# HARMONIC ANALYSIS

## Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Hardy-Littlewood maximal function
- 3. Approximation by convolution
- 4. Muckenhaupt weights
- 4.1. Calderón-Zygmund decomposition
- 5. Fourier transform
- 6. BMO (bounded mean oscillation)
- 7. Singular integrals
- 7.1. Hilbert and Riesz transforms
- 7.2. Singular integrals of convolution type
- 7.3. Singular integrals of nonconvolution type: Calderón-Zygmund operators

### HARMONIC ANALYSIS

### 1. INTRODUCTION

This lecture note contains a sketch of the lectures. More illustrations and examples are presented during the lectures.

The tools of the harmonic analysis have a wide spectrum of applications in mathematical theory. The theory has strong real world applications at the background as well:

- Signal processing: Fourier transform, Fourier multipliers, Singular integrals.
- Solving PDEs: Poisson integral, Hilbert transform, Singular integrals.
- Regularity of PDEs: Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, approximation by convolution, Calderón-Zygmund decomposition, BMO.

Example 1.1. We consider a problem

$$\Delta u = f \quad in \quad \mathbf{R}^n$$

where  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . The solution u is of the form

$$u(x) = C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} \,\mathrm{d}y.$$

One of the questions in the regularity theory of PDEs is, does u have the second derivatives in  $L^p$  i.e.

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)?$$

If we formally differentiate u, we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y) \underbrace{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2}}}_{|\cdot| \le C/|x-y|^n} \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

It follows that  $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2}} dy$  defines a singular integral Tf(x). A typical theorem in the theory of singular integrals says

$$||Tf||_p \le C \, ||f||_p$$

and thus we can deduce that  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

**Example 1.2.** Suppose that we have three different signals  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  with different frequencies but only one channel, and that we receive

$$f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$$

from the channel. The Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}(f)$  gives us a spectrum of the signal f with three spikes in  $|\mathcal{F}(f)|$ . We would like to recover the

signal  $f_1$ . Thus we take a multiplier (filter)

$$a_1(y) := \chi_{(a,b)}(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & y \in (a,b), \\ 0, & otherwise, \end{cases}$$

where the interval (a, b) contains the frequency of  $f_1$ . Thus formally by taking the inverse Fourier transform, we get

$$f_1 = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(a_1 \mathcal{F}(f)) =: Tf(x).$$

This, again formally, defines an operator T which turns out to be of the form

$$c \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\sin(Cy)}{y} f(x-y) \,\mathrm{d}y$$

with some constants c, C. This operator is of a convolution type. However,  $\sin(Cy)/y$  is not integrable over the whole **R**, so this requires some care!

## 2. HARDY-LITTLEWOOD MAXIMAL FUNCTION

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and m a Lebesgue measure. A Hardy-Littlewood maximal function  $Mf : \mathbf{R}^n \mapsto [0, \infty]$  is

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y =: \sup_{Q \ni x} \oint_Q |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y,$$

where the supremum is taken over all the cubes Q with sides parallel to the coordinate axis and that contain the point x. Above we used the shorthand notation

$$\int_{Q} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_{Q} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

for the integral average.

Notation 2.2. We denote an open cube by

$$Q = Q(x, l) = \{ y \in \mathbf{R}^n : \max_{1 \le i \le n} |y_i - x_i| < l/2 \},\$$

l(Q) is a side length of the cube Q,

$$m(Q) = l(Q)^n,$$
  
diam(Q) =  $l(Q)\sqrt{n}.$ 

**Example 2.3.**  $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}, f(x) = \chi_{(0,1)}(x)$ 

$$Mf(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x}, & x > 1, \\ 1, & 0 \le x \le 1, \\ \frac{1}{1-x}, & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$  but  $Mf \notin L^1(\mathbf{R})$ .

### HARMONIC ANALYSIS

**Remark 2.4.** (i) Mf is defined at every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and if f = g almost everywhere (a.e.), then Mf(x) = Mg(x) at every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- (ii) It may well be that  $Mf = \infty$  for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Let for example n = 1 and  $f(x) = x^2$ .
- (iii) There are several definitions in the literature which are often equivalent. Let

$$\tilde{M}f(x) = \sup_{l>0} \oint_{Q(x,l)} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q(x, l) centered at x. Then clearly

$$\tilde{M}f(x) \le Mf(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . On the other hand, if Q is a cube such that  $x \in Q$ , then  $Q = Q(x_0, l_0) \subset Q(x, 2l_0)$  and

$$\begin{split} \oint_{Q} |f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y &\leq \frac{m(Q(x, 2l_{0}))}{m(Q(x, l_{0}))} \frac{1}{m(Q(x, 2l_{0}))} \int_{Q(x, 2l_{0})} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &\leq 2^{n} \tilde{M}f(x) \end{split}$$

because

$$\frac{m(Q(x,2l_0))}{m(Q(x,l_0))} = \frac{(2l_0)^n}{l_0^n} = 2^n.$$

It follows that  $Mf(x) \leq 2^n \tilde{M}f(x)$  and

$$\tilde{M}f(x) \le Mf(x) \le 2^n \tilde{M}f(x)$$

for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . We obtain a similar result, if cubes are replaced for example with balls.

Next we state some immediate properties of the maximal function. The proofs are left for the reader.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $f, g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Then

(i)

 $Mf(x) \ge 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  (positivity).

(ii)

$$M(f+g)(x) \le Mf(x) + Mg(x) \text{ (sublinearity)}$$
(iii)

$$M(\alpha f)(x) = |\alpha| M f(x), \ \alpha \in \mathbf{R}$$
 (homogeneity).

(iv)

 $M(\tau_y f) = (\tau_y M f)(x) = M f(x+y)$  (translation invariance). **mma 2.6** If  $f \in C(\mathbf{R}^n)$  then

Lemma 2.6. If  $f \in C(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , then

$$|f(x)| \le Mf(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Then

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \; \exists \delta > 0 \; \text{s.t.} \; |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon \; \text{whenever} \; |x - y| < \delta.$$

From this and the triangle inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \left| \oint_{Q} |f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y - |f(x)| \right|^{f_{Q} \operatorname{1d}y = 1} \left| \oint_{Q} \left( |f(y)| - |f(x)| \right) \mathrm{d}y \right| \\ & \leq \oint_{Q} ||f(y)| - |f(x)|| \, \mathrm{d}y \leq \oint_{Q} |f(y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y < \varepsilon \end{split}$$

whenever  $\operatorname{diam}(Q) = \sqrt{n} l(Q) < \delta$ . Thus

$$|f(x)| = \lim_{Q \ni x, l(Q) \to 0} \oint_Q |f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y \le \sup_{Q \ni x} \oint_Q |f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y = Mf(x). \quad \Box$$

Remember that  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \to [-\infty, \infty]$  is lower semicontinuous if

$$\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : f(x) > \lambda\} = f^{-1}((\lambda, \infty])$$

is open for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ . Thus for example,  $\chi_U$  is lower semicontinuous whenever  $U \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is open. It also follows that if f is lower semicontinuous then it is measurable.

Lemma 2.7. Mf is lower semicontinuous and thus measurable.

*Proof.* We denote

$$E_{\lambda} = \{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > \lambda \}, \ \lambda > 0.$$

Whenever  $x \in E_{\lambda}$  it follows that there exists  $Q \ni x$  such that

$$\oint_Q |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y > \lambda$$

Further

$$Mf(z) \ge \int_Q |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y > \lambda$$

for every  $z \in Q$ , and thus

$$Q \subset E_{\lambda}.$$

Lemma 2.8. If  $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , then  $Mf \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $||Mf||_{\infty} \leq ||f||_{\infty}$ .

Proof.

$$\oint_{Q(x)} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \le ||f||_{\infty} \oint_{Q} 1 \, \mathrm{d}x = ||f||_{\infty} \,,$$

for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . From this it follows that

$$||Mf||_{\infty} \le ||f||_{\infty}.$$

**Lemma 2.9.** Let E be a measurable set. Then for each 0 , we have

$$\int_{E} |f(x)|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x = p \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{p-1} m(\{x \in E : |f(x)| > \lambda\}) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda$$

*Proof.* Sketch:

$$\int_{E} |f(x)|^{p} dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \chi_{E}(x) p \int_{0}^{|f(x)|} \lambda^{p-1} d\lambda dx$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Fubini}}{=} p \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{p-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \chi_{\{x \in E : |f(x)| > \lambda\}}(x) dx d\lambda$$

$$= p \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{p-1} m(\{x \in E : |f(x)| > \lambda\}) d\lambda. \qquad \Box$$

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $f : \mathbf{R}^n \to [-\infty, \infty]$  be measurable. The function f belongs to weak  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  if there exists a constant C such that  $0 \leq C < \infty$  such that

$$m(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}) \le \frac{C}{\lambda}$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Remark 2.11.** (i)  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset$  weak  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  because

$$m(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}) = \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}} 1 \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$\leq \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}} \underbrace{\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}}_{\geq 1} \, \mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{||f||_1}{\lambda},$$

for every  $\lambda > 0$ .

(ii) weak  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is not included into  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . This can be seen by considering

$$f : \mathbf{R}^n \to [0, \infty], \ f(x) = |x|^{-n}.$$

Indeed,

$$\int_{B(0,1)} |f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{B(0,1)} |x|^{-n} \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^1 \int_{\partial B(0,r)} r^{-n} \, \mathrm{d}S(x) \, \mathrm{d}r$$
$$= \int_0^1 r^{-n} \underbrace{\int_{\partial B(0,r)} 1 \, \mathrm{d}S(x)}_{\omega_{n-1}r^{n-1}} \mathrm{d}r$$
$$= \omega_{n-1} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{r} \, \mathrm{d}r = \infty,$$

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

7.9.2010