(i)

$$\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > 4^n \lambda\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty 3Q_j.$$

and

(ii)

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Q_j \subset \{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > \lambda \}.$$

Proof. (i) The previous lemma. (ii) $Q_j \in F_\lambda$ implies

$$\int_{Q_j} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y > \lambda$$

and thus

$$Mf(x) > \lambda$$

for every $x \in Q_j$. Thus

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Q_j \subset \{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > \lambda \}.$$

4.2. Connection of A_p to weak and strong type estimates. Now, we return to A_p -weights.

Theorem 4.27. Let $w \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and $1 \le p < \infty$. Then the following are equivalent

(i)
$$w \in A_p$$
.
(ii)
 $\mu(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|^p d\mu$
for every $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n), \ \lambda > 0$.

Proof. It was shown above (4.10) in case 1 and in the case <math>p = 1 above (4.7), that $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$.

Then we aim at showing that $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. The idea is to use Lemma 4.25 and to estimate

$$\mu(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > 4^n \lambda\}) \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(3Q_j),$$
(4.28)

for Calderón-Zygmund cubes at the level λ and for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Further, we have shown that $w \in A_p$ implies that μ is a doubling measure. Thus

$$\mu(3Q_j) \leq \mu(Q_j)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Theorem 4.16}}{\leq} C\left(\int_{Q_j} |f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{-p} \int_{Q_j} |f(x)|^p \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x)$$

$$\stackrel{Q_j \text{ is a Calderón-Zygmund cube}}{\leq} \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int_{Q_j} |f(x)|^p \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x).$$

Using this in (4.28), we get

$$\mu(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > 4^n \lambda\}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(3Q_j)$$
$$\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q_j} |f(x)|^p \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x)$$
$$Q_j \text{ are disjoint} \quad \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x),$$

and then replacing $4^n \lambda$ by λ gives the result.

However, in the statement, we only assumed that $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and in the above argument that $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. We treat this difficulty by considering

$$f_i = f \chi_{B(0,i)}, i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and then passing to a limit $i \to \infty$ with the help of Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem. To be more precise, repeating the above argument, we get

$$\mu(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf_i(x) > 4^n\lambda\}) \le \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_i(x)|^p \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x).$$

Since

$$\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > 4^n\lambda\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf_i(x) > 4^n\lambda\}$$

the basic properties of measure and the above estimate imply

$$\mu(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > 4^n \lambda\}) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \mu(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf_i(x) > 4^n \lambda\})$$
$$\leq \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_i(x)|^p \, \mathrm{d}\mu$$
$$\stackrel{\text{MON}}{=} \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \, \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

Next we show that $w \in A_p$ satisfies a reverse Hölder's inequality. First, by the usual Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_{Q} |f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x &\leq \frac{1}{m(Q)} \Big(\int_{Q} |f(x)|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{1/p} \Big(\int_{Q} 1^{p'} \, \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{1/p'} \\ &\leq m(Q)^{\frac{1}{p'}-1} \Big(\int_{Q} |f(x)|^{p} \, \, \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \Big(\int_{Q} |f(x)|^{p} \, \, \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{1/p}. \end{split}$$

Similarly

$$\left(\int_{Q} \left|f(x)\right|^{p} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p} \leq C\left(\int_{Q} \left|f(x)\right|^{q} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}, \ q > p.$$

42

HARMONIC ANALYSIS

Thus it is natural, to call inequality in which the power on the left hand side is larger the *reverse Hölder inequality*. Reverse Hölder inequalities tell, in general, that a function is more integrable than it first appears. We will need the following deep result of Gehring (1973). We skip the lengthy proof.

Lemma 4.29 (Gehring's lemma). Suppose that for $p, 1 , there exists <math>C \ge 1$ such that

$$\left(\int_{Q} |f(x)|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p} \leq C \int_{Q} |f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x$$

for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$. Then there exists q > p such that

$$\left(\oint_{Q} |f(x)|^{q} \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q} \le C \oint_{Q} |f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x$$

for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$.

Theorem 4.30 (reverse Hölder's inequality). Suppose that $w \in A_p$, $1 \le p < \infty$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ and C > 0 s.t.

$$\left(\frac{1}{m(Q)}\int_{Q}w^{1+\delta}\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/(1+\delta)} \le \frac{C}{m(Q)}\int_{Q}w\,\mathrm{d}x$$

for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$.

Proof. Since $w \in A_p$, we have

$$\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q w \,\mathrm{d}x \Big(\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q w^{1/(1-p)} \,\mathrm{d}x \Big)^{p-1} \le C.$$

On the other hand Hölder's inequality implies for any measurable f > 0 (choose p = p' = 2 in (4.14)) that

$$\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q f \,\mathrm{d}x \Big(\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q \frac{1}{f} \,\mathrm{d}x \Big) \ge 1.$$

Then we set $f = w^{1/(p-1)}$ and get

$$1 \le \frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q w^{1/(p-1)} \, \mathrm{d}x \Big(\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q \Big(\frac{1}{w} \Big)^{1/(p-1)} \, \mathrm{d}x \Big).$$

Combining the inequalities for w, we get

$$\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_{Q} w \, \mathrm{d}x \Big(\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_{Q} w^{1/(1-p)} \, \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{p-1} \\ \leq \Big(\frac{C}{m(Q)} \int_{Q} w^{1/(p-1)} \, \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{p-1} \Big(\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_{Q} w^{1/(1-p)} \, \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{p-1}.$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q w \,\mathrm{d}x \le \left(\frac{C}{m(Q)} \int_Q w^{1/(p-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{p-1}$$

or recalling f

$$\left(\frac{1}{m(Q)}\int_Q f^{p-1}\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/(p-1)} \le \frac{C}{m(Q)}\int_Q f\,\mathrm{d}x$$

Now, we may suppose that p > 2 because due to Theorem 4.15, we have $A_p \subset A_q$, $1 \leq p < q$, and by this assumption p - 1 > 1. By Gehring's lemma Lemma 4.29, there exists q > p - 1 such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{m(Q)}\int_Q f^q \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q} \le \frac{C}{m(Q)}\int_Q f \,\mathrm{d}x$$

or again recalling f and taking power p-1 on both sides

$$\left(\frac{1}{m(Q)}\int_{Q} w^{q/(p-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{(p-1)/q} \le \left(\frac{C}{m(Q)}\int_{Q} w^{1/(p-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{p-1}.$$

The right hand side is estimated by using Hölder's inequality as

$$\left(\frac{1}{m(Q)}\int_{Q} w^{1/(p-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{p-1} \le \frac{1}{m(Q)}\int_{Q} w \,\mathrm{d}x$$

and the proof is completed by choosing δ such that $1+\delta = q/(p-1)$. \Box

Theorem 4.31. If $w \in A_p$, then $w \in A_{p-\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. First we observe that if $w \in A_p$, then (4. Exercise, problem 4)

$$w^{1-p'} \in A_{p'}.$$

Utilizing the previous theorem (Theorem 4.30) for $\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)^{p'-1} = \left(\frac{1}{w}\right)^{1/(p-1)}$, we see that

$$\left(\frac{1}{m(Q)}\int_{Q}\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)^{(1+\delta)/(p-1)} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{(p-1)/(1+\delta)} \le \left(\frac{C}{m(Q)}\int_{Q}\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)^{1/(p-1)} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{p-1}.$$

Now we can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\frac{p-1}{1+\delta} = (p-\varepsilon) - 1$$

We utilize this and multiply the previous inequality by $\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_Q w \, \mathrm{d}x$ to have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m(Q)} &\int_{Q} w \, \mathrm{d}x \Big(\frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_{Q} \Big(\frac{1}{w} \Big)^{1/((p-\varepsilon)-1)} \, \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{(p-\varepsilon)-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_{Q} w \, \mathrm{d}x \Big(\frac{C}{m(Q)} \int_{Q} \Big(\frac{1}{w} \Big)^{1/(p-1)} \, \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{p-1} \\ &\stackrel{w \in A_p}{\leq} C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $w \in A_{p-\varepsilon}$.

Next we answer the original question.

Theorem 4.32 (Muckenhoupt). Let 1 . Then there exists <math>C > 0 s.t.

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left(Mf(x) \right)^p w(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \le C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \, w(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

if and only if $w \in A_p$.

Proof. " \Rightarrow " has already been proven.

" \Leftarrow " We know that w > 0 a.e. so that

$$0 = \mu(E) = \int_E w(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad m(E) = 0.$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} ||f||_{L^{\infty}(\mu)} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf\{\lambda \, : \, \mu(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \, : \, |f(x)| > \lambda\}) = 0\} \\ &= \inf\{\lambda \, : \, m(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \, : \, |f(x)| > \lambda\}) = 0\} \\ &= ||f||_{\infty} \, . \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$||Mf||_{L^{\infty}(\mu)} = ||Mf||_{\infty} \stackrel{\text{Lemma 2.8}}{\leq} ||f||_{\infty} = ||f||_{L^{\infty}(\mu)}$$

so that M is of a weighted strong type (∞, ∞) . On the other hand, by Theorem 4.27 implies that M is of weak type (p, p). Moreover, the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem Theorem 2.21 holds for all the measures. Thus M is of strong type (q, q) with q > p

$$||Mf||_{L^{q}(\mu)} \leq C ||f||_{L^{q}(\mu)}.$$

By the previous theorem $w \in A_p$ implies that $w \in A_{p-\varepsilon}$. Thus we can repeat the above argument starting with $p - \varepsilon$ to see that

$$||Mf||_{L^{p}(\mu)} \le C ||f||_{L^{p}(\mu)}$$

with the original p.

7.10.2010

5. Fourier transform

5.1. On rapidly decreasing functions. We define a Fourier transform of $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$ as

$$F(f) = \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x)e^{-2\pi i x\xi} \,\mathrm{d}x.$$
 (5.1)

Remark 5.2. (i) $e^{-2\pi i x \xi} = \cos(2\pi x \xi) - i \sin(2\pi x \xi)$, (even part in real, and odd in imaginary).

(ii) Theory generalizes to \mathbf{R}^n (then $\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \boldsymbol{\xi}_i$ and $e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}}$).