that is $||f||_1 = \infty$ and thus $f \notin L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. On the other hand for every $\lambda > 0$

$$m(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}) = m(B(0, \lambda^{-1/n})) = \frac{\Omega_n}{\lambda}$$

where Ω_n is a measure of a unit ball. Hence $f \in \text{weak } L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Theorem 2.12 (Hardy-Littlewood I). If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then Mf is in weak $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$m(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > \lambda\}) \le \frac{5^n}{\lambda} ||f||_1$$

for every $0 < \lambda < \infty$.

In other words, the maximal functions maps L^1 to weak L^1 . The proof of this theorem uses the Vitali covering theorem.

Theorem 2.13 (Vitali covering). Let \mathcal{F} be a family of cubes Q s.t.

$$\operatorname{diam}(\bigcup_{Q\in\mathcal{F}}Q)<\infty.$$

Then there exist a countable number of disjoint cubes $Q_i \in \mathcal{F}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots s.t$.

$$\bigcup_{Q\in\mathcal{F}}Q\subset\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}5Q_i$$

Here $5Q_i$ is a cube with the same center as Q_i whose side length is multiplied by 5.

Proof. The idea is to choose cubes inductively at round i by first throwing away the ones intersecting the cubes Q_1, \ldots, Q_{i-1} chosen at the earlier rounds and then choosing the largest of the remaining cubes not yet chosen. Because the largest cube was chosen at every round, it follows that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} 5Q_j$ will cover the cubes thrown away. However, implementing this intuitive idea requires some care because there can be infinitely many cubes in the family \mathcal{F} . In particular, it may not be possible to choose largest one, but we choose almost the largest one.

To work out the details, suppose that $Q_1, \ldots, Q_{i-1} \in \mathcal{F}$ are chosen. Define

$$l_i = \sup\{l(Q) : Q \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } Q \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} Q_j = \emptyset\}.$$
 (2.14)

Observe first that $l_i < \infty$, due to diam $(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} Q) < \infty$. If there is no a cube $Q \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$Q \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} Q_j = \emptyset,$$

then the process will end and we have found the cubes Q_1, \ldots, Q_{i-1} . Otherwise we choose $Q_i \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$l(Q_i) > \frac{1}{2}l_i$$
 and $Q_i \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} Q_j = \emptyset$.

This is also how we choose the first cube. Observe further that this is possible since $0 < l_i < \infty$. We have chosen the cubes so that they are disjoint and it suffices to show the covering property.

Choose an arbitrary $Q \in \mathcal{F}$. Then it follows that this Q intersects at least one of the chosen cubes Q_1, Q_2, \ldots , because otherwise

$$Q \cap Q_i = \emptyset$$
 for every $i = 1, 2, \dots$

and thus the sup in (2.14) must be at least l(Q) so that

$$l_i \ge l(Q)$$
 for every $i = 1, 2, \dots$

It follows that

$$l(Q_i) > \frac{1}{2}l_i \ge \frac{1}{2}l(Q) > 0$$

for every $i = 1, 2, \ldots$, so that

$$m(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(Q_i) = \infty,$$

where we also used the fact that the cubes are disjoint. This contradicts the fact that $m(\bigcup_{i}^{\infty} Q_{i}) < \infty$ since $\bigcup_{i}^{\infty} Q_{i}$ is a bounded set according to assumption diam $(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} Q) < \infty$. Thus we have shown that Q intersects a cube in Q_{i} , $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ Then there exists a smallest index iso that

$$Q \cap Q_i \neq \emptyset.$$

implying

$$Q \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} Q_j = \emptyset.$$

Furthermore, according to the procedure

$$l(Q) \le l_i < 2l(Q_i)$$

and thus $Q \subset 5Q_i$ and moreover

$$\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} Q \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} 5Q_i.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.12. Remember the notation

$$E_{\lambda} = \{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > \lambda \}, \quad \lambda > 0$$

so that $x \in E_{\lambda}$ implies that there exits a cube $Q_x \ni x$ such that

$$\oint_{Q_x} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y > \lambda \tag{2.15}$$

If Q_x would cover E_{λ} , then the result would follow by the estimate

$$m(E_{\lambda}) \le m(Q) \le \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \,\mathrm{d}y.$$

However, this is not usually the case so we have to cover E_{λ} with cubes. But then the overlap of cubes needs to be controlled, and here we utilize the Vitali covering theorem.

In application of the Vitali covering theorem, there is also a technical difficulty that E_{λ} may not be bounded. This problem is treated by looking at the

$$E_{\lambda} \cap B(0,k).$$

Let \mathcal{F} be a collection of cubes with the property (2.15), and $x \in E_{\lambda} \cap B(0,k)$. Now for every $Q \in \mathcal{F}$ it holds that

$$l(Q)^n = m(Q) < \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_Q |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \le \frac{||f||_1}{\lambda},$$

so that

$$l(Q) \le \left(\frac{||f||_1}{\lambda}\right)^{1/n} < \infty.$$

Thus diam $(\bigcup_{Q\in\mathcal{F}} Q) < \infty$ and the Vitali covering theorem implies

$$\bigcup_{Q\in\mathcal{F}}Q\subset\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}5Q_i.$$

Combining the facts, we have

$$m(E_{\lambda} \cap B(0,k)) \leq m(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{F}}^{\infty} Q) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(5Q_i) = 5^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(Q_i)$$

$$\stackrel{(2.15)}{\leq} \frac{5^n}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q_i} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$\stackrel{\text{cubes are disjoint}}{=} \frac{5^n}{\lambda} \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \leq \frac{5^n}{\lambda} ||f||_1$$

Then we pass to the original E_{λ}

$$m(E_{\lambda}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} m(E_{\lambda} \cap B(0, k)) \le \frac{5^n}{\lambda} ||f||_1.$$

Remark 2.16. Observe that $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ implies that $Mf(x) < \infty$ a.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ because

$$m(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) = \infty\} \le m(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > \lambda\})$$
$$\le \frac{5^n}{\lambda} ||f||_1 \to 0$$

as $\lambda \to \infty$.

Definition 2.17. (i)

$$f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n) + L^p(\mathbf{R}^n), \qquad 1 \le p \le \infty$$

if

$$f = g + h, \quad g \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n), \quad h \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

(ii)

$$T: L^1(\mathbf{R}^n) + L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \to \text{ measurable functions}$$

is subadditive, if

$$|T(f+g)(x)| \le |Tf(x)| + |Tg(x)|$$
 a.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$

(iii) T is of strong type $(p, p), 1 \le p \le \infty$, if there exists a constant C independent of functions $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ s.t.

$$||Tf||_{p} \leq C ||f||_{p}.$$

for every $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$

(iv) T is of weak type $(p, p), 1 \le p < \infty$, if there exists a constant C independent of functions $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ s.t.

$$m(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Tf(x) > \lambda\}) \le \frac{C}{\lambda^p} ||f||_p^p$$

for every $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Remark 2.18. (i) Observe that the maximal operator is subadditive, of weak type (1,1) that is

$$m(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > \lambda\}) \le \frac{5^n}{\lambda} ||f||_1,$$

of strong type (∞, ∞)

$$\left|\left|Mf\right|\right|_{\infty} \le C \left|\left|f\right|\right|_{\infty},$$

and $\mathit{nonlinear}.$

(ii) Strong (p, p) implies weak (p, p):

$$m(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Tf(x) > \lambda\}) \stackrel{\text{Chebysev}}{\leq} \frac{1}{\lambda^p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |Tf|^p \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$\stackrel{\text{strong } (p,p)}{\leq} \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f|^p \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

10

Theorem 2.19 (Hardy-Littlewood II). If $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, 1 , $then <math>Mf \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and there exists C = C(n,p) (meaning C depends on n, p) such that

$$||Mf||_p \le C ||f||_p$$

This is not true, when p = 1, cf. Example 2.3. The proof is based on the interpolation (Marcinkiewich interpolation theorem, proven below) between weak (1,1) and strong (∞, ∞) . In the proof of the Marcinkiewich interpolation theorem, we use the following auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 2.20. Let $1 \le p \le q \le \infty$. Then

$$L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset L^1(\mathbf{R}^n) + L^q(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\lambda > 0$. We split f into two part as $f = f_1 + f_2$ by setting

$$f_1(x) = f\chi_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |f(x)| \le \lambda\}}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & |f(x)| \le \lambda \\ 0, & |f(x)| > \lambda, \end{cases}$$
$$f_2(x) = f\chi_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & |f(x)| > \lambda \\ 0, & |f(x)| \le \lambda. \end{cases}$$

We will show that $f_1 \in L^q$ and $f_2 \in L^1$

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_1(x)|^q \, \mathrm{d}x &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_1(x)|^{q-p} \, |f_1(x)|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\stackrel{|f_1| \leq \lambda}{\leq} \lambda^{q-p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_1(x)|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\stackrel{|f_1| \leq |f|}{\leq} \lambda^{q-p} \, ||f||_p^p < \infty, \end{split}$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_2(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_2|^{1-p} \, |f_2|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\stackrel{|f_2| > \lambda \text{ or } f_2 = 0}{\leq} \lambda^{1-p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_2|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\stackrel{|f_2| \leq |f|}{\leq} \lambda^{1-p} \, ||f||_p^p < \infty. \qquad \Box$$

Theorem 2.21 (Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem). Let $1 < q \leq \infty$,

$$T: L^1(\mathbf{R}^n) + L^q(\mathbf{R}^n) \to measurable functions$$

is subadditive, and

- (i) T is of weak type (1,1)
- (ii) T is of weak type (q,q), if $q < \infty$, and
 - T is of strong type (q,q), if $q = \infty$.

9.9.2010