'_z$ are continuous in (s, x, y, z) .

Notation: $g'_x(s) = g'_x(s, X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x})$, similar for $g'_y(s), g'_z(s), f'_x(s)$ and $f'_y(s)$.

Theorem

Suppose that (A1)-(A3) hold. Then, for each $(t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\partial_{x+} u(t, x) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{t,x}} E_P \left[\varphi'(X_T^{t,x}) \hat{X}_T^{t,x} \Gamma_T^{t,x} + \int_t^T f'_x(s) \hat{X}_s^{t,x} \Gamma_s^{t,x} ds + \int_t^T g'_x(s) \hat{X}_s^{t,x} \Gamma_s^{t,x} d\langle B \rangle_s \right],$$

$$\partial_{x-} u(t, x) = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{t,x}} E_P \left[\varphi'(X_T^{t,x}) \hat{X}_T^{t,x} \Gamma_T^{t,x} + \int_t^T f'_x(s) \hat{X}_s^{t,x} \Gamma_s^{t,x} ds + \int_t^T g'_x(s) \hat{X}_s^{t,x} \Gamma_s^{t,x} d\langle B \rangle_s \right],$$

where $(\hat{X}_s^{t,x})_{s \in [t, T]}$ and $(\Gamma_s^{t,x})_{s \in [t, T]}$ satisfy the following G-SDEs:

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{X}_s^{t,x} &= b'_x(s, X_s^{t,x}) \hat{X}_s^{t,x} ds + h'_x(s, X_s^{t,x}) \hat{X}_s^{t,x} d\langle B \rangle_s + \sigma'_x(s, X_s^{t,x}) \hat{X}_s^{t,x} dB_s, \\ d\Gamma_s^{t,x} &= f'_y(s) \Gamma_s^{t,x} ds + g'_y(s) \Gamma_s^{t,x} d\langle B \rangle_s + g'_z(s) \Gamma_s^{t,x} dB_s, \\ \hat{X}_t^{t,x} &= 1, \quad \Gamma_t^{t,x} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

In order to obtain $\partial_t u(t, x)$, we need the following assumption.

(A4) $b'_t, h'_t, \sigma'_t, f'_t, g'_t$ are continuous in (s, x, y, z) , and there exist a constant $L_2 > 0$ and a positive integer m_1 such that for any $s \in [0, T]$, $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |b'_t(s, x)| + |h'_t(s, x)| + |\sigma'_t(s, x)| + |f'_t(s, x, y)| + |g'_t(s, x, y, z)| \\ & \leq L_2(1 + |x|^{m_1} + |y|^{m_1} + |z|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

Suppose that (A1)-(A4) hold. Then, for each $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t+} u(t, x) = & \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{t,x}} E_P \left[\varphi'(X_T^{t,x}) \bar{X}_T^{t,x} \Gamma_T^{t,x} + \int_t^T \left(f'_x(s) \bar{X}_s^{t,x} \right. \right. \\ & + \left. \frac{T-s}{T-t} f'_t(s) - \frac{1}{T-t} f(s) \right) \Gamma_s^{t,x} ds + \int_t^T \left(\frac{g'_z(s) Z_s^{t,x}}{2(T-t)} \right. \\ & \left. \left. + g'_x(s) \bar{X}_s^{t,x} + \frac{T-s}{T-t} g'_t(s) - \frac{1}{T-t} g(s) \right) \Gamma_s^{t,x} d\langle B \rangle_s \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t-} u(t, x) = & \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{t,x}} E_P \left[\varphi'(X_T^{t,x}) \bar{X}_T^{t,x} \Gamma_T^{t,x} + \int_t^T \left(f'_x(s) \bar{X}_s^{t,x} \right. \right. \\ & + \left. \frac{T-s}{T-t} f'_t(s) - \frac{1}{T-t} f(s) \right) \Gamma_s^{t,x} ds + \int_t^T \left(\frac{g'_z(s) Z_s^{t,x}}{2(T-t)} \right. \\ & \left. \left. + g'_x(s) \bar{X}_s^{t,x} + \frac{T-s}{T-t} g'_t(s) - \frac{1}{T-t} g(s) \right) \Gamma_s^{t,x} d\langle B \rangle_s \right], \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

where $f'_t(s) = f'_t(s, X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x})$, similar for $f(s)$, $f'_x(s)$, $g(s)$, $g'_x(s)$, $g'_z(s)$ and $g'_t(s)$, $(\bar{X}_s^{t,x})_{s \in [t, T]}$ satisfies the following G-SDE:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X}_s^{t,x} = & \int_t^s \left[b'_x(r, X_r^{t,x}) \bar{X}_r^{t,x} + \frac{T-r}{T-t} b'_t(r, X_r^{t,x}) - \frac{1}{T-t} b(r, X_r^{t,x}) \right] dr \\ & + \int_t^s \left[h'_x(r, X_r^{t,x}) \bar{X}_r^{t,x} + \frac{T-r}{T-t} h'_t(r, X_r^{t,x}) - \frac{1}{T-t} h(r, X_r^{t,x}) \right] d\langle B \rangle_r \\ & + \int_t^s \left[\sigma'_x(r, X_r^{t,x}) \bar{X}_r^{t,x} + \frac{T-r}{T-t} \sigma'_t(r, X_r^{t,x}) - \frac{1}{2(T-t)} \sigma(r, X_r^{t,x}) \right] dB_r.\end{aligned}$$

The following theorem gives the condition for $\partial_{x+}u(t, x) = \partial_{x-}u(t, x)$.

Theorem

Suppose that (A1)-(A4) hold. If $\sigma(t, x) \neq 0$ for some $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$, then $\partial_{x+}u(t, x) = \partial_{x-}u(t, x)$.

Finally, we study $\partial_{xx}^2 u(t, x)$. We need the following assumption.

(A5) $b''_{xx}, h''_{xx}, \sigma''_{xx}, f''_{xx}, f''_{xy}, f''_{yy}, g''_{xx}, g''_{xy}, g''_{xz}, g''_{yy}, g''_{yz}, g''_{zz}$ are continuous in (s, x, y, z) and bounded by a constant $L_3 > 0$.

Theorem

Suppose that (A1)-(A3) and (A5) hold. Then, for each $(t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\Delta^{-1} [\partial_{x-} u(t, x + \Delta) - \partial_{x+} u(t, x)] \geq -C(1 + |x|^{2m}) \text{ for } \Delta \in (0, 1],$$

$$\Delta^{-1} [\partial_{x+} u(t, x + \Delta) - \partial_{x-} u(t, x)] \geq -C(1 + |x|^{2m}) \text{ for } \Delta \in [-1, 0),$$

where the constant $C > 0$ depends on L_1 , L_3 , $\bar{\sigma}$ and T .

- Mingshang Hu, Shaolin Ji, Xiaojuan Li, BSDEs driven by G -Brownian motion under degenerate case and its application to the regularity of fully nonlinear PDEs, Preprint Transactions of the American Mathematical Society.

Thank you!